

United States District Court,
D. Delaware.

MOSEL VITELIC CORP,
Plaintiff.

v.

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC,
Defendant.

Micron Technology, Inc,
Counter-Plaintiff.

v.

Mosel Vitelic Corp. and Mosel Vitelic, Inc,
Counter-Defendants.

Civil Action No. 98-449-GMS

Feb. 15, 2000.

Lewis H. Lazarus, Morris James LLP, Richard D. Kirk, Bayard, P.A., Wilmington, DE, for Plaintiff.

Richard K. Herrmann, Morris James LLP, Wilmington, DE, for Defendant.

ORDER CONSTRUING THE DISPUTED TERMS OF U.S. Patent No. 5.818.780

After considering the submissions of the parties and hearing oral argument on the matter, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that, as used in the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,818,780,

1. The term "shorting circuit," in claims 8, 12, 18, 43, 49 and 54, means "a circuit that, when enabled, causes two nodes or wires to be connected together so that the voltage difference between the two nodes or wires is reduced at a rate that is faster than would otherwise occur;"

2. The term "spaced apart locations," in claims 12, 13, 45, 50, 62 and 66, means "two or more locations that are far enough away from each other so that the regulator circuit may respond to localized variations in the control circuit supply voltage;" and

3. The term "coupling," in claims 58, 63 and 67, means "directly or indirectly connecting two nodes or wires so that the voltage difference between the two is reduced at a rate which is faster than would otherwise occur."

D.Del.,2000.

Mosel Vitelic Corp. v. Micron Technology, Inc.

Produced by Sans Paper, LLC.