HEINONLINE

Citation: 1 Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited Pornography Marketing CAN-SPAM Act of 2003 A Legislative History H. Manz ed. 5204 2004

Content downloaded/printed from HeinOnline (http://heinonline.org) Mon Apr 22 11:05:31 2013

- -- Your use of this HeinOnline PDF indicates your acceptance of HeinOnline's Terms and Conditions of the license agreement available at http://heinonline.org/HOL/License
- -- The search text of this PDF is generated from uncorrected OCR text.

to use procedures other than procedures that provide for full and open competition.

(2) INAPPLICABILITY TO CONTRACTS AFTER

(c) INAPPLICABILITY 10 CONTRACTS AFTER FISCAL YEAR 2013.—Paragraph (1) does not apply to a contract entered into after Sep-tember 30, 2013. (b) CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—

(b) CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—
(l) AUTHORITY TO WITHHOLD.—The head of an executive agency may—
(A) withhold from publication and disclosure under subsection (a) any document that is classified for restricted access in accordance with an Executive order in the Interest

(B) redact any part so classified that is in a document not so classified before publication and disclosure of the document under

tion and disclosure or the occurrent unous subsection (a).

(2) AVAILABILITY TO CONGRESS.—In any case in which the head of an executive agency withholds information under paragraph (I), the head of such executive agency shall make available an unredacted version of the

document containing that information to the chalrman and ranking member of each of the following committees of Congress:

(A) The Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Government Reform of the House of Repotentials.

(B) The Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

the Senate and the House of Representatives.

(C) Each committee that the head of the executive agency determines has legislative jurisdiction for the operations of such department or agency to which the information relates.

tion relates.
(c) FISCAL YEAR 2003 CONTRACTS.—This section shall apply to contracts entered into on or after October 1, 2002, except that, in the case of a contract entered into before the date of the enactment of this Act, subsection (a) shall be applied as if the contract had been entered into on the date of the enact-ment of this Act. (d) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER DISCLOSURE

(d) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER DISCLOSURE LAWS.—NOthing in this section shall be construed as affecting obligations to disclose United States Government Information under any other provision of law. (e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms executive agency" and "full and open competition" have the meanings given such terms in section 4 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403).

By Mr. BURNS (for himsen, m... Wyden, Mr. Stevens, Mr. Breaux, Mr. Thomas, Ms. Landrieu, and Mr. Mr. BURNS (for himself, Mr.

S. 877. A bill to regulate interstate commerce by imposing limitations and penalties on the transmission of unsolicited commercial electronic mail via the Internet; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transpor-

tation. Mr. BURNS, Thank you, Mr. President. I rise today to introduce the CAN-SPAM bill along with my good friend and colleague Senator WYDEN. friend and colleague Senator WYDEN. The CAN-SPAM bill addresses an issue of critical importance to the further development of commerce on the Internet: how to control the explosion of unsolicited commercial e-mail. I also want to thank the additional original cosponsors of the bill, Senator STE-VENS, Senator BREAUX, Senator THOM-AS, Senator LANDRIEU and Senator

SCHUMER.
While it is obvious to anyone with an e-mail account that the scourge of "spam" has continued to worsen, the

numbers and the trends they represent paint an even more disturbing picture. According to an article in the Washington Post less than a month ago, spam currently accounts for 40 percent of all e-mail traffic. Spam has become more than just an inconvenience that we have learned to live with it has now become a fundamental part of any e-mail inbox with serious economic consequences. According to one study done by a consulting group, spam will cost U.S. businesses more than \$10 billion this year alone.

Spam also makes working on the Internet less efficient, by clogging up servers on one end and inboxes on other. I want some accountability brought to bear on this issue, and feel that by introducing this legislation today, we have taken an appropriate and meaningful step to tame a horse we can't seem to break just yet. This problem continues to escalate, and experts warn that more than half of email traffic will be spam by this summer. This point bears repeating: within months, you will waste more than half of your time with unsolicited e-mail. The CAN-SPAM bill would require e-

mail marketers to comply with a straightforward set of workable, comwith a mon-sense rules designed to give consumers more control over spam. Specifically, the bill would require a sender of marketing e-mail to include a clear and conspicuous "opt-out" mechanism so that they could 'unsubscribe' from further unwanted unsubstrible from trailer unwarter e-mail. Also, the bill would prohibit e-mail marketers from using deceptive headers or subject lines, so that con-sumers will be able to tell who initiated the solicitation.

The bill includes strong enforcement

provisions to ensure compliance. The Federal Trade Commission would have authority to impose steep civil fines of up to \$500,000 on spammers. This fine could be tripled if the violation is found to be intentional. In short, this bill provides broad consumer protec-tion against bad actors, while still al-lowing Internet advertising a justified

means of flourishing.

Spamming is a serious economic problem and I believe it is absolutely critical that we address this now, so that the Internet is allowed to reach its full potential. Because of the vast distances in Montana, many of my constituents are forced to pay long-distance charges for their time on the Internet. Spam makes it nearly impossible for these people to enjoy the experience, and it makes it even harder for them to see how this will help rural America flourish in the 21st century. Also, Internet service providers are bombarded with spam that often corrupts or shuts down their systems. In today's information age where beating the competitor to the next sale is abso lutely critical to survival, these shutdowns can cause real economic damage. We may be in a downturn in the American economy and especially in the high technology sector, but the efficiencies created through vast information sharing are here to stay and will help propel our economy to levels beyond our imagination, but in order to reach this potential we must eliminate the bad actors who threaten these

efficiencies.

The fact that this bill is strongly supported by pillars of the Internet age such as Yahoo, America Online and eBay is a testament to its common-sense approach. I think these companies for their critical expertise in perfecting this bill which would help to address this scourge of the digital age. I also appreciate the numerous valu-able suggestions from the many concerned cyber-citizens who want this Pandora's box of digital dreck closed once and for all.

Mr. President. Lask unanimous conent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

\$ 877

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Rep-resentatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled. SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited Pornography and Marketing Act of 2003". or the "CANand Marketing Act of 2003", or the SPAM Act of 2003". SEC. 2. CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS AND POLICY.

(a) FINDINGS.-The Congress finds the fol-

lowing:

(i) There is a right of free speech on the Internet.

(2) The Internet has increasingly become a

now presents unprecedented opportunities for the development and growth of global commerce and an integrated worldwide econ-

commerce and an integrated worldwide economy.

(3) In order for global commerce on the Internet to reach its full potential, individuals and entities using the Internet and other online services should be prevented from engaging in activities that prevent other users and Internet service providers from having a reasonably predictable, efficient, and economical online experience.

(4) Unsolicited commercial electronic mail can be a mechanism through which businesses advertise and attract customers in the online environment.

(5) The receipt of unsolicited commercial electronic mail may result in costs to recipile electronic mail may result in costs to recipile

electronic mail may result in costs to recipielectronic main may result in costs to recipients who cannot refuse to accept such mail and who incur costs for the storage of such mail, or for the time spent accessing, reviewing, and discarding such mail, or for both.

(6) Unsolicited commercial electronic mail may impose significant monetary costs on providers of Internet access services, busi-

nesses, and educational and nonprofit instinesses, and educational and inonprint insti-tutions that carry and receive such mail, as there is a finite volume of mail that such providers, businesses, and institutions can handle without further investment in infra-

structure.

(7) Some unsolicited commercial electronic mall contains material that many recipients may consider vulgar or pornographic in na-

While some senders of unsolicited commercial electronic mail messages provide simple and reliable ways for recipients to re-ject (or 'opt-out' of receipt of unsolicited commercial electronic mail from such senders in the future, other senders provide no such "opt-out" mechanism, or refuse to honor the requests of recipients not to re-ceive electronic mail from such senders in the future, or both

the future, or both.

(9) An Increasing number of senders of unsolicited commercial electronic mail purposefully disguise the source of such mail so as to prevent recipients from responding to such mall quickly and easily.

(10) An increasing number of senders of unsolicited commercial electronic mail purposefully include misleading information in the message's subject lines in order to induce the recipients to view the messages.

(11) In legislating against certain abuses on the Internet, Congress should be very careful to avoid infringing In any way upon con-

to avoid infringing in any way upon con-stitutionally protected rights, including the rights of assembly, free speech, and privacy, (b) CONCRESSIONAL DETERMINATION OF PUB-

LIC POLICY.—On the basis of the findings in subsection (a), the Congress determines

that—
(I) there is a substantial government interest in regulation of unsolicited commercial electronic mall;
(2) senders of unsolicited commercial elec-

(2) sensels of disolited commercial elec-tronic mail should not mislead recipients as to the source or content of such mail; and (3) recipients of unsolicited commercial

electronic mail have a right to decline to re-ceive additional unsolicited commercial electronic mail from the same source. SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

- (1) AFFIRMATIVE CONSENT .- The term "affirmative consent", when used with respect to a commercial electronic mail message, means that the recipient has expressly consented to receive the message, either in re-sponse to a clear and conspicuous request for such consent or at the recipient's own initia-
- COMMERCIAL ELECTRONIC MAIL MES-
- SAGE.—

 (A) IN GENERAL.—The term "commercial means any elec-(A) IN GENERAL.—The term commercial electronic mail message" means any electronic mail message the primary purpose of which is the commercial advertisement or promotion of a commercial product or service (including content on an internet website operated for a commercial purpose).

 (B) REFERENCE TO COMPANY OR WEBSITE.—
- (B) REFERENCE TO COMPANY OR WEBSITE.— The inclusion of a reference to a commercial entity or a link to the website of a commer-cial entity in an electronic mail message does not, by itself, caues such message to be treated as a commercial electronic mail mesge for purposes of this Act if the contents circumstances of the message indicate a primary purpose other than commercial advertisement or promotion of a commercial product or service.

 (3) COMMISSION.—The term "Commission"
- (3) COMMISSION.—The term "Commission" means the Federal Trade Commission.
 (4) DOMAIN NAME.—The term "domain name" means any alphanumeric designation which is registered with or assigned by any domain name registrar, domain name registry. or other domain name registry to rother domain name registration authority as part of an electronic address on the letters. the Internet.
- (5) ELECTRONIC MAIL ADDRESS .- The term (5) ELECTRONIC MAIL ADDRESS.—The term "electronic mail address" means a destina-tion, commonly expressed as a string of characters, consisting of a unique user name or mailbox (commonly referred to as the "local part") and a reference to an internet domain (componly referred to as the "do-domain (componly referred to as the "do-tal"). domain (commonly referred to as the "do-main part"), to which an electronic mail message can be sent or delivered. (6) ELECTRONIC MAIL MESSAGE.—The term
- "electronic mail message" means a message sent to an electronic mail address. (7) FTC AcT.—The term "FTC Act" means the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C.
- 41 et seq.).

- (8) HEADER INFORMATION.-The term "headinformation" means the source, destination, and routing information attached to an electronic mail message, including the origi-nating domain name and originating elecmail address.
- (9) IMPLIED CONSENT.—The term "implied consent", when used with respect to a com-mercial electronic mail message, means

(A) within the 3-year period ending upon receipt of such message, there has been a business transaction between the sender and the recipient (including a transaction involv-ing the provision, free of charge, of informa-tion, goods, or services requested by the recipient); and

(B) the recipient was, at the time of such transaction or thereafter in the first elec-tronic mail message received from the sendtronic mail message received from the senser after the effective date of this Act, provided a clear and conspicuous notice of an opportunity not to receive unsolicited commercial electronic mail messages from the sender and has not exercised such oppor-

If a sender operates through separate lines of business or divisions and holds itself out to the recipient, both at the time of the transaction described in subparagraph (A) and at the time the notice under subparagraph (B) was provided to the recipient, as that parwas provided to the recipient, as that par-ticular line of business or division rather than as the entity of which such line of busi-ness or division is a part, then the line of business or the division shall be treated as the sender for purposes of this paragraph. (10) INITATE.—The term "initiate", when used with respect to a commercial electronic

mail message, means to originate such message or to procure the origination of such message, but shall not include actions that constitute routine conveyance of such mes-

sage.
(II) INTERNET.—The term "Internet" has the meaning given that term in the Internet Tax Freedom Act (47 U.S.C. 151 nt).

(12) INTERNET ACCESS SERVICE.—The term "Internet access service" has the meaning given that term in section 231(e)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C.

(13) PROTECTED COMPUTER.—The term "pro-

(13) PROTECTED COMPUTER.—The term protected computer" has the meaning given that term in section 1030(e)(2) of title 18. United States Code.

(14) RECIPIENT.—The term "recipient", when used with respect to a commercial electronic mall message, means an authorized user of the electronic mail address to which the message was sent or delivered. If a message was sent or delivered. If a recipient of a commercial electronic mail message has I or more electronic mail ad-dresses in addition to the address to which the message was sent or delivered, the recipi-ent shall be treated as a separate recipient with respect to each such address. If an elec-tronic mail address is reassigned to a new user, the new user shall not be treated as a recipient of any commercial electronic mail message sent or delivered to that address before it was reassigned.

(15) ROUTINE CONVEYANCE.—The term "routia) ROUTINE CONVEYANCE.—I he term rou-tine conveyance" means the transmission, routing, relaying, handling, or storing, through an automatic technical process, of an electronic mail message for which an-other person has provided and selected the recipient addresses

SENDER —The term "sender" when used with respect to a commercial electronic mail message, means a person who initiates such a message and whose product, service. or Internet web site is advertised or promoted by the message.

(17) TRANSACTIONAL OR RELATIONSHIP MES-

SAGES.—The term "transactional or relation-

ship message" means an electronic mail message the primary purpose of which is to facilitate, complete, confirm, provide, or request information concerning—

(A) a commercial transaction that the re-

cipient has previously agreed to enter into

with the sender:

(B) an existing commercial relationship, formed with or without an exchange of conor use by the recipient of products or services offered by the sender; or purchase

(C) an existing employment relationship or related benefit plan.
(18) UNSOLICITED COMMERCIAL ELECTRONIC MAIL MESSAGE.—The term "unsolicited commercial electronic mail message" means any commercial electronic mail message that—
(A) is not a transactional or relationship

message; and

(B) is sent to a recipient without the re-cipient's prior affirmative or Implied con-

SEC. 4. CRIMINAL PENALTY FOR UNSOLICITED COMMERCIAL ELECTRONIC MAIL CONTAINING FRAUDULENT ROUTING INFORMATION.

(a) IN CENERAL.—Chapter 63 of title 18. United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"§ 1351, Unsolicited commercial electronic mail containing fraudulent transmission in-

"(a) IN GENERAL.—Any person who initiates the transmission, to a protected com-puter in the United States, of an unsolicited commercial electronic mail message, with knowledge and intent that the message conknowledge and intent that the message contains or is accompanied by header information that is materially false or materially misleading shall be fined or imprisoned for not more than 1 year, or both, under this title. For purposes of this subsection, header information that is technically accurate but includes an originating electronic mail address the access to which for purposes of initiating the message was obtained by means of false or fraudulent pretenses or representations shall be considered materially misleading.

leading.

"(b) DEFINITIONS.—Any term used in subsection (a) that is defined in section 3 of the CAN-SPAM Act of 2003 has the meaning given it in that section."

CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The chapter analysis for chapter 63 of title 18. States Code, is amended by adding at the end

"1351. Unsolicited commercial mail containing fraudulent routing information".

SEC. 5. OTHER PROTECTIONS AGAINST UNSOLIC-ITED COMMERCIAL ELECTRONIC ITED MAIL.

- (a) REQUIREMENTS FOR TRANSMISSION OF
- MESSAGES.—

 (1) PROHIBITION OF PALSE OR MISLEADING

 (2) PROHIBITION —It is unlawful for any person to initiate the transmission, to a protected computer, of a commercial electronic mail message that contains, or is accompanied by, header information that is materially or intentionally false or materimaterially or intentionally false or materially or intentionally misteading. For purposes of this paragraph, header information that is technically accurate but includes an originating electronic mail address the access to which for purposes of initiating the message was obtained by means of false or fraudulent pretenses or representations shall be considered materially misleading. UB PROMINITION OF DECEPTIVE SUBJECT HEADINGS.—It is unlawful for any person to initiate the transmission to a protected computer of a commercial electronic mall mes-
- puter of a commercial electronic mall mes-sage with a subject heading that such person

knows would be likely to mislead a recipient, acting reasonably under the cir-cumstances, about a material fact regarding the contents or subject matter of the mes-

sage.
(3) Inclusion of Return address or com-PARABLE MECHANISM IN UNSOLICITED COMMER-

CIAL ELECTRONIC MAIL.—

(A) IN GENERAL,—It is unlawful for any person to initiate the transmission to a protected computer of an unsolicited commercial electronic mail message that does not contain a functioning return electronic mail address or other internet-based mechanism, clearly and conspicuously displayed, that—

(4) a recipient may be try supply in a

(i) a recipient may use to submit, in a manner specified by the sender, a reply elec-tronic mail message or other form of Inter-net-based communication requesting not to receive any future unsollcited commercial electronic mail messages from that sender at the electronic mail address where the message was received; and

sage was received; and
(ii) remains capable of receiving such messages or communications for no less than 30 days after the transmission of the original message.

(B) More DETAILED OPTIONS POSSIBLE.

(solution by the states of the states of the sender of an unsolicited commercial electronic mail message may comply with sub-paragraph (A)(i) by providing the recipient a list or menu from which the recipient may choose the specific types of commercial elec-tronic mall messages the recipient wants to receive or does not want to receive from the sender, if the list or menu includes an option sender, if the list or menu includes an option under which the recipient may choose not to receive any unsolicited commercial elec-tronic mall messages from the sender. (C) TEMPORARY INABILITY TO RECEIVE MES-SAGES OR PROCESS REQUESTS.—A return elec-

tronic mail address or other mechanism does not fail to satisfy the requirements of sub-paragraph (A) if it is unexpectedly and temporarily unable to receive messages or proc-ess requests due to technical or capacity problems, if the problem with receiving mes-

sages or processing requests is corrected within a reasonable time period.

(4) PROHIBITION OF TRANSMISSION OF UNSOLICITED COMMERCIAL ELECTRONIC MAIL AFTER OBJECTION.—If a recipient makes a request to a sender, using a mechanism provided pursuant to paragraph (3), not to receive some or any unsolicited commercial electronic mail messages from such sender, then it is unlaw

(A) for the sender to initiate the transmission to the recipient, more than 10 busi-ness days after the receipt of such request, of an unsolicited commercial electronic message that falls within the scope of the re-

quest;
(B) for any person acting on behalf of the sender to initiate the transmission to the recipient, more than 10 business days after the receipt of such request, of an unsolicited commercial electronic mail message that such person knows or consciously avoids knowing falls within the scope of the re-

quast; or (C) for any person acting on behalf of the sender to assist in initiating the transmission to the recipient, through the provision or selection of addresses to which the message will be sent, of an unsolicited commercial electronic mail message that the person knows, or consciously avoids knowing, would violate subparagraph (A) or (B). (S) INCLUSION OF IDENTIFIER, OPT-OUT, AND PHYSICAL ADDRESS IN UNSOLICITED COMMERCIAL ELECTRONIC MAIL.—It is unlawful for any unsolicited commercial electronic mail message to a protected computer unless the mes-

sage to a protected computer unless the message provides—

(A) clear and conspicuous identification hat the message is an advertisement or so licitation

(B) clear and conspicuous notice of the op portunity under paragraph (3) to decline to receive further unsolicited commercial elec-

tronic mail messages from the sender; and
(C) a valid physical postal address of the

sender.
(b) Prohibition of Transmission of Un-LAWFUL UNSOLICITED COMMERCIAL ELEC-TRONIC MAIL TO CERTAIN HARVESTED ELEC-TRONIC MAIL ADDRESSES.—

(1) IN GENERAL —It is unlawful for any per-(I) IN GENERAL.—It is unlawful for any person to initiate the transmission, to a protected computer, of an unsolicited commercial electronic mail message that is unlawful under subsection (a), or to assist in the origi-nation of such a message through the provi-sion or selection of addresses to which the message will be sent. If such person knows that, or acts with reckless disregard as to

(A) the electronic mail address of the reciplent was obtained, using an automated means, from an Internet website or propri-etary online service operated by another per-

(B) the website or proprietary online service from which the address was obtained included, at the time the address was obtained. cluded, at the time the address was obtained, a notice stating that the operator of such a website or proprietary online service will not give, sell, or otherwise transfer addresses maintained by such site or service to any other party for the purpose of initiating, or enabling others to initiate, unsolicited electronic mail messages.

(2) DISCLAIMER.—Nothing in this subsection of the proprietary of the propr

(2) DISCLAIMER.—Nothing in this subsection creates an ownership or proprietary interest in such electronic mail addresses.
(c) COMPLIANCE FROCEDURES.—An action for violation of paragraph (2), (3), (4), or (5) of subsection (a) may not proceed if the person against whom the action is brought demonstrates that —

(1) the person has established and implemented, with due care, reasonable practices and procedures to effectively prevent violations of such paragraph; and

tions of such paragraph; and

tions of such paragraph; and
(2) the violation occurred despite good
faith efforts to maintain compliance with
such practices and procedures.
SEC. 8. ENFORCEMENT BY FEDERAL TRADE COM-MISSION

(a) VIOLATION IS UNFAIR OR DECEPTIVE ACT OR PRACTICE.—Except as provided in sub-section (b), this Act shall be enforced by the Commission as if the violation of this Act Commission as if the violation of this Act were an unfair or deceptive act or practice proscribed under section 18(a)(1)(B) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 57a(a)(1)(B)).

(b) ENFORCEMENT BY CERTAIN OTHER AGEN-

CIES .- Compliance with this Act shall be en-

(I) under section 8 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1818), in the case

 (A) national banks, and Federal branches and Federal agencies of foreign banks, and any subsidiaries of such entities (except brohystosimales of such entries (except of sekers, dealers, persons providing insurance, investment companies, and investment advisers), by the Office of the Comptroller of

the Currency;
(B) member banks of the Federal Reserve System (other than national banks), branches and agencies of foreign banks (other than Federal branches, Federal agen-cies, and insured State branches of foreign cies, and insured State brainches of theigh banks), commercial lending companies owned or controlled by foreign banks, organizations operating under section 25 or 25A of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 601 and 611), and bank holding companies and their nonbank subsidiaries or affiliates (except brokers, dealers, persons providing insur-

ance, investment companies, and investment advisers), by the Board; (C) banks insured by the Federal Deposit

Insurance Corporation (other than members of the Federal Reserve System) insured State branches of foreign banks, and any subsidiaries of such entities (except brokers dealers, persons providing insurance, investment companies, and investment advisers), by the Board of Directors of the Federal Desit Insurance Corporation; and (D) savings associations the

deposits of which are insured by the Federal Deposit In-surance Corporation, and any subsidiaries of such savings associations (except brokers. dealers, persons providing insurance, invest-ment companies, and investment advisers), the Director of the Office of Thrift Super

(2) under the Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.) by the Board of the National Credit Union Administration with respect to any Federally insured credit union, and any subsidiaries of such a credit union;

and any subsidiaries of such a credit union;
(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of
1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.) by the Securities
and Exchange Commission with respect to
any broker or dealer:
(4) under the Investment Company Act of
1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-1 et seq.) by the Securities
and Exchange Commission with respect to

and Exchange Commission with respect to investment companies;
(5) under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80b-1 et seq.) by the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to investment advisers registered under that

(6) under State insurance law in the case of (6) under State insurance law in the case of any person engaged in providing insurance, by the applicable State insurance authority of the State in which the person is domiciled, subject to section 104 of the Gramm-Billey-Leach Act (15 U.S.C. 6701);
(7) under part A of subtitle VII of title 49. United States Code, by the Secretary of Transportation with respect to any air carrier or foreign air carrier subject to that nart:

(8) under the Packers and Stockvards Act. 1921 (7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.) (except as provided in section 406 of that Act (7 U.S.C. 226, 227)).

in section 40 of that Act (*U.S.U. 20. 201), by the Secretary of Agriculture with respect to any activities subject to that Act; (9) under the Farm Credit Act of 1971 (12 U.S.C. 2001 et seq.) by the Farm Credit Administration with respect to any Federal land bank Association, Federal intermediate credit bank, or productions of the secretary of

tion credit association; and
(10) under the Communications Act of 1934
(47 U.S.C. 151 et seq.) by the Federal Communications Commission with respect to any person subject to the provisions of that Act.

(c) EXERCISE OF CERTAIN POWERS .- For the purpose of the exercise by any agency re-ferred to in subsection (b) of its powers under any Act referred to in that subsection, a vio-lation of this Act is deemed to be a violation of a requirement imposed under that Act. In addition to its powers under any provision of law specifically referred to in subsection (b), each of the agencies referred to in subsection may exercise, for the purpose of enforcing compliance with any requirement imposed under this Act, any other authority conferred on It by law

(d) ACTIONS BY THE COMMISSION.-The Commission shall prevent any person from vio-lating this Act in the same manner, by the same means, and with the same jurisdiction, powers, and duties as though all applicable powers, and ducles as though all applicable terms and provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 41 et seq.) were incorporated into and made a part of this Act. Any entity that violates any provision of that subtitle is subject to the penalties

and entitled to the privileges and immunities provided in the Federal Trade Commis-sion Act in the same manner, by the same means, and with the same jurisdiction, power, and duties as though all applicable terms and provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act were incorporated into and made a part of that subtitle.

(e) ENFORCEMENT BY STATES.

(I) CIVIL ACTION.—In any case in which the attorney general of a State has reason to believe that an interest of the residents of that State has been or is threatened or adversely affected by any person engaging in a practice that violates section 5 of this Act, the State. as parens patriae, may bring a civil action on behalf of the residents of the State in a district court of the United States of appro-priate jurisdiction or in any other court of

competent jurisdiction—

(A) to enjoin further violation of section 5 of this Act by the defendant; or

(B) to obtain damages on behalf of residents of the State, in an amount equal to the

(i) the actual monetary loss suffered by such residents; or

(ii) the amount determined under para-

graph (2).
(2) STATUTORY DAMAGES.

- (2) STATUTORY DAMAGES.— (A) IN CENERAL.—For purposes of paragraph (1)(B)(ti), the amount determined under this paragraph is the amount calculated by multiplying the number of willful, knowing, or negligent violations by an amount, in the discretion of the court, of up amount, in the discretion of the court, of up to \$10 (with each separately addressed unlawful message received by such residents treated as a separate violation). In determining the per-violation penalty under this subparagraph, the court shall take into account the degree of culpability, any history of prior such conduct, ability to pay, the extent of economic gain resulting from the violation, and such other matters as justice may resulting
- (B) LIMITATION.—For any violation of sec-(B) LIMITATION.—For any violation of section 5 (other than section 5(a)(1)), the amount determined under subparagraph (A) may not exceed \$500,000, except that if the court finds that the defendant committed the violation willfully and knowingly, the court may increase the limitation established by this paragraph from \$500,000 to an amount not to exceed \$1,500,000 to.

(3) ATTORNEY FEES.—In the case of any suc (s) ATTORNEY FEES.—In the case of any suc-cessful action under paragraph (I), the State shall be awarded the costs of the action and reasonable attorney fees as determined by

(d) RIGHTS OF FEDERAL REGULATORS.—The State shall serve prior written notice of any action under paragraph (1) upon the Federal Trade Commission or the appropriate Federal regulator determined under subsection (b) and provide the Commission or appropriate Federal regulator with a copy of its complaint, except in any case in which such prior notice is not feasible, in which case the State shall serve such notice immediately upon instituting such action. The Federal Trade Commission or appropriate Federal regulator shall have the right—(A) to intervene in the action; (B) upon so intervening, to be heard on all matters arising therein; (C) to remove the action to the appropriate United States district court; and RIGHTS OF FEDERAL REGULATORS.

(C) to remove the action to the appropriate United States district court: and (D) to file petitions for appeal. (S) CONSTRUCTION.—For purposes of bringing any civil action under paragraph (I), nothing in this Act shall be construed to prevent an attorney general of a State from excrising the powers conferred on the attorney general by the laws of that State to—(A) conduct investigations; (B) administer oaths or affirmations; or

(C) compel the attendance of witnesses or production of documentary and other

VENUE; SERVICE OF PROCESS.

(A) VENUE.—Any action brought under paragraph (I) may be brought in the district court of the United States that meets appli-

cable requirements relating to venue under section 1391 of title 28. United States Code. (B) SERVICE OF PROCESS.—In an action brought under paragraph (1), process may be served in any district in which the defend-

(i) is an inhabitant; or

(1) is an inhabitant, or (ii) maintains a physical place of business. (7) LIMITATION ON STATE ACTION WHILE FED-RAL ACTION IS PENDING.—If the Commission (1) LIMITATION ON STATE ACTION WHILE FED-ERAL ACTION IS PENDING.—If the Commission or other appropriate Federal agency under subsection (b) has instituted a civil action or subsection (b) has instituted a civil action or an administrative action for violation of this Act, no State attorney general may bring an action under this subsection during the pendency of that action against any defendant named in the complaint of the Commission or the other agency for any violation of this Act alleged in the complaint.

(f) ACTION BY PROVIDER OF INTERNET ACCESS SERVICE—

(t) ACTION BY PROVIDER OF INTERNET AC-CESS SERVICE.— (1) ACTION AUTHORIZED.—A provider of Internet access service adversely affected by Internet access service adversely anected by a violation of section 5 may bring a civil ac-tion in any district court of the United States with jurisdiction over the defendant, or in any other court of competent jurisdic-

(A) enjoin further violation by the defend-

(B) recover damages in an amount equal to the greater of-

actual monetary loss incurred by the provider of Internet access service as a result of such violation; or

(ii) the amount determined under para-

graph (2)

graph (2).

(2) STATUTORY DAMAGES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of paragraph (1)(B)(II), the amount determined under this paragraph is the amount calculated by multiplying the number of willculated by multiplying the number of will-ful, knowing, or negligent violations by an amount, in the discretion of the court, of up to \$10 (with each separately addressed unlaw-ful message carried over the facilities of the provider of Internet access service or sent to an electronic mail address obtained from the provider of Internet access service in viola-tion of section \$50 treated as a separate vio-lation. In determining the pre-violation tion of section 5(b) treated as a separate vio-lation). In determining the per-violation penalty under this subparagraph, the court shall take into account the degree of culpa-bility, any history of prior such conduct, ability to pay, the extent of economic gain resulting from the violation, and such other matters as justice may require. (B) LIMITATION.—For any violation of sec-tion 5 (other than section 5(a)(1)), the

amount determined under subparagraph (A) may not exceed \$500,000, except that if the court finds that the defendant committed

court finds that the defendant committed the violation willfully and knowingly, the court may increase the limitation established by this paragraph from \$500,000 to an amount not to exceed \$1,500,000.

(3) ATTORNEY FEES.—In any action brought pursuant to paragraph (1), the court may, in its discretion, require an undertaking for the payment of the costs of such action, and assess reasonable costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, against any party.

SEC. 7. EFFECT ON OTHER LAWS. (a) FEDERAL LAW.

(a) FEDERAL LAW.— (1) Nothing in this Act shall be construed to Impair the enforcement of section 223 or 231 of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 223 or 231, respectively), chapter 71 (relating to obscenity) or 110 (relating to sex-ual exploitation of children) of title 18.

United States Code, or any other Federal criminal statute

(2) Nothing in this Act shall be construed (a) nothing in this Act shall be construed to affect in any way the Commission's authority to bring enforcement actions under FTC Act for materially false or deceptive representations in commercial electronic

mail messages. (b) STATE LAW.

(1) IN GENERAL.—This Act supersedes any State or local government statute, regula-tion, or rule regulating the use of electronic mail to send commercial messages.

(2) EXCEPTIONS.—Except as provided in paragraph (3), this Act does not supersede or

(A) State trespass, contract, or tort law or

(A) State trespass, contract, or tort law or any civil action thereunder; or (B) any provision of Federal, State, or local criminal law or any civil remedy available under such law that relates to acts of fraud or theft perpetrated by means of the unauthorized transmission of commercial electronic mail messages.

(3) LIMITATION ON EXCEPTIONS.—Paragraph (2) does not apply to a State or local government statute, regulation, or rule that directly regulates unsolicited commercial electronic mail and that treats the mere sending of unsolicited commercial electronic mail and that complies with this mail in a manner that complies with this Act as sufficient to constitute a violation of such statute, regulation, or rule or to create a cause of action thereunder.

(c) NO EFFECT ON POLICIES OF PROVIDERS OF

(c) No EFFECT ON POLICIES OF PROVIDERS OF INTERNET ACCESS SERVICE.—Nothing in this Act shall be construed to have any effect on the lawfulness or unlawfulness, under any other provision of law, of the adoption, im-plementation, or enforcement by a provider of Internet access service of a policy of de-clining to transmit, route, relay, handle, or store certain types of electronic mail mes-

SEC. 8. STUDY OF EFFECTS OF UNSOLICITED COMMERCIAL ELECTRONIC MAIL.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 24 months

(a) IN CENERAL.—Not later than 24 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Commission. In consultation with the Department of Justice and other appropriate agencies, shall submit a report to the Con-gress that provides a detailed analysis of the effectiveness and enforcement of the provi-sions of this Act and the need (if any) for the Congress to modify such provisions. (b) REQUIRED ANALYSIS.—The Commission shall include in the report required by sub-section (a) an analysis of the extent to which technological and marketplace develop-

section (a) an analysis of the extent to which technological and marketplace develop-ments, including changes in the nature of the devices through which consumers access their electronic mail messages, may affect the practicality and effectiveness of the pro-visions of this Act.

SEC. 9 SEPARABILITY.

If any provision of this Act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of this Act and the application of such provision to other persons or circumstances shall not be affected. . 9 SEPARABILITY.

SEC. 10. EFFECTIVE DATE.

The provisions of this Act shall take effect 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, I am pleased today to be teaming up again with my good friend Senator BURNS to reintroduce legislation to address the rising tide of unsolicited commercial eail, commonly known as "spam." In the last Congress, our anti-spam

legislation was approved unanimously by the Senate Commerce Committee. Since that time—nearly a year ago now—the problem of spam has been increasing at an alarming rate. Roughly

40 percent of all e-mail traffic in the United States is spam, up from 8 per-cent in late 2001 and nearly doubling in the past six months. By 2004, according to some estimates, a typical company that fails to take defensive action could find that over 50 percent of its email messages will be spam. This isn't just annoying, it's costly: one con-sulting group has estimated that spam will cost U.S. organizations more than \$10 billion this year, due to expenses for anti-spam equipment and man-

tor anti-spam equipment and man-power and lost productivity.

If nothing is done, the situation is only likely to get worse. The funda-mental problem—and what makes spam different from other types of marketing—is that it is so cheap to send huge volumes of messages. With the stroke of a key, the spammer can let fly a massive torrent of e-mails. And since the sender doesn't pay any per-message postage, the incentive is to send as many as possible. The cost of all these extra messages is borne by the Internet service providers, ISPs, and the recipients, not by the sender. So as far as the spammer is concerned,

the sky is the limit.

Anyone who uses e-mail should be deeply concerned about this trend. In a few short years, e-mail quickly from a novelty to a core medium of communication for millions of Americans. They came to rely on it daily, for business and personal communications alike. But just as quickly as e-mail rose to prominence, its usefulness could dwindle—buried under an avalanche of endless "Get Rich Quick," "Lose Weight Fast," and offensive pornographic marketing pitches. As con-sumers grow frustrated with bloated in-boxes, and as ISP networks and e-commerce websites are slowed by mounting junk e-mail traffic jams, en-

thusiasm for the entire medium of e-mail and e-commerce could sour.
Right now, e-mail users and ISPs are trying to manage the problem as best they can. They use filtering software, or lists of known sampners or sign un or lists of known spammers, or sign up for special anti-spam services. But these tactics can be burdensome, costly, and only partially effective. The fact is, existing laws do not provide sufficient tools. More help is needed.

Many States have moved to address

the issue. But e-mail is not a medium that respects, or even recognizes. State borders. Indeed, e-mail addresses tell nothing about which State the user is located in, so the sender and recipient of an e-mail message may have no clue where the other is located. Therefore, this is one area where a State-by-State patchwork of rules makes no sense. It

That is why Senator BURNS and I are reintroducing the "Controlling the Asreintroducing the "Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited Pornography and Marketing Act"—the CAN SPAM Act, for short. This bipartisan legislation says that if you want to send unsolicited marketing e-mail, you've got to play by a set of rules—rules that allow the recipient to see where the

messages are coming from, and to tell with no action taken, several years the sender to stop. The basic goal is from now.

trol.

Specifically, the bill would prohibit the use of falsified or deceptive headers or subject lines, so that consumers will be able to identify the true source of the message. A sender of unsolicited marketing e-mail would also be re-quired to provide the recipient with a return address or similar mechanism that can be used to tell the sender, "no more." And once a consumer says "no more," a sender would be required to honor that request. Senders of unsolicited commercial messages would also be required to include a clear notifica-tion that the message is an advertisement or solicitation, and a valid phys-

ical postal address.

The bill includes strong enforcement provisions to ensure compliance pammers that intentionally disguise their identities would be subject to misdemeanor criminal penalties. The Federal Trade Commission would have authority to impose civil fines. State attorneys general would be able to bring suit on behalf of the citizens of their states. And ISPs would be able to bring suit to keep unlawful spam off their networks. In all cases, particularly high penalties would be available for true "bad actors"—the shady, high-volume spammers who have no intention of behaving in a lawful and responsible manner.
Our goal here is not to discourage le-

gitimate online communications be-tween businesses and their customers. Senator BURNS and I have no intention of interfering with a company's ability to use e-mail to inform customers of warranty information, provide account holders with monthly account state-ments, and so forth. Rather, we want to go after those unscrupulous Individuals who use e-mail in an annoying and misleading fashion. I believe this bill strikes that important balance.
Senator BURNS and I have been at

this for three years now, and have worked with many different groups in shaping the legislation. We believe we have made real progress in addressing some of the legitimate concerns that were raised about previous versions of the bill. Naturally, there are interested parties who have additional ideas for measures they would like to see. We will be happy to continue to work with them, and I would also point out that the bill calls for a study to evaluate this initial Federal step against spam and to determine whether further provisions are needed. But the bill we are introducing today offers a workable, common-sense approach that should be politically viable this year.

I am pleased that Senators BREAUX, LANDRIEU, SCHUMER, and THOMAS are

joining Senator BURNS and me in cosponsoring this legislation. I urge the sponsoring this legislation. I tage the rest of my Senate colleagues to join with us on moving it forward as promptly as possible, so that the Senate won't still be debating the issue,

By Mr. SMITH. S. 879. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to increase and

extend the special depreciation allowance, and for other purpose; to the Committee on Finance.
Mr. SMITH. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce the Economic Stimulus Act of 2003, legislation that will allow a 50 percent bonus depreciation over a 5 year period. Last year I was proud to introduce and pass a 30 percent bonus depreciation incentive as cent bonus depreciation incentive as part of legislation signed into law in March 2002. We had great bipartisan support on this issue and I hope that similar action will take place during consideration of this year's tax bill.

I introduce the Economic Stimulus

Introduce the Economic Stimulus Act of 2003 in order to build on last year's effort by both increasing that bonus to 50 percent and extending it through 2008. Our economy clearly needs a boost, and this provision will complement many of the provisions in President Bush's economic growth

President package.
Recently, U.S. Department of Commerce data revealed that private investment in high tech equipment ended it's decline as this provision went into effect last year and has begun to increase modestly in the past year. A significant increase in that bonus along with an extension of its effective date with an extension of its effective date can only boost business investment even further. By extending the effec-tive date past next year, businesses will be able to better plan for sustained

increases in technology investment.
This legislation will provide an immediate and broad stimulus to the U.S. economy by encouraging business investment. In my own state of Oregon I can look to both heavy industry and the hi tech sector and see the real return this legislation will have on our economy. Heavy industry in my state will have an ability to save family-wage jobs and put additional employees to work in Oregon. For example, the rail supply industry has been hard hit, and though there is a need for investment, there has been a reluctance to invest significant sums that are necessary to sustain this industry. Bonus depreciation provisions is an additional incentive that will lead institutional investors, leasing companies, shippers and railroads to invest in new rail equipment.

Oregon's high-tech sector the strong increase in the first year depreciation amount will have a real positive impact on the investment en-vironment for high-tech equipment, such as computer hardware, software and broadband network infrastructure. This legislation will definitely stimulate the demand for the software and the whole high-tech sector. In Oregon, the hi-tech sector has been a major component of economic growth and I am intent that this engine of growth continue to provide stimulus to the economy.

DOCUMENT NO. 4