

United States District Court,
C.D. California.

COLD JET LLC,
Plaintiff.

v.

**PHOENIX UNLIMITED LLC; Alfred E. Seguire, Jr.; Scott A. Schiefelbein; Gary L. Ahlmann;
Daniel B. Swanson; Ken Krefting; Russ Lawler; Scott Perkins; Thomas Pickard; Stephen Whitt; And
Michael Weston,**
Defendants.

No. CV 05-5295 RSWL(SSX)

Sept. 20, 2006.

Ann Marie Mortimer, Hunton And Williams, Los Angeles, CA, David E. Schmidt, William E. Gallagher,
Frost Brown Todd Cincinnati, OH, for Plaintiff.

David H. Ricks, David H. Ricks And Associates, Rancho Cucamonga, CA, for Defendant.

ORDER CONSTRUING PATENT CLAIM TERMS

RONALD S.W. LEW, District Judge.

Plaintiff's Motion to Construe Patent Claim Terms came on for regular hearing before this Court on
September 18, 2006. Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff Cold Jet were David Schmidt and Ann Mortimer.
Appearing on behalf of all the Defendants except for Russ Lawler was David Ricks.

Having considered all the papers and argument in this matter,

THE COURT NOW FINDS AND RULES AS FOLLOWS:

In light of the language contained in the claims of Patent No. 6,346,035 and the detailed description and
drawings contained within the patent specification, this Court construes the disputed claim terms as follows:

A "**non-rotating pad**" is a thin flat mat or cushion that does not move with the rotor.

A "**pneumatic cylinder**" is a chamber in which a piston operates under the action of compressed air.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

C.D.Cal.,2006.

Cold Jet LLC v. Phoenix Unlimited LLC

Produced by Sans Paper, LLC.