

CITIZENSHIP.

MEMORANDUM

February 23, 1940

Remitter: Consumers National Federation (4992)--Class A


Title: "Prices and Products Bulletin No. 3"

Question: Division of opinion as to whether there has been a proper statement of citizenship.

- The following statements appear in lines (3) and (4) of the copyright application:
- (3) Name of author or translator - "War Prices Committee of Consumers Natl. Fed., Katharine Jacobson, Editor."
 - (4) Country of which the ~~author~~^{editor} is a citizen - "England."

The Examiner makes the following recommendation: "If War Prices Committee of Consumers Nat'l. Federation is co-author of the work with Katharine Jacobson, the statement of their nationality should also be given in appl. line 3." Mrs. Brady, Mr. Smith and Mr. Pierce sustain the action of the Examiner. Mr. Wise on the other hand takes the position that the application filed gives an adequate statement of citizenship and no additional correspondence is necessary.

Respectfully submitted,



Chairman, Revisory Board

Write--possibly employer for hire. If so, U.S.A. citizenship.
Otherwise, U.S.A. and England--order of Mr. Howell.

Domicile

MEMORANDUM

February 23, 1940

Remitter: Bertha Krulik (8200)--Class E.


Title: "Blue River Waltz"

Question: Division of opinion as to whether further correspondence should be conducted on the subject of domicile."

Application filed gives the address of the copyright owner and composer (Kurt Stern) as 211 S. E. 3rd St., Evansville, Indiana, and in space (4) provided for the country of which the composer is a citizen (Kurt Stern) the following statement appears: "Vienna, Germany--first papers taken out here." In line (5) the statement of domicile in the United States is given as New York, New York. Noting the difference in addresses the Office conducted correspondence to determine the correct domiciliary address. Correspondent responded that the place of domicile is New York, New York, as stated in the application.

Under these circumstances, it is the opinion of Mr. Wise, Mr. Smith, and Mr. Pierce that registration should be made as applied for. Mrs. Brady, on the other hand, takes the position that correspondence should be conducted since in her opinion the applicant does not understand the term domicile and has confused "legal residence and domicile."

Respectfully submitted,


Chairman, Revisory Board

Register--order of Mr. Howell 3/4/40. "Many Government clerks live in Washington but are "domiciled" elsewhere for voting purposes, etc."