

HEINONLINE

Citation: 1 Copyright Technical Amendments Act Satellite Home
Act Amendments P.L. 105-80 111 Stat. 1529 H1053 1997

Content downloaded/printed from
HeinOnline (<http://heinonline.org>)
Fri Mar 22 12:55:40 2013

- Your use of this HeinOnline PDF indicates your acceptance of HeinOnline's Terms and Conditions of the license agreement available at <http://heinonline.org/HOL/License>
- The search text of this PDF is generated from uncorrected OCR text.

SEC. 2. APPOINTMENTS OF MARSHALS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 37 of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in section 561(c)—

(A) by striking "The President shall appoint, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate," and inserting "The Attorney General shall appoint"; and

(B) by inserting "United States marshals shall be appointed subject to the provisions of title 5 governing appointments in the competitive civil service, and shall be paid in accordance with the provisions of chapter 51 and subchapter III of chapter 53 of such title relating to classification and pay rates." after the first sentence;

(2) by striking subsection (d) of section 561;

(3) by redesignating subsections (e), (f), (g), (h), and (i) of section 561 as subsections (d), (e), (f), (g), and (h), respectively; and

(4) by striking section 562.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 37 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 562.

SEC. 3. TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS; PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT OF CERTAIN UNITED STATES MARSHALS.

(a) INCUMBENT MARSHALS.—Notwithstanding the amendments made by this Act, each marshal appointed under chapter 37 of title 28, United States Code, before the date of the enactment of this Act shall, unless that marshal resigns or is removed by the President, continue to perform the duties of that office until the expiration of that marshal's term and the appointment of a successor.

(b) VACANCIES AFTER ENACTMENT.—Notwithstanding the amendments made by this Act, with respect to the first vacancy which occurs in the office of United States marshal in any district, during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 1999, the President shall appoint, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, a marshal to fill that vacancy for a term of 4 years. Any marshal appointed by the President under this subsection shall, unless that marshal resigns or is removed from office by the President, continue to perform the duties of that office after the end of the four-year term to which such marshal was appointed or until a successor is appointed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. MCCOLLUM] and the gentleman from Florida [Mr. WEXLER] each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida [Mr. MCCOLLUM].

(Mr. MCCOLLUM asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, founded in 1789, the United States Marshals Service is the Nation's oldest Federal law enforcement agency. The Marshals Service is charged with many significant and difficult law enforcement responsibilities, many of which the average citizen is not even aware. For example, it is the

U.S. Marshals Service, not the FBI or other Federal agencies, which successfully runs the witness security program, a program more important now than ever in the battle against retaliatory gang murders.

Since its inception in 1971, more than 6,600 witnesses, and this number does not include family members, have been protected and relocated by the Marshals Service under the witness security program. The Marshals Service is very proud of its record, Mr. Speaker, because they have never lost a Federal witness who remained in the program and followed the rules. Other critical Marshals Service duties include protection of the Federal judiciary, apprehension of Federal fugitives, management of seized and forfeited assets, and transportation of Federal prisoners.

The U.S. Marshals Service and U.S. marshals are currently appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate. There is no criteria for the selection of U.S. marshals; neither managerial nor law enforcement experience is necessary.

H.R. 927, the United States Marshals Service Improvement Act, would change the selection process of the Nation's 94 U.S. marshals to appointment by the Attorney General. This bill would depoliticize the U.S. Marshals Service by requiring that U.S. marshals be selected on a competitive basis from among the career managers within the Marshals Service rather than being nominated by the administration and approved by the Senate.

Under this legislation, incumbent U.S. marshals would continue to perform duties of their office until their terms expire, unless they resigned or were removed by the President. Marshals selected between the date of enactment of the bill on December 31, 1999 will also be appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate and will serve for 4 years.

Unlike all other Marshals Service employees, the presidentially appointed marshal is not subject to disciplinary actions, cannot be reassigned, and can only be removed by the President or upon appointment of a successor. This lack of accountability has resulted in numerous problems, including budgetary irresponsibility among individual marshals. Moreover, many U.S. marshals lack experience in Federal law enforcement. This inexperience, coupled with an unfamiliarity of the very demands of the Marshals Service necessitates a glut of middle managers to assist the U.S. marshals.

Chief deputy U.S. marshals, the career managers within the Marshals Service, provide the requisite leadership in the offices. They in turn are assisted by supervisory deputy U.S. marshals.

H.R. 927 would professionalize the Marshals Service by insuring that only knowledgeable career personnel would become marshals; thus there would no longer be a need for a surplus of middle managers and Federal dollars would be

saved. In fact, the Congressional Budget Office estimates that once fully implemented, this bill would save approximately \$3 million a year.

□ 1445

Mr. Speaker, I believe that H.R. 927 is a commonsense approach to professionalizing the U.S. Marshals Service. This identical bill was passed overwhelmingly in the 104th Congress by the U.S. House on May 1, 1996.

This legislation is a priority of the Federal Law Enforcement Officers Association and is supported by the Fraternal Order of Police. This bill is a small but important step in this committee's ongoing efforts to improve the administration of Federal law enforcement, and I urge my colleagues to support it.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WEXLER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 1 minute.

Mr. Speaker, the purpose of this bill is to make the post of the U.S. marshal a professional position rather than a political appointment. Currently, marshals are typically designated by the Senators of the respective States. Under this bill, they would instead be appointed by the Director of the Marshals Service.

This bill was originally proposed by the President as part of his reinventing Government initiative. It is supported by the Federal Law Enforcement Officers Association because they believe it will improve the Marshals Service. I agree with them, and I urge support of this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CAMP). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida [Mr. MCCOLLUM] that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 927.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS TO COPYRIGHT LAWS

Mr. COBLE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 672) to make technical amendments to certain provisions of title 17, United States Code, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 672

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS TO THE SATELLITE HOME VIEWER ACT OF 1994.

The Satellite Home Viewer Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-369) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 2(3)(A) is amended to read as follows:

“(A) in clause (i) by striking ‘12 cents’ and inserting ‘17.5 cents per subscriber in the case of superstations that as retransmitted by the satellite carrier include any program which, if delivered by any cable system in the United States, would be subject to the syndicated exclusivity rules of the Federal Communications Commission, and 14 cents per subscriber in the case of superstations that are syndex-proof as defined in section 258.2 of title 37, Code of Federal Regulations’; and”.

(2) Section 2(4) is amended to read as follows:

“(4) Subsection (c) is amended—

“(A) in paragraph (1)—

“(i) by striking ‘until December 31, 1992’;

“(ii) by striking ‘(2), (3) or (4)’ and inserting ‘(2) or (3)’; and

“(iii) by striking the second sentence;

“(B) in paragraph (2)—

“(i) in subparagraph (A) by striking ‘July 1, 1991’ and inserting ‘July 1, 1996’; and

“(ii) in subparagraph (D) by striking ‘December 31, 1994’ and inserting ‘December 31, 1999, or in accordance with the terms of the agreement, whichever is later’; and

“(C) in paragraph (3)—

“(i) in subparagraph (A) by striking ‘December 31, 1991’ and inserting ‘January 1, 1997’;

“(ii) by amending subparagraph (B) to read as follows:

“(B) ESTABLISHMENT OF ROYALTY FEES.—In determining royalty fees under this paragraph, the copyright arbitration royalty panel appointed under chapter 8 shall establish fees for the retransmission of network stations and superstations that most clearly represent the fair market value of secondary transmissions. In determining the fair market value, the panel shall base its decision on economic, competitive, and programming information presented by the parties, including—

“(i) the competitive environment in which such programming is distributed, the cost of similar signals in similar private and compulsory license marketplaces, and any special features and conditions of the retransmission marketplace;

“(ii) the economic impact of such fees on copyright owners and satellite carriers; and

“(iii) the impact on the continued availability of secondary transmissions to the public.”; and

“(iii) in subparagraph (C), by inserting ‘or July 1, 1997, whichever is later’ after ‘section 802(g)’.”.

(3) Section 2(5)(A) is amended to read as follows:

“(A) in paragraph (5)(C) by striking ‘the date of the enactment of the Satellite Home Viewer Act of 1988’ and inserting ‘November 16, 1988’; and”.

SEC. 2. COPYRIGHT IN RESTORED WORKS.

Section 104A of title 17, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Subsection (d)(3)(A) is amended to read as follows:

“(3) EXISTING DERIVATIVE WORKS.—(A) In the case of a derivative work that is based upon a restored work and is created—

“(i) before the date of the enactment of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, if the source country of the restored work is an eligible country on such date, or

“(ii) before the date on which the source country of the restored work becomes an eligible country, if that country is not an eligible country on such date of enactment,

a reliance party may continue to exploit that derivative work for the duration of the restored copyright if the reliance party pays to the owner of the restored copyright reasonable compensation for conduct which would be subject to a remedy for infringe-

ment but for the provisions of this paragraph.”.

(2) Subsection (e)(1)(B)(ii) is amended by striking the last sentence.

(3) Subsection (h)(2) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) The ‘date of restoration’ of a restored copyright is—

“(A) January 1, 1996, if the source country of the restored work is a nation adhering to the Berne Convention or a WTO member country on such date, or

“(B) the date of adherence or proclamation, in the case of any other source country of the restored work.”.

(4) Subsection (h)(3) is amended to read as follows:

“(3) The term ‘eligible country’ means a nation, other than the United States, that—

“(A) becomes a WTO member country after the date of the enactment of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act;

“(B) on such date of enactment is, or after such date of enactment becomes, a member of the Berne Convention; or

“(C) after such date of enactment becomes subject to a proclamation under subsection (g).

For purposes of this section, a nation that is a member of the Berne Convention on the date of the enactment of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act shall be construed to become an eligible country on such date of enactment.”.

SEC. 3. LICENSES FOR NONEXEMPT SUBSCRIPTION TRANSMISSIONS.

Section 114(f) of title 17, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting “, or, if a copyright arbitration royalty panel is convened, ending 30 days after the Librarian issues and publishes in the Federal Register an order adopting the determination of the copyright arbitration royalty panel or an order setting the terms and rates (if the Librarian rejects the panel’s determination)” after “December 31, 2000”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “and publish in the Federal Register”.

SEC. 4. ROYALTY PAYABLE UNDER COMPULSORY LICENSE.

Section 115(c)(3)(D) of title 17, United States Code, is amended by striking “and publish in the Federal Register”.

SEC. 5. NEGOTIATED LICENSE FOR JUKEBOXES.

Section 116 of title 17, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by amending subsection (b)(2) to read as follows:

“(2) ARBITRATION.—Parties not subject to such a negotiation may determine, by arbitration in accordance with the provisions of chapter 8, the terms and rates and the division of fees described in paragraph (1).”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, the following terms mean the following:

“(1) A ‘coin-operated phonorecord player’ is a machine or device that—

“(A) is employed solely for the performance of nondramatic musical works by means of phonorecords upon being activated by the insertion of coins, currency, tokens, or other monetary units or their equivalent;

“(B) is located in an establishment making no direct or indirect charge for admission;

“(C) is accompanied by a list which is comprised of the titles of all the musical works available for performance on it, and is affixed to the phonorecord player or posted in the establishment in a prominent position where it can be readily examined by the public; and

“(D) affords a choice of works available for performance and permits the choice to be made by the patrons of the establishment in which it is located.

“(2) An ‘operator’ is any person who, alone or jointly with others—

“(A) owns a coin-operated phonorecord player;

“(B) has the power to make a coin-operated phonorecord player available for placement in an establishment for purposes of public performance; or

“(C) has the power to exercise primary control over the selection of the musical works made available for public performance on a coin-operated phonorecord player.”.

SEC. 6. REGISTRATION AND INFRINGEMENT ACTIONS.

Section 411(b)(1) of title 17, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(1) serves notice upon the infringer, not less than 48 hours before such fixation, identifying the work and the specific time and source of its first transmission, and declaring an intention to secure copyright in the work; and”.

SEC. 7. COPYRIGHT OFFICE FEES.

(a) FEE INCREASES.—Section 708(b) of title 17, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(b) In calendar year 1997 and in any subsequent calendar year, the Register of Copyrights, by regulation, may increase the fees specified in subsection (a) in the following manner:

“(1) The Register shall conduct a study of the costs incurred by the Copyright Office for the registration of claims, the recordation of documents, and the provision of services. The study shall also consider the timing of any increase in fees and the authority to use such fees consistent with the budget.

“(2) The Register may, on the basis of the study under paragraph (1), and subject to paragraph (5), increase fees to not more than that necessary to cover the reasonable costs incurred by the Copyright Office for the services described in paragraph (1), plus a reasonable inflation adjustment to account for any estimated increase in costs.

“(3) Any fee established under paragraph (2) shall be rounded off to the nearest dollar, or for a fee less than \$12, rounded off to the nearest 50 cents.

“(4) Fees established under this subsection shall be fair and equitable and give due consideration to the objectives of the copyright system.

“(5) If the Register determines under paragraph (2) that fees should be increased, the Register shall prepare a proposed fee schedule and submit the schedule with the accompanying economic analysis to the Congress. The fees proposed by the Register may be instituted after the end of 120 days after the schedule is submitted to the Congress unless, within that 120-day period, a law is enacted stating in substance that the Congress does not approve the schedule.”.

(b) DEPOSIT OF FEES.—Section 708(d) of such title is amended to read as follows:

“(d)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), all fees received under this section shall be deposited by the Register of Copyrights in the Treasury of the United States and shall be credited to the appropriations for necessary expenses of the Copyright Office. Such fees that are collected shall remain available until expended. The Register may, in accordance with regulations that he or she shall prescribe, refund any sum paid by mistake or in excess of the fee required by this section.

“(2) In the case of fees deposited against future services, the Register of Copyrights shall request the Secretary of the Treasury to invest in interest-bearing securities in the United States Treasury any portion of the fees that, as determined by the Register, is not required to meet current deposit account demands. Funds from such portion of fees shall be invested in securities that permit

funds to be available to the Copyright Office at all times if they are determined to be necessary to meet current deposit account demands. Such investments shall be in public debt securities with maturities suitable to the needs of the Copyright Office, as determined by the Register of Copyrights, and bearing interest at rates determined by the Secretary of the Treasury, taking into consideration current market yields on outstanding marketable obligations of the United States of comparable maturities.

"(3) The income on such investments shall be deposited in the Treasury of the United States and shall be credited to the appropriations for necessary expenses of the Copyright Office."

SEC. 8. COPYRIGHT ARBITRATION ROYALTY PANELS.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT AND PURPOSE.**—Section 801 of title 17, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(1) by striking "and 116" in the first sentence and inserting "116, and 119";

(2) in subsection (c) by inserting after "panel" at the end of the sentence the following:

"including—

"(1) authorizing the distribution of those royalty fees collected under sections 111, 119, and 1005 that the Librarian has found are not subject to controversy; and

"(2) accepting or rejecting royalty claims filed under sections 111, 119, and 1007 on the basis of timeliness or the failure to establish the basis for a claim"; and

(3) by amending subsection (d) to read as follows:

"(d) **SUPPORT AND REIMBURSEMENT OF ARBITRATION PANELS.**—The Librarian of Congress, upon the recommendation of the Register of Copyrights, shall provide the copyright arbitration royalty panels with the necessary administrative services related to proceedings under this chapter, and shall reimburse the arbitrators presiding in distribution proceedings at such intervals and in such manner as the Librarian shall provide by regulation. Each such arbitrator is an independent contractor acting on behalf of the United States, and shall be hired pursuant to a signed agreement between the Library of Congress and the arbitrator. Payments to the arbitrators shall be considered reasonable costs incurred by the Library of Congress and the Copyright Office for purposes of section 802(h)(1)."

(b) **PROCEEDINGS.**—Section 802(h) of title 17, United States Code, is amended by amending paragraph (1) to read as follows:

"(1) **DEDUCTION OF COSTS OF LIBRARY OF CONGRESS AND COPYRIGHT OFFICE FROM ROYALTY FEES.**—The Librarian of Congress and the Register of Copyrights may, to the extent not otherwise provided under this title, deduct from royalty fees deposited or collected under this title the reasonable costs incurred by the Library of Congress and the Copyright Office under this chapter. Such deduction may be made before the fees are distributed to any copyright claimants. In addition, all funds made available by an appropriations Act as offsetting collections and available for deductions under this subsection shall remain available until expended. In ratemaking proceedings, the reasonable costs of the Librarian of Congress and the Copyright Office shall be borne by the parties to the proceedings as directed by the arbitration panels under subsection (c)."

SEC. 9. DIGITAL AUDIO RECORDING DEVICES AND MEDIA.

Section 1007(b) of title 17, United States Code, is amended by striking "Within 30 days after" in the first sentence and inserting "After".

SEC. 10. CONFORMING AMENDMENT.

Section 4 of the Digital Performance Right in Sound Recordings Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-39) is amended by redesignating paragraph (5) as paragraph (4).

SEC. 11. MISCELLANEOUS TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.

(a) **AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 17, UNITED STATES CODE.**—Title 17, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) The table of chapters at the beginning of title 17, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in the item relating to chapter 6, by striking "Requirement" and inserting "Requirements";

(B) in the item relating to chapter 8, by striking "Royalty Tribunal" and inserting "Arbitration Royalty Panels";

(C) in the item relating to chapter 9, by striking "semiconductor chip products" and inserting "Semiconductor Chip Products"; and

(D) by inserting after the item relating to chapter 9 the following:

"10. **Digital Audio Recording Devices and Media** 1001".

(2) The item relating to section 117 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1 is amended to read as follows:

"117. **Limitations on exclusive rights: Computer programs.**"

(3) Section 101 is amended in the definition of to perform or display a work "publicly" by striking "process" and inserting "process".

(4) Section 108(e) is amended by striking "pair" and inserting "fair".

(5) Section 109(b)(2)(B) is amended by striking "Copyright" and inserting "Copyrights".

(6) Section 110 is amended—
(A) in paragraph (8) by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon;

(B) in paragraph (9) by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and"; and

(C) in paragraph (10) by striking "4 above" and inserting "(4)".

(7) Section 115(c)(3)(E) is amended—
(A) in clause (i) by striking "sections 106(1) and (3)" each place it appears and inserting "paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 106"; and

(B) in clause (ii)(I) by striking "sections 106(1) and 106(3)" and inserting "paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 106".

(8) Section 119(c)(1) is amended by striking "until unless" and inserting "unless".

(9) Section 304(c) is amended in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking "the subsection (a)(1)(C)" and inserting "subsection (a)(1)(C)".

(10) Section 405(b) is amended by striking "condition or" and inserting "condition for".

(11) Section 407(d)(2) is amended by striking "cost of" and inserting "cost to".

(12) The item relating to section 504 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 5 is amended by striking "Damage" and inserting "Damages".

(13) Section 504(c)(2) is amended by striking "court it" and inserting "court in".

(14) Section 509(b) is amended by striking "merchandise; and baggage" and inserting "merchandise, and baggage".

(15) Section 601(a) is amended by striking "nondramatic" and inserting "nondramatic".

(16) Section 601(b)(1) is amended by striking "substantial" and inserting "substantial".

(17) The item relating to section 710 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 7 is amended by striking "Reproductions" and inserting "Reproduction".

(18) The item relating to section 801 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 8 is amended by striking "establishment" and inserting "Establishment".

(19) Section 801(b) is amended—
(A) by striking "shall be—" and inserting "shall be as follows";

(B) in paragraph (1) by striking "to make" and inserting "To make";

(C) in paragraph (2)—
(i) by striking "to make" and inserting "To make"; and

(ii) in subparagraph (D) by striking "adjustment; and" and inserting "adjustment."; and
(D) in paragraph (3) by striking "to distribute" and inserting "To distribute".

(20) Section 803(b) is amended in the second sentence by striking "subsection subsection" and inserting "subsection".

(21) The item relating to section 903 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 9 is amended to read as follows:

"903. **Ownership, transfer, licensure, and rec- ordation.**"

(22) Section 909(b)(1) is amended—
(A) by striking "force" and inserting "work"; and

(B) by striking "symbol" and inserting "symbol".

(23) Section 910(a) is amended in the second sentence by striking "as used" and inserting "As used".

(24) Section 1006(b)(1) is amended by striking "Federation Television" and inserting "Federation of Television".

(25) Section 1007 is amended—
(A) in subsection (a)(1) by striking "the calendar year in which this chapter takes effect" and inserting "calendar year 1992"; and

(B) in subsection (b) by striking "the year in which this section takes effect" and inserting "1992".

(b) **RELATED PROVISIONS.**—

(1) Section 1(a)(1) of the Act entitled "An Act to amend chapter 9 of title 17, United States Code, regarding protection extended to semiconductor chip products of foreign entities", approved November 9, 1987 (17 U.S.C. 914 note), is amended by striking "originating" and inserting "originating".

(2) Section 2319(b)(1) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by striking "last 10" and inserting "least 10".

SEC. 12. EFFECTIVE DATES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in subsections (b) and (c), the amendments made by this Act shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) **SATELLITE HOME VIEWER ACT.**—The amendments made by section 1 shall be effective as if enacted as part of the Satellite Home Viewer Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-369).

(c) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.**—The amendment made by section 11(b)(1) shall be effective as if enacted on November 9, 1987.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from North Carolina [Mr. COBLE] and the gentleman from Florida [Mr. WEXLER] each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida [Mr. COBLE].

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. COBLE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. COBLE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

(Mr. COBLE asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. COBLE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 672, to make technical amendments to certain provisions of title 17 of the United States Code. An amended version of this bill is presented for passage under suspension of the rules.

The amendment to the reported bill corrects an error contained in the bill as introduced, which inadvertently strikes a provision of present law which should remain for purposes of maintaining consistency between certain sections in title 17. It reaffirms the current practice of the Copyright Office to allow participants in a rate-making proceeding to share the cost of that proceeding in direct proportion to their share of the distribution.

Mr. Speaker, I am unaware of any opposition to this amendment.

All the provisions contained in this bill are necessary for the proper functioning of the U.S. Copyright Office and the copyright system, and I am unaware of any opposition to this legislation. I urge a favorable vote on H.R. 672.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. WEXLER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 672, a bill to make a number of technical corrections to title 17 of the United States Code, including corrections to drafting errors in the Satellite Home Viewer Act, section 104(a), dealing with restoration of copyright protection in certain preexisting works; licenses for nonexempt subscription transmissions; negotiated licenses for jukeboxes; notice time for infringement actions, copyright office fee schedules, court proceedings, and reports pursuant to the Audio Home Recording Act of 1992.

Mr. Speaker, I am aware of no objections to any of these amendments to law and recommend their adoption under suspension of the rules.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. COBLE. Mr. Speaker, I submit for the RECORD a letter from the Copyright Office of the United States regarding H.R. 672.

THE REGISTER OF COPYRIGHTS
OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Washington, DC, March 18, 1997.

Hon. HOWARD COBLE,
Chairman, Subcommittee on Courts and Intellectual Property, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. COBLE: We note that language in House Report 105-25 accompanying H.R. 672 regarding the Copyright Office not needing appropriations beginning in 1999 is not entirely correct. In contributing to those portions of the Report entitled "Summary" and "Estimated Cost to the Federal Government," it appears that the Congressional Budget Office did not realize that some Copyright Office operations—for example, administration of the mandatory deposit requirements of 17 U.S.C. §407—are not fee services, and would not be covered by a fee increase, even to full cost recovery as permitted (but not required) by Section 7 of H.R. 672.

We would appreciate your confirming on the floor of the House that it is not the intent of Congress that the Copyright Office become self-sustaining under H.R. 672, or that it raise fees to cover the full cost of all services that it provides. As the section-by-section analysis states correctly, the bill grants the Copyright Office, subject to contrary Congressional action, authority to "in-

crease fees up to the reasonable costs incurred by the Copyright Office" plus a reasonable adjustment for future cost increases, provided those fees are "fair and equitable and give due consideration to the objectives of the copyright system." This allows the Register of Copyrights to "decide that fees may be less than the costs of the services provided, if that furthers the objectives of the copyright system."

Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

MARYBETH PETERS.

Mr. COBLE. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from North Carolina [Mr. COBLE] that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 672, as amended.

The question was taken.

Mr. COBLE. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 5, rule I, and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

The point of no quorum is considered withdrawn.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA INSPECTOR GENERAL IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 1997

Mr. DAVIS of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 514) to permit the waiver of District of Columbia residency requirements for certain employees of the Office of the Inspector General of the District of Columbia, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 514

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "District of Columbia Inspector General Improvement Act of 1997".

SEC. 2. WAIVER OF RESIDENCY REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN EMPLOYEES OF INSPECTOR GENERAL.

Section 906 of the District of Columbia Government Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act of 1978 (sec. 1-610.6, D.C. Code) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by inserting "or subsection (d)" after "subsection (c)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d) At the request of the Inspector General (as described in section 208(a) of the District of Columbia Procurement Practices Act of 1985), the Director of Personnel may waive the application of subsections (a) and (b) to employees of the Office of the Inspector General."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. DAVIS] and the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. SCOTT] each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. DAVIS].

(Mr. DAVIS of Virginia asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DAVIS of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, my thanks to the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. BURTON] for permitting expeditious consideration of this bill.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 514 is straightforward legislation. It was part of H.R. 3664 which was approved by the Subcommittee on the District of Columbia and the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight last June 20. There are complications, however, with other parts of the bill and it was never taken before the full House.

This bill is being brought forward separately this year because there is an urgent need to pass section 2. That section allows the director of personnel of the District of Columbia to waive the residency requirement for employees of the Office of Inspector General at the request of the inspector general.

This legislation is necessary because the personnel in the IG's office are all defined as excepted personnel under the Merit Personnel Act and are required to reside in the District of Columbia within 12 months of employment. The bill would thus guarantee the widest possible talent pool for the inspector general to hire from. Considering the importance placed in this office when it was enhanced in the control board legislation, I agreed to pursue the waiver that this bill contains.

The IG's office currently consists of 35 individuals, a number of whom are not District residents. These individuals accepted employment on condition that their employment would not be barred by the residency requirement.

The Office of Personnel has determined that, lacking authority to grant a waiver, that the residency requirement will have to be enforced beginning as early as March 24. Thus, failure to pass this legislation, H.R. 514, at this time could result in a significant exodus of highly trained and qualified personnel at a time of numerous sensitive investigations. This would clearly be unacceptable, particularly in light of the fact that the inspector general has just announced her resignation from the District and this would really leave the office utterly rudderless.

The Congressional Budget Office has certified that this bill would not effect the Federal budget. I would urge passage of H.R. 514.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SCOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume. I would like to thank the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. BURTON], the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. DAVIS], and the gentleman from California [Mr. WAXMAN] for their work on the District of Columbia Inspector General Improvement Act.

Mr. Speaker, it is noncontroversial. A lot of work has gone into it, and Mr. Speaker, I would hope that the House would pass the bill.

