

Using Patents to Teach Engineers Innovation & Invention

David Orozco

Kellogg School of Management,
Northwestern University

Engineering Enterprise Through IPRs

May 17, 2007

Sanity Check

Why Teach Patents to Engineers?

A Changing World

- The World *is* Flat!

 - Engineering may be outsourced
 - Engineering Services Outsourcing (ESO) to India can attract some \$50 billion
- National Association of Software and Service Companies,
"Globalization of Engineering Services - The Next Frontier for India", Research Report (2006)
-

A New Vision

- The essence of strategy is difference you can preserve (M. Porter)

 - This applies to engineering.
-

Goals

□ A need exists to:

- Differentiate &
 - Create Wealth
-

The Role of Patents

□ Patents can be used to teach
Examples of

- Differentiated design (Dym et al., 2005)
 - Legal title to innovations with commercial significance (Conley & Orozco, 2007).
-

Prior Research

- Patents are undervalued tools to teach Design & Wealth Creation opportunities. (Garris, 2001)
-

Garris' Findings

- "Patent literature shows in detail the very best design."
 - "Seeking alternative designs... is good design practice.. in the beginning of design."
 - "There is a legal minefield."
 - "keen understanding of the patent system [would allow engineering] to become a very lucrative profession"
-

Obstacles

- ❑ Patents are a legal concept
 - ❑ Engineering faculty are not comfortable with patents
 - ❑ How to bridge the abyss??
-

The Solution?

- ❑ Reading lots of patents
 - ❑ Preferably those with rich histories
 - ❑ Conferences like this!
-

Our Experience

- Innovation & Invention - IDEA 395
-

Our Experience

- We try to validate the findings expressed in Garris, 2001
-

A Vast Repository of Design

- Patents offer a large technical knowledge stock of Prior Art
 - This is our Text!

 - Each patent offers a design challenge section relative to prior art, called “Background of the Invention”.
-

Alternative Solutions

- Each patent specification offers alternative embodiments.

 - These are reflected in the claims as well: e.g. method/product/system claims
-

Lawsuits (aka No Trespassing!)

- ❑ Most of the selected patents have been litigated and licensed
- ❑ The claims provide legal title.
- ❑ Knowledge of the legal ground rules of the patent system offers advantages: continuations (Black & Decker Snakelight) (Conley & Orozco, 2007)

Note: Semantic Differential

- ❑ A word on patent language, especially claims
- ❑ The semantic differential
- ❑ A good intro to relativism and its ties to design.
- ❑ Can be a fun and powerful learning tool.

Commercial Relevance

- Each selected patent offers a unique insight into commercial advantage
 - Lower manufacturing cost - better features - protected business method - early stage of technology & broad claims - standards.
 - Patents teach the engineers what is patentable, how, and why they should exploit the intellectual property system to their advantage.
-

Summary

- There is a foundation for building the course as a subject around the world.
 - We need more interdisciplinary collaboration and engineering “buy-in”
 - We welcome your feedback
-

Albert Einstein

- "Within every great challenge lies opportunity."
-

Thank You!

- d-orozco@kellogg.northwestern.edu
-

References

- National Association of Software and Service Companies, "Globalization of Engineering Services – The Next Frontier for India", Research Report (2006)
 - Clive L. Dym et al., "Engineering Design Thinking, Teaching, and Learning", Journal of Engineering Education, Vol. 94 No. 1 (2005)
 - Charles A. Garris Jr., "The United States Patent System: An Essential Role in Engineering Design Education", Journal of Engineering Education, Vol. 239 (2001)
 - James Conley and David Orozco, "Innovation and Invention – A Patent Guide for Inventors and Managers", Kellogg Case Series, Forthcoming Summer 2007
-