

United States District Court,
E.D. Texas, Sherman Division.

STMICROELECTRONICS, INC,
v.
SANDISK CORPORATION.

No. 4:05CV44

Nov. 10, 2005.

Bruce S. Sostek, Frank Finn, Jane Politz Brandt, Thompson & Knight, James Patrick Bradley, Kelley Anne Conaty, Thomas N. Tarnay, Sidley Austin, Dallas, TX, Clyde Moody Siebman, Lawrence Augustine Phillips, Siebman Reynolds Burg & Phillips LLP, Sherman, TX, for Plaintiff.

Carl R. Roth, The Roth Law Firm, P.C., Michael Charles Smith, Siebman Reynolds Burg Phillips & Smith, LLP, Marshall, TX, James C. Yoon, Julie M. Holloway, Lance G. Kavanaugh, Michael A. Ladra, S. Michael Song, Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, Palo Alto, CA, Mark Nolan Reiter, Steven Mark Geiszler, Gibson Dunn & Crutcher, Dallas, TX, Sheila D. Gray, Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, Austin, TX, Steven S. Baik, Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, Menlo Park, CA, for Defendant.

***MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES
MAGISTRATE JUDGE***

RICHARD A. SCHELL, District Judge.

Came on for consideration the Report of the United States Magistrate Judge in this action, this matter having been heretofore referred to United States Magistrate Judge Don D. Bush pursuant to 28 U.S.C. s. 636. On October 20, 2005, the Report of the Magistrate Judge was entered containing his proposed findings of fact and recommendations that the Court adopt the Special Master's Claims Construction Report and that Special Master Methvin's findings and conclusions of law become those of the Court.

Having received the report of the United States Magistrate Judge, and having made a *de novo* review of the objections filed by Defendant thereto, this Court is of the opinion that Defendant's objections are without merit. The Court therefore finds that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and adopts the same as the findings and conclusions of the Court. It is, therefore,

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the Court **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Don D. Bush filed on October 20, 2005 as the opinion of the Court. The Court further **ADOPTS** the Special Master's Claims Construction Report, which was attached to the Report and Recommendation as Exhibit A, and **ADOPTS** Special Master Methvin's findings and conclusions of law, which, pursuant to this order, constitute the Court's ruling on the construction of the disputed patent claims in this proceeding, as those of the Court.

E.D.Tex.,2005.

STmicroelectronics, Inc. v. Sandisk Corp.

Produced by Sans Paper, LLC.