.

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 97TH CONGRESS

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

| BILL | | DATE | PAGE(S) |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------|---------|
| H.R. 6444 | | Sept. 15, 1982 | E4 184 |
| REMARKS: by Mr. Morrison | | • | |
| | | | |
| | H.R. 6444—PATENT LAW RESTORATION | | |
| | SPEECH OF HON. PETER A. PEYSER OF NEW YORK | | |
| | IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, September 13, 1982 | | |
| 5 | • Mr. PEYSER. Mr. Speaker, while I am very sympathetic with the prob- | | |
| | lems confronting pharmaceutical com- panies in the loss of patent protection time due to the delays in final approv- | | |
| | als by the FDA, I think that this bill, in its present form where it cannot be | | |
| | amended, is not in the best interest of the public and particularly the elderly. I would be willing to support an | | |
| | amendment to this legislation, such as the Frank amendment which would | | |
| | limit the extension time to the time elapsed between the actual filing for drug approval and final approval by | | |
| | the FDA. Drug research is obviously of great importance, and companies | | |
| | should not be penalized by needless loss of protection under their patent by delays over which they have no | | |
| 4 | control. It is certainly my intention to sup- port this legislation with the type of | | |
| | amendment I have spoken of when it comes to the floor in the next Con- | | |
| | gress. In the meantime, it is certainly my hope that pharmaceutical compa- nies, both for their good and for the | | |
| | good of the American public, will con- tinue their active research work that | | |
| | has done so much good in improving the health of all Americans. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

.