

United States District Court,
N.D. Ohio, Western Division.

BARD MANUFACTURING CO,
Plaintiff.

v.
EUBANK MANUFACTURING ENTERPRISES, INC,
Defendant.

Jan. 11, 2002.

Anuj K. Wadhwa, Baniak, Pine & Gannon, Christina L. Brown, Michael H. Baniak, McDonnell, Boehnen, Hulbert & Berghoff, Chicago, IL, for Plaintiff.

A. Sidney Katz, Richard L. Wood, Robert B. Breisblatt, Welsh & Katz, Chicago, IL, David M. Maiorana, Kenneth R. Adamo, Susan M. Gerber, Jones Day, Cleveland, OH, for Defendant.

JUDGMENT ENTRY

DAVID A. KATZ, District Judge.

For the reasons stated in the Memorandum Opinion filed contemporaneously with this entry, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that Defendant's motion for leave to amend (Doc. No. 57) is denied;

FURTHER ORDERED that the disputed claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,301,744 and 5,485, 878 are given the construction set forth in Section I(E) of the discussion portion of the Memorandum Opinion issuing with this Judgment.

N.D.Ohio,2002.
Bard Mfg. Co. v. Eubank Mfg. Enterprises, Inc.

Produced by Sans Paper, LLC.