HeinOnline

Citation: 4 Bernard D. Reams Jr. Law of E-SIGN A Legislative of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Act Public Law No. 106-229 2000 S3771 2002

Content downloaded/printed from HeinOnline (http://heinonline.org) Sun Apr 21 21:42:32 2013

- -- Your use of this HeinOnline PDF indicates your acceptance of HeinOnline's Terms and Conditions of the license agreement available at http://heinonline.org/HOL/License
- -- The search text of this PDF is generated from uncorrected OCR text.

April 15, 1999

institution: Amherst College, Hampshire College, Mount Holyoke College, the Univer-sity of Massachusetts and, sitting at the College, mount that and sitting at the head of Main Street, the Smith College cam-pus, designed in 1875 by Frederick Law Olmstead. The 19th-century state mental hospital is now abandoned. The poet Sylvia Plath, an undergraduate at Smith in the 1950's, wrote to her mother of walking in the

evening to a professor's house for a cocktail party, "listening to the people screaming." Main Street bends slowly through the town, side streets flowing into it, like a third town, side streets flowing into it, like a third river. "There are some magical things about this that couldn't have been planned." Mr. Kidder said, speaking of the setting's majes-tle gait. "This broad sweep that Main Street makes, it makes simply because of the to-pography, before you had earth-moving equipment."

in plot-a downtown rescued in the 1970's by creative real estate developers and resident ploneers who discovered and reinvented its historic infrastructure. It is an architectural routine: with restoration and new. entertainroutine: with restoration and new, entertain-ment-oriented businesses, the low brick buildings. Victorian clapboard houses, Art Deco theater and a Gothic chess set of city

buildings. Victorian clapboard houses, Art Deco thesater and a Gothic chess set of city hall and courthouse become an animated Main Street. In Northampton, there are apartments above the shops, stimulating street life at night. The crosswalks at the intersection of Main and King streets, where the town converges, are wired with speakers that signal sonically for the blind and stop traffic in four directions, letting strollers spill momentarily into the square. To the casual eye, it can look more like a marketing concept than a place to live-a factory town retooled by the wish list of the latte generation. A bookshop's magazine dis-play offers an informal census of Northampton's new citizens and visitors: Raygun, Natural History, Birdwatcher's Di-gest, American Craft. Elike, Fine Home-building Interview. The Writer, Outside, Macworld and Out. The town has been the subject of a "020" segment because of a large gay and lesbian population. "It's tempting to paredy, but it's too easy." Mr. Kidder said, crossing the intersec-tion of Main and King as the crosswalks beep-beeped like Saturday cartoon char-acters. To the citizenv, it appeared to

tion of Main and King as the crosswalks beep-beeped like Saturday cartono char-acters. To the citizenry, it appeared to produce genuine wonderment-rainbow-haired teen-agers, mothers in Polartee, men in linen sweaters and loafers without socks crowded the open Intersection, as cars on four sides as timuzied like dogs, waiting for the lights. "What you see is pretty moticy, but there is a solid mainstream, an almost invible backgowin Northampton is many team including a trow with a native popu-

invisione mackground to it, ne said. Like any town. Northampton is many town including a town with a mative popu-lation. As Mr. Subdiversative popu-lation. As Mr. Subdiversative popu-ricentrification is while a subdiversative prominently on a building counton. Is now softly faded. But town particular towns live together likes a count in brokered mar-tion how a subdiversative arrows into how. sorry naved, but two particular towns live together like a couple in a brokered mar-riage that may or may never grow into love. "Hamp," or native Northampton, shops on the strip of King Street as it leaves town at Main Street, not in "NoHo." or the revital-ized downtown, for which Main Street pro-uider the arthro: the artery. all of downtown, I don't think you can vides the

"When you look at old pictures, there were

When you how at our pictures, clare inter-nothing but hardware stores." Because of its newcomers, Northampton is a big, little place, pressured by the demands of the present on the past. "Without arguor the present on the past. Which age ment, a place begins to go dead." Mr. Kidder said, walking on Pleasant Street, where many single-room occupancy houses re-main-a short block from Main Street's consumer circus. Local government has kept

them there to enforce the town's economic heterogeneity. "You've got to have this ten-slon. You've got to find a way to let lots of different kinds of people in, and keep them

Mr. Kidder is not ambivalent about Norththere." Mr. Kidder is not ambivalent about North-ampton, but he is not foolish, either, "It's got problems, of course." he said, reciting the national roster of gang crime and home-lessness and a drug problem in the local schools that is complexeus for the state. He was at the bar of the Bay State Hotel, a far vortic spot System Heredy's, drinking a Diet Coke. Spipiett Freddy's, drinking a Diet Coke. Stitling in the dimly lighted, yel-low-wood-paneled tavern, with its etched Budweiser mirror, painting of Ermart Kelly and silent blinking Jukebox was like bein inside a Christmas tree at night. "And what limits the size of the town is jobs," said Mr. Kidder, who is self-employed. "The largest employer, which was the state mental hos-pital, closed its doors years ago." Wayne Feiden, the planning director, con-roured. "Whenever you see polls in Money magazine and the rest, about the best towns, we never make it." In sold. "The Jobs aren't there." Mr. Feiden added that the danger of being a boomtoom was that well-paid profes

there. Mr. Peder added that the danger of being a boomtown was that well-paid profes-sionals like doctors and lawyers, of whom there are many in Northampton, who moved there for its charms, would move on, frus-trated from feeling underpaid. "It's why they doct the the start of the start of the start of the start of the start boots the start of the star don't stay.

If Northampton does not, despite restored If Northampton does not, despite restored facades, present an unbiemished picture, Mr. Kidder makes a strong case that the beauty of a place is not in its skin—it is in its peo-ple. They are the simple and dramatic acts and the descriptive faces of his book. They are, he contends, the genius of a place. Mr. Kidder's "Home Town" haro is a na-

Mr. Kidder's "Home Town nero is a na-tive, who, as the book concludes, leaves Northampton for the wider world, freed of his "nick-names," as Mr. Kidder character-ized the linked chain of time spent growing up in the same small town. "It seemed to make too much wholesome

sense, from a distance." Mr. Kidder said, speaking of Northampton. "And then I ran into this cop." he said. "Tommy O'Connor, at the gym that I go to." Mr. Kidder was back at his house, not the

Mr. Kidder was back at his house, not the home built for a professional couple in Am-herst and chronicled in his 1985 book. "House," but a converted creamery on a mill river that runs beneath the dining room win-dows. He greeted his daughter, Allee, 20, who walked into the kirchen with a bag of gro-ander form Breed and Chron a not al-honder caries from Bread and Circus, a natural-foods supermarket. She pulled mixing bowls from the cupboards to make dessert for dinner profiteroles, for guests.

"Tommy's a very gregarious guy," Mr. Kidder recalled. "He said, You don't remem-ber me, do you?" I said no. He said, "Well, I ' Mr. Ander recards. It is start. A loss of "Well, T arrosted you for speeding five years ago." An electric mixer began clattering in a howl. "This guy with a shiny dome had been a curly-heired cop then." Mr. Kidder said. "I remember that after he gave me the ticket, he said, "Have a nice day." Mr. Kidder smiled at the recollection; Mr. O'Connor, who now lives in Washington and works for the Federal Bureau of Investiga-tion, remains a friend. "Anyway, he said, Why don't you come out and ride with me some night?" He said he'd show me a town I never imagined ex-isted." It was, of course, Northampton. Mr. Kidder said, "And he was right."

THE PROTECT ACT

Mr. McCAIN. Mr. President, yester-day I introduced a bill to "Promote Reliable On-Line Transactions to Encourage Commerce and Trade," the PRO-TECT Act. This legislation seeks to promote electronic commerce by en-couraging and facilitating the use of encryption in interstate commerce consistent with the protection of United States law enforcement and na-

tional security goals and missions. During the last Congress, there was a very intense debate surrounding the encryption issue. That debate, as with discussion regarding encryption anv any discussion regarding encryption technology, centered around the chal-lenge of balancing free trade objectives with national security and law enforcement interests. There were various proment interests. I nere were various pro-posals put forward. None, however, emerged as a viable solution. In the end, the debate became polarized, as many became entrenched upon basic approaches, losing sight of the overall policy objectives upon which everyone

policy objectives upon which everyone generally agreed. It was my objective to get outside the box of last year's debate. In the past, balancing commercial and na-tional security interests has been treated as a zero sum game, as if the only way to forward commercial interest was at the expense of national secu-rity, or vice versa. This is simply not the case. Certainly, encryption technologies advanced Certainly, encryption technologies present a unique set of challenges for the national security and law enforcement community. However, these challenges

are not insurmountable. What the PROTECT Act does, is to lay out a forward-looking approach to encryption exportation, a course that prots into place a rational, a conse that procedure for making export decisions, that places high priority on bringing the national security and law enforceital age, and that ultimately provides a national security backstop to make certain that advanced encryption products do not fall into the hands of those who would threaten the national secu-rity interests of the United States.

Title I of the legislation deals with domestic encryption. The bill estab-lishes that private sector use, develop-ment, manufacture, sale, distribution and import of encryption products, standards and services shall be voluntary and market driven. Further, the government is prevented from tying encryption used for confiden-tiality to encryption used for tiality to encryption used for authentification. It is established that it is lawful for any person in the United States, and for any U.S. person in a foreign country, to develop, manu-facture, sell, distribute, import, or use any encryption product. The PROTECT Act prohibits manda-

tory government access to plaintext. The bill prohibits the government from standards setting or creating approvals or incentives for providing government. access to plaintext, while preserving existing authority for law enforcement and national security agencies to ob-tain access to information under exist-

ing law. Title II of the legislation deals with government procurement procedures.

S3771

HeinOnline -- 4 Bernard D. Reams, Jr., Law of E-SIGN: A Legislative History of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, Public Law No. 106-229 (2000) \$3771 2002

S3772

The bill makes clear that it shall be the policy of the Federal government to permit the public to interact with the government through commercial networks and infrastructure and pro-tect the privacy and security of any electronic communications and stored information obtained by the public. The Federal government is encour-

aged to purchase encryption products for its own use, but is required to ensure that such products will inter-operate with other commercial encryption products, and the govern-ment is prohibited from requiring citizens to use a specific encryption product to interact with the government. Title II of the PROTECT Act author-

izes and directs NIST to complete establishment of the Advanced Encrytion Standard by January 1, 2002. Further, the bill ensures the process is led by the private sector and open to com-ment. Beyond the NIST role in establishing the AES, the Commerce Department is expressly prohibited from set-ting encryption standards—including U.S. export controls—for private computers.

A critical component of the PRO-TECT Act is improving the govern-ment's technological capabilities. Much of the concern from law enforcement and national security agencies is rooted in the unfortunate reality that the government lags desperately behind in their understanding of ad-vanced technologies, and their ability to achieve goals and missions in the

digital age. This legislation expands NIST's In-formation Technology Laboratory du-ties to include: (a) obtaining information regarding the most current hard-ware, software, telecommunications and other capabilities to understand how to access information transmitted across networks; (b) researching and developing new and emerging tech-niques and technologies to facilitate access to communications and electronic information; (c) researching and developing methods to detect and prevent unwanted intrusions into commercial computer networks; (d) pro-viding assistance in responding to information security threats at the request of other Federal agencies and law quest of other Federal agencies and law enforcement: (e) facilitating the devel-opment and adoption of "best informa-tion security practices" between the agencies and the private sector. The duties of the Computer System

Security and Privacy Board are ex-panded to include providing a forum for communication and coordination be-tween industry and the Federal government regarding information security issues, and fostering dissemination of general, nonproprietary and noncon-fidential developments in important information security technologies to appropriate federal agencies. Title V of the legislation deals with

the export of encryption products. The Secretary of Commerce is granted sole jurisdiction over commercial encryption products, except those specifically designed or modified for mili-tary use, including command and con-trol and intelligence applications. The legislation clarifies that the U.S. government may continue to impose ex-port controls on all encryption prodport controls on all encryption prod-ucts to terrorist countries, and embar-goed countries; that the U.S. govern-ment may continue to prohibit exports of particular encryption products to specific individuals, organizations, country, or countries; and that encryption products remain subject to

encryption products remain subject to all export controls imposed for any rea-son other than the existence of encryption in the product. Encryption products utilizing a key length of 64 bits or less are decon-trolled. Further, certain additional products may be exported or reex-ported under license exception. These include: recoverable products; include: recoverable products; encryption products to legitimate and responsible entities or organizations and their strategic partners, including on-line merchapts: encryption products sold or licensed to foreign governments that are members of NATO, ASEAN, and OECD; computer hardware or computer software that does not itself pro-vide encryption capabilities, but that incorporates APIs of interaction with encryption products; and technical assistance or technical data associated with the installation and maintenance

of encryption products. The Commerce Department is re-quired to make encryption products and related computer services eligible for a license exception after a 15 -day, one-time technical review. Exporters may export encryption products if no action is taken within the 15-day period.

A formal process is established whereby encryption products employing a key length greater than 64 bits may be granted an exemption from ex-port controls. Under the procedures established by this legislation, encryption products may be exported under license exception if: the Sec-retary of Commerce determines that the product or service is exportable under the Export Administration Act, or if the Encryption Export Advisory Board created under this Act deter-mines, and the Secretary agrees, that the product or services is, generally available, publicly available, or a comparable encryption product is avail-able, or will be available in 12 months, from a foreign supplier. As referenced, the PROTECT Act cre-

As reterenced, the PROTECT ACC cre-ates an Encryption Export Advisory Board to make recommendations re-garding general, public and foreign availability of encryption products to the Secretary of Commerce who must make such decisions to allow an ex-moreign The Secretary's decision is emption. The Secretary's decision is subject to judicial review. The President may override any decision of the Board or Secretary for purposes of national security without judicial review This process is critical. It ensures that the manufacturer or exporter of an encryption product may rely upon the

Board's determination that the product is generally or publicly available or that a comparable foreign product is that a comparable foreign product is available, and may thus export the product without consequences. How-ever, a critical national security backstop is provided. Regardless of the recommendation of the board, or the decision of the Secretary, the President is granted the absolute authority dent is granted the absolute authority to deny the export of encryption tech-nology in order to protect U.S. na-tional security interest. However, a process of review is established where-by market-availability, and other rel-event information may be gathered and executed in order to correct that such presented in order to ensue that such determinations are informed and rational.

Any products with greater than a 64 bit key length that has been granted previous exemptions by the administration are grandfathered and decontrolled for export. Upon adoption of the AES, but not later than January 1, 2002, the Secretary must decontrol encryption products if the encryption employed is the AES or its equivalent. Finally, the PROTECT Act prohibits the Secretary from imposing any reporting requirements ŏn anv encryption product not subject to U.S. export controls or exported under a license exception. Mr. President, as I have stated, my

Mr. President, as I have states, my purpose in putting this legislation to-gether was to get outside the zero sum game thinking that has become so in-dicative of the debate surrounding the encryption export controls. I would like to commend the outstanding and creative leadership of Senator BURNS on this issue. He is a leader on tech-nology issues in the Senate, and has played an invaluable role in developing this approach. I look forward to working with him, and our other original cosponsor in building the support nec-essary to see the PROTECT Act signed into law during this Congress.

SENATE SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON THE YEAR 2000 TECHNOLOGY PROBLEM

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, on March 25, 1999, the Senate Special Com-mittee on the Year 2000 Technology Problem published its rules of proce-dure. Also published was an overview of the Computations interdesting and au the Committee's jurisdiction and au-thority. We publish today the corrected and complete statement of jurisdiction and authority of the Committee which is provided by S. Res. 208, 105th Con-gress, as amended by S. Res. 231, 105th Congress, and S. Res. 7, 106th Congress. Mr. Fresident, I ask unanimous con-

sent that the corrected and completed

sent that the corrected and completed statement of jurisdiction and authority be printed in the RECORD. There being no objection, the mate-rial was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. RES. 208, APRIL 2, 1998, AS AMENDED Resolved

SECTION 1. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE SPECIAL COMMITTEE. (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a special committee of the Senate to be known

Document No. 79