# HeinOnline

Citation: 4 Bernard D. Reams Jr. Law of E-SIGN A Legislative of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Act Public Law No. 106-229 2000 S2813 2002

Content downloaded/printed from HeinOnline (http://heinonline.org) Sun Apr 21 22:03:25 2013

- -- Your use of this HeinOnline PDF indicates your acceptance of HeinOnline's Terms and Conditions of the license agreement available at http://heinonline.org/HOL/License
- -- The search text of this PDF is generated from uncorrected OCR text.

The amendments en bloc are as follows

#### AMENDMENT NO. 3100

(Purpose: To amend the provision extending the authority of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to collect annual charges and modifying the formula for the charges)

modilying the formula for the charges) Beginning on page 5, strike line 2 and all that follows through page 7, line 22, and in-sert the following: SEC. 10. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION ANVEL CHARGES. Section 5101 of the Omnibus Budget Rec-

onciliation Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 2214) is a de d

amended— (1) in subsection (a)(3), by striking "Sep-tember 30, 1999" and inserting "September 20, 2005"; and

(2) in subsection (c)-

(a) in subsection (c)—

 (A) in paragraph (I), by inserting "or certificate holder" after "licensee"; and
 (B) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

following: (2) ACCREGATE AMOUNT OF CHARCES.-

"(2) AGCREGATE ANOUNT OF CHARCES.— "(A) IN CGNRAL.—The aggregate amount of the annual charges collected from all in-censees and certificate holders in a fiscal year shall equal an amount that approxi-mates the percentages of the budget author-ity of the Commission for the liscal year started in subparagraph (B), less.— "(amounts collected under subsection (b))"

(i) amounts appropriated to the Commis-on from the Nuclear Waste Fund for the duri

"(II) amounts own-ston from the Nuclear Waste runs... fiscal year. "(B) PERCENTAGES.—The percentages ferred to in subparagraph (A) are-"(I) 38 percent for fiscal year 2001; "(II) 49 percent for fiscal year 2002; "(II) 49 percent for fiscal year 2003; "(III) 40 percent for fiscal year 2003;

(Purpose: To amend the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 to provide the Nuclear Regulatory Commission authority over former licens-ees for funding of decommissionings)

On page 7, strike line 23 and insert the following:

# SEC. 102. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION AUTHORITY OVER FORMER LICENS-EES FOR DECOMMISSIONING FUND-

EES FOR DECOMMISSIONING FUND-Section [6]1. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1961 (40 U.S.C.2010)) is amended— (1): yout triking 'and (3)' and inserting (2) by inserting before the semicolon at the end the following: ', and (4) to ensure that sufficient funds will be available for the de-commissioning of any production or utiliza-tion facility licensed under section 108 or 109, including standards and restrictions governing the control, maintenance, use, and dist Act that has optical over any fund for the decommissioning of the facility.' SEC. IOS. COST RECOVERY FROM GOVERNMENT ACENCES. Mr. SESSIONS. I ask unanimous con-sent the amendments be agreed to en

sent the amendments be agreed to en bloc The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

The amendments (No. 3100 and 3101), en bloc, were agreed to. Mr. SESSIONS, I ask unanimous con-

sent that the committee substitute amendment, as amended, be agreed to. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

objection, it is so ordered. Mr. SESSIONS, I ask unanimous consent the bill, as amended, be read the third time and passed, and the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table the amendment to the title be agreed

to, and that any statements relating to the bill be printed in the RECORD. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

objection, it is so ordered. The bill (S. 1627), as amended, was read the third time and passed.

(The bill will be printed in a future edition of the RECORD.) An Act to extend the authority of the Nu-clear Regulatory Commission to collect ites through 2005, and for other purposes.

## CONTINUED REPORTING OF INTER-CEPTED WIRE, ORAL, AND ELEC-TRONIC COMMUNICATIONS ACT

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask the Chair lay before the Senate a mes-sage from the House of Representatives sage from the House of Representatives on the bill (S. 1769) to the reporting re-quirements of section 2519 of title 18, United States Code, beyond December 21, 1999, and for other purposes. The PRESIDING OFFICER laid be-

The PRESIDING OFT/CER laid be-fore the Senate the following message from the House of Representatives; *Resolved*, That the bill from the Senate (S. 1769) entitled "An Act to continue the re-porting requirements of section 2319 of title 189, and for other purposes", do pass with the following amendments: the following amendments:

insert:

insert: PROM AUTOMATIC ELMINATION SECTION I. EXEMPTION OF CERTAIN REPORTS PROM AUTOMATIC ELMINATION Section 5000 District for the Federal Reports Elmination of District Act of 1995 (31 U.S.C. 113 note) does not apply to any report regulard to be submitted under any of the following pro-visions of law:

visions of law: (1) The following sections of title 18, United States Code: sections 2519(3), 2709(e), 3126, and

(3) Section 3718(c) of title 31, United States co

Code. (4) Section 9 of the Child Protection Act or 1884 (88 U.S.C. 522 note). (5) Section 8 of the Chil Rights of Institu-tionalized Persons Act (42 U.S.C. 1997). (6) The Ioluwing provisions of the Onneise Child Month (2010). (2010). Streep, 522 (42 U.S.C. 3776(b), 520 (42 U.S.C. 3766), 322 (42 U.S.C. 3776(b), and 810 (42 U.S.C. 3766). (2010). (201

(1786). The Collowing provisions of the Immigra-tion and Nationality Act: sections 118 (8 U.S.C. 1189, 207(c)) (8 U.S.C. 1157(c)(3), 142(b) (8 U.S.C. 1523(b)), and 413 (8 U.S.C. 1523), and subsections (10, 0). (6), (6), (a), (a) (f) of section 286 (8 U.S.C. 1353). (8) Section 3 of the International Claims Set-tic (9) Section 3 of the War Claims Act of 1948 (50 U.S.C. Ano. 2009).

(9) Section 3 to the transmission of the Act of September 11, (10) Section 13(c) of the Act of September 11, 1957 (8 U.S.C. 1255b(c)). (11) Section 203(b) of the Aleutlan and Pribliof Islands Restitution Act (50 U.S.C. App. 1989c-

Islands Restitution Act (50 U.S.C. App. 1989c-20). (12) Section 801(c) of the Immigration Act of 1960 (20 U.S.C. 2820(e)). (13) Section 401 of the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986 (8 U.S.C. 1584). (14) Section 707 of the Equal Credit Oppor-tuntly Act (1500 (16) 2010) of the Privacy Protection Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 2000aa-11(b)).

(11) S22813 (16) Section 600U of the Justice Assistance Act of 1884 (42 U.S.C. 16509). (17) Section 13(a) of the Classified Information Procedures Act (18 U.S.C. App.). If (18) Section 13(a) of the Civil Rights Act of 199 Section 114 of the Right to Financial Pri-vary Act of 1978 (12 U.S.C. 34(4), (20) Section 114 of the Right to Financial Pri-vary Act of 1978 (12 U.S.C. 35(4), (21) The following provisions of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978: sections 107 (50 U.S.C. 1097) and 108 (00 U.S.C. 1800), (22) Section 102(b)(5) of the Department of Justice and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1893 (28 U.S.C. 337 note).

(a) Section 3219(2)(b) of 114 B. (L) Alter States Code, is amended by striking "and (iv)" and in-encryption was encountered and whether such canception prevented law enforcement from ob-taining the platn text of communications inte-cepted pursuent to such areas (a) (C). (b) The encryption prepering enquite rule in transmitted by the Director of the Administra-tive Office of the Courts for calendar year 2000 and in subsequent reports.

concer

vember 5, 1999. This bill will continue and enhance the current reporting re-quirements for the Administrative Of-fice of the Courts and the Attorney General on the eavesdropping and sur-veillance activities of our federal and state law enforcement agencies. The Mource correctioner is the beaut of HE a bill to exempt from automatic this amendment.

SEC. 2. ENCRYPTION REPORTING REQUIRE-MENTS.

the Ulice of the Courts for calendar year 2000 and in subsequent reports. SEC 3. REPORTS CONCERNING PEN REGISTERS AND TRAF AND TRACE DEVICES. Section 3126 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by striking the period and inserting ", which report shall include information concerning.

(1) the period of interceptions authorized by "(1) the period of interceptions autiontzed by the order, and duration of any extensions of the order; "(2) the offsnes specified in the order or appli-cation, or extension of an order; "(3) the number of investigations involved; "(4) the number of and rature of the facilities affected; and infected; and including district, of the ap-lotion investigative on law enforcement agency."

"(3) the identity, including district, of the ap-plying investigative or law enforcement searcy making the application and the person author-ting the order." Amend the title so as to read "An Act to exempt certain reports from automatic elimination and sunset pursuant to the Fed-eral Reports Elimination and Sunset Act of 1995, and for other purposes."

1985, and for other purposes.". Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I am pleased that the Senate is today con-sidering for final passage S. 1769, as amended by the House. I introduced S. 1769 with Chairman HATCH on October 22, 1999 and it passed the Senate on No-vember 5, 1999. This bill will continue

House amendment is the text of H.R. elimination and sunset certain reports submitted to Congress that are useful and helpful in informing the Congress and the public about the activities of federal agencies in the enforcement of federal law. I am also glad to support

this amendment. For many years, the Administrative Office (AO) of the Courts has complied with the statutory requirement, in 18 U.S.C. 2519(3), to report to Congress an-nually the number and nature of fed-areal and extent applications for order eral and state applications for orders authorizing or approving the intercep-tion of wire, oral or electronic communications. By letter dated September 3,

### CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE

1999, the AO advised that it would no longer submit this report because "as of December 21, 1999, the report will no longer be required pursuant to the F eral Reports Elimination and Sunset Act of 1995." I commend the AO for alerting Congress that their responsi-bility for the wiretap reports would lapse at the end of this year, and for doing so in time for Congress to take action. The date upon which this re-porting requirement was due to lapse was extended in the FY 2000 Consolidated Appropriations Act, H.R. 3194, until May 15, 2000—only a few short

until May 15, ZUWD-only a lew short weeks away. AO has done an excellent job of pre-paring the wiretap reports. We need to continue the AO's objective work in a consistent manner. If another agency took over this important task at this juncture and the numbers came out in a different format. it would immediately generate questions and con-cerns over the legitimacy and accuracy

of the contents of that report. In addition, it would create diffi-culties in comparing statistics from prior years going back to 1959 and com-plicate the job of congressional overplicate the job of congressional over-sight. Furthermore, transferring this reporting duty to another agency might create delays in issuance of the report since no other agency has the methodology in place. Finally, federal, state and local agencies are well accus-tomed to the reporting methodology developed by the AO. Notifying all these agencies that the reporting standards and agency have changed would inevitably create more confusion and more expense as law enforcement agencies across the country are forced learn with a new system and develop

to learn with a new system and develop a lalason with a new agency. The system in place now has worked well and we should avoid any disrup-tions. We know how quickly law en-forcement may be subjected to criti-cism over their use of these surrep-titious surveillance tools and we should avoid aggravating these sen-sitivities by changing the reporting agency and methodology on little to no notice. I appreciate. however, the AO's notice. I appreciate, however, the AO's interest in transferring the wiretap re-porting requirement to another entity. Any such transfer must be accomhed with a minimum of disruption to the collection and reporting of information and with complete assurances that any new entity is able to fulfill AO has done. S. 1769 would update the reporting re-

quirements currently in place with one additional reporting requirement. Spe-cifically, the bill would require the clically, the bill would require the wiretay reports prepared beginning in calendar year 2000 to include informa-tion on the number of orders in which encryption was encountered and whether such encryption prevented law enforcement from obtaining the plain text of communications intercepted pursuant to such order. Encryption technology is critical to

protect sensitive computer and online

information. Yet, the same technology information. Yet, the same technology poses challenges to law enforcement when it is exploited by criminals to hide evidence or the fruits of criminal activities. A report by the U.S. Work-ing Group on Organized Crime titled, "Encryption and Evolving Tech-nologies: Tools of Organized Crime and Terrorism," released in 1997, collected aneodotal case studies on the use of encryption in furtherance of criminal activities in order to activate the fu encryption in furtherance of criminal activities in order to estimate the fu-ture impact of encryption on law en-forcement. The report noted the need for "an ongoing study of the effect of encryption and other information technologies on investigations, prosecu-tions, and intelligence operations". As part of this study, "a database of case part of this study, "a database of case information from federal and local law enforcement and intelligence agencies should be established and maintained." Adding a requirement that reports be furnished on the number of occasions when encryption is encountered by law enforcement is a far more reliable basis than anecdotal evidence on which to assess law enforcement needs and make sensible policy in this area. The final section of S. 1769 would cod-

ify the information that the Attorney General already provides on pen reg-ister and trap and trace device orders, ister and trap and trace device orders, and would require further information on where such orders are issued and the types of facilities—telephone, com-nuter, pager or other device—to which puter, pager or other device-to which the order relates. Under the Electronic ("ECPA") of 1986, P.O. 99-508, codified at 18 U.S.C. 3126, the Attorney Ceneral of the United States is required to re-port annually to the Congress on the number of pen register orders and or-ders for trap and trace devices applied for by law enforcement agencies of the Department of Justice. As the original sponsor of ECPA, I believed that adequate oversight of the surveillance ac-tivities of federal law enforcement could only be accomplished with reporting requirements such as the one

included in this law. The reports furnished by the Attor-ney General on an annual basis compile information from five components of the Department of Justice: the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Drug En-forcement Administration, the Immi-gration and Naturalization Service, the United States Marshals Service and the Office of the Inspector General. The re-port contains information on the num-ber of original and extension orders made to the courts for authorization to made to the courts for authorization to use both pen register and trag and trace devices, information concerning the number of investigations involved, the offenses on which the applications were predicted and the number of peo-ple whose telephone facilities were af-heart

fected. These specific categories of informa-tion are useful. and S. 1769 would direct the Attorney General to continue providing these specific categories of in-formation. In addition, the bill would direct the Attorney General to include

information on the identity, including the district, of the agency making the application and the person autorizing the order. In this way, the Congress and the public will be informed of those jurisdictions and using this surveil-lance technique—information which is currently not included in the Attorney General's annual reports.

The requirement for preparation of the wiretap reports will soon lapse so I am delighted to see the Congress take prompt action on this legislation to continue the requirement for submission of the wiretap reports and to up-date the reporting requirements for both the wiretap reports submitted by the AO and the pen register and trap and trace reports submitted by the Attorney General. Mr. SESSIONS. I ask unanimous con

sent the Senate concur in the amendments of the House. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

objection, it is so ordered.

## RECOGNIZING THE 50TH ANNIVER-SARY OF THE KOREAN WAR

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, on be-half of the leader, I ask unanimous consent the Senate now proceed to the immediate consideration of H.J. Res.

. The The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the joint resolution by title. The legislative clerk read as follows:

A joint resolution (H.J. Res. 86) recog-nizing the 50th anniversary of the Korean War and the service by Members of the Armed Forces during such war, and for other purnoses.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the joint resolu-

tion. Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the joint reso lution be read the third time and time and passed, the preamble be agreed to, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and any statements relating to RECORD. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

objection, it is so ordered. The preamble was agreed to.

The joint resolution (H.J. Res. 86) was read the third time and passed.

#### C.B. KING UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask the Chair lay before the Senate a mes-sage from the House of Representatives of the bill (S. 1567) to designate the United States courthouse located at 223 Broad Street in Albany, Georgia, as the C.B. King United States Courthouse. The PRESIDING OFFICER laid be-

fore the Senate the following message from the House of Representatives:

Resolved, That the bill from the Senate (S. 67) entitled An Act to designate the nited States courthouse located at 223 United Broad Street in Albany, Georgia, as the King United States Courthouse'.", do CB , do pass ing United States Courtinous with the following amendments:

Document No. 102

HeinOnline -- 4 Bernard D. Reams, Jr., Law of E-SIGN: A Legislative History of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, Public Law No. 106-229 (2000) [i] 2002