HeinOnline

Citation: 4 Bernard D. Reams Jr. Law of E-SIGN A Legislative of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Act Public Law No. 106-229 2000 H389 2002

Content downloaded/printed from HeinOnline (http://heinonline.org) Sun Apr 21 22:01:10 2013

- -- Your use of this HeinOnline PDF indicates your acceptance of HeinOnline's Terms and Conditions of the license agreement available at http://heinonline.org/HOL/License
- -- The search text of this PDF is generated from uncorrected OCR text.

February 15, 2000

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - HOUSE Hall (OH) Hall (TX)

Buyer Calvert

Camp Canady

Cox

Coyne Cramer

Tauscher Tauzin Taylor (MS) Taylor (NC) Terry Thomas Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thomberry Thuna Thurman Tiahrt	Turner Udall (CO) Udall (NM) Upton Velazguez Visclosky Vitter Walden Watsh Wamp Watkins Wate (NC)	Weldon (PA) Woller Weygand Whitfield Wicker Wilson Wise Wolf Woolsey Wu Wu
Tierney Toomey Towns Traficant	Watts (OK) Waxman Welner Weldon (FL) NAYS—1 Paul	Young (AK) Young (FL)
	NOT VOTING-	-21
Batrd Bonior Brown (OH) Callahan Camphell	Cummings DcFazlo Graham Hinojosa Kasich	Martinez McCollum Moakley Mollohan Pelosi

Natt (NC)	Wynn
Vatts (OK)	Young (AK)
Vaxman	Young (FL)
Veiner	
Veldon (FL)	
NAYS-1	
Paul	
OT VOTING-	-21
Cummings	Martinez
)cFazio	McCollum
	Meditler

Baird	Cummings	Martinez
Bonior	DeFazio	McCollum
Brown (OH)	Graham	Moakley
Collahan	Hinojosa	Mollohan
Campbell	Kasich	Pelosi
Capps	LaTourette	Vento
Clay	Lowey	Waters
	E 1240	

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed. The result of the vote was announced

as above recorded. A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HANSEN). Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for electronic voting on the additional motion to suspend the rules on which the Chair has postponed further proceedings. п

GOLD MEDAL TO CHARLES M. SCHULZ CONGRESSIONAL PRESENTING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the question of suspending the rules and passing the bill, H.R. 3642.

H.R. 3642. The Clerk read the title of the bill. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The guestion is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr., Lucas) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3642, on which the seas and nays are ordered. This is a 5-minute vote. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic de-vice, and there were—yeas 410, nays 1, not voting 24, as follows:

Roll	No.	19]

YEAS-410				
Abercrombic	Bartlett	Blumenauer		
Ackerman	Barton	Blunt		
Aderholt	Bass	Bochlert		
Allen	Bateman	Boehner		
Andrews	Becerra	Bonilla		
Armey	Bentsen	Bono		
Baca	Bereuter	Borski		
Bachus	Berkley	Boswell		
Baker	Berman	Boucher		
Baldacci	Berry	Boyd		
Baldwin	Biggert	Brady (PA)		
Ballenger	Bilbray	Brady (TX)		
Barcia	Bilirakis	Brown (FL)		
Barr	Bishop	Bryant		
Barrett (NE)	Blagojevich	Burr		
Barrett (WI)	Bliley	Burton		

Hansen Canady Cannon Capuano Cardin Cardin Caston Castlo Chabot Chambliss Chanoweth-Hage Clayton Hastings (FL) Hastings (WA) Hayos Hayworth Hefley Herger Herger Hill (IN) Hill (MT) Hilleary Hilliard Clayton Clayton Clement Clyburn Coble Hobson Hoeffel Coble Coburn Collins Combest Condit Conyers Cock Hoeffel Hoekstra Holden Holt Hooley Horn Hostertler Houghton Hoyer Hulshof Hunter Hutchinson Stefe Cockscy Costello Cromer Crane Crowley Cubin Danis (FL) Davis (FL) Davis (IL) Davis (IL) Davis (VA) Declatic Declaturo Declauro Declav Hyde Instee Isakson Istook Jackson (LL) Jackson-Lee (TX) Jefkrason JenkIns Johnson, E, B. Johnson, Sam Johnson, Sam Johnson, Sam Johnson, Sam Jones (NC) Jones (OH) Kanjorski Kaptur Kelly Kennedy Kildee DeLauro DeLay DeMint Deutsch Diaz-Balart Dickey Dicks Dingell Dixon Kildee Kilpatrick King (WJ) Kingston Klezka Klink Knollenberg Kolbe Kucinich Kuykendall LaFalce LaHood Lamoson Doggett Dooley Doolittle Doyle Dreier Duncan Dunn Edwards Ehlers Ehrlich Ehrlich Emerson English Eshoo Etheridge Evans Evarett Ewing Farr Fattah Filtcher Foley Lanoon Lantos Largent Larson Latham LaTourette Leach Leach Levin Lewis (CA) Lewis (GA) Lewis (KY) Linder Lipfinski LoBiondo Fletcher Foley Forbes Ford Fossella Fowler Frank (MA) Franks (NJ) Freinghusse Frost Lofgren Lucas (KY) Lucas (OK) Frelinghuy Frost Gallegly Ganske Gejdenson Gekas Gephardt Gibbons Gilchrest Cillmor Lucas (OK) Luther Maloney (CT) Manoey (NY) Manzullo Markoy Mascara Matsul McCarthy (Mf Gilchrest Gilmon Gonzalez Goode Goodlatte Goodlatte Goodlag Gorden Goss Granger Matsul McCarthy (MO) McCarthy (NY) McCrery McDermott McGovern McHugh McInnis McInnis McIntosh Malatosh McIntosh McIntyre McKeon McKinney McNulty Mcchan Mcck (FL) Green (TX) Green (WI) Greenwood Gutlerrez Gutknecht

Slaughter Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Smith (WA) Snyder Souder Spence Spratt Stabenow Meeks (NY) Menendez Menendez Mica Millender-McDonald Miller (FL) Miller, George Minge Minge Minge Minge Minge Moran (VA) Morana (VA) Morella Murtha Myrick Nadler Stark Stearns Stenholm Strickland Stricklan Stupp Stupak Sununu Sweeney Talent Tancredo Tanner Tauscher Tauzin Myrick Nadier Napolitano Neal Nethercutt Northup Norwood Nussle Oberstar Obey Olver Olver Ortiz Ose Owens Oxley Packard Pallone Pascrell Pastor Archer Baird Bontor Bonior Brown (OH) Callahan Campbell Capps Clay Payne Poterson (MA) Poterson (PA) Poterson (PA) Poterson (PA) Poter Pholiss Poter Po Ros-Lehtiner Rothman Roukema Roybal-Allard Royce Rush Rush Ryan (WI) Ryun (KS) Sabo Salmon Sanchez Sanders Sanders Sanford Savarr SanJord Sawyer Saxton Scarborough Schaffer Schakowsky Scott Sensenbrenner Serrand Serrano Sessions Shadegg Shaw Shays Sherman Sherwood Shimkus Shows Shows Shuster Simpson Sisisky Skeen Skelton

Taylor (NC) Terry Thomas Thomas Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thornberry Thune Thurman Thurman Tiahrt Tiahrt Tierney Toomey Towns Traficant Turner Udall (CO) Udall (NM) Upton Velazquez Visclosky Visciosi Vitter Walden Walsh Wamp Paul

NAYS-1 NOT VOTING-24 Cummings DeFazio Graham Hinchey Hinojosa Kasich Lowey Martinez 4 McCollum Metcalf Mollohan Ney Pelosi Taylor (MS) Vento □ 1250

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed. The result of the vote was announced

as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

NETWORKING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ACT

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Com-mittee on Rules, I call up House Reso-lution 422 and ask for its immediate consideration. The Clerk read the resolution, as fol-

lows:

H. RES. 422

Resolved, That at any time after the adop-tion of this resolution the Speaker may, pur-suant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the tion of this resolution the Spatier may, pur-sions of this resolution the Spatier may, pur-sions to essure 20 not the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Uhian for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2006) to au-thortze funding for networking and informa-tion technology research and development for fiscal years 2000 through 2004, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minoAty member dehate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. It shall be dispenses of amendment in the na-ture of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Science now printed in the bill, modified by striking section 8 (and re-designating succeeding sections accordi-ingly). Each results of built in the in-ting consideration of the bill for amendment, the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may accord priority in recogni-tion on the basis of whether the Member of-fering an amendment has caused it to be

H389 Waters Watkins Watt (NC) Watts (OK) Waxman Weiner Weldon (FL) Weldon (FA) Weiler Wordon

Weller Wexler Weygand Whitfield

Wicker Wilson Wise Wolf Woolsey

Woolsey Wu Wynn Young (AK) Young (FL)

printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 8 of rule XVIII. Amendments so printed shall be considered as read. The Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may: (1) postpone until a time during further consideration in the Committee of the Whole a request for a recorded vote on any amendment; and (2) re-duce to five minutes the minimum time for electronic voting on any postponed question that follows another electronic who without that follows another electronic vote without intervening business, provided that the min-limum time for electronic voting on the first in any series of questions shall be 15 min-utes. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment, adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the amendment in the nature of a substitute made in order as original text. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without in-structions. structions

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HANSEN). The gentleman from Wash-ington (Mr. HASTINGS) is recognized for

hour. Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Mr. HASTINGS of Vestingers, the Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. FROST), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate

ate only. (Mr. HASTINGS of Washington asked

(INT. FAS LINUS OF Washington asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.) Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, H. Res. 422 would grant H.R. 2086, the Network and Information Technology Research and Development Act, an open rule. The rule provides 1 hour of general debate, equally divided between the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on

Science. The rule provides that it shall be in order to consider as an original bill, for the purpose of amendment, the amend-The purpose of amendment, the amend-ment in the nature of a substitute rec-ommended by the Committee on Science now printed in the bill, modi-fied by striking Section 8. The amend-ment in the nature of a substitute as modified shall be open for amendment

by section. The rule allows the chairman of the Committee of the Whole to accord priority in recognition to Members who have preprinted their amendments in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD and provides that those amendments shall be

vides that those amendments snau be considered as read. The rule also allows the chairman of the Committee of the Whole to post-pone votes during consideration of the bill and to reduce voting time to 5 min-utes on a postponed question if the vote follows a 15-minute vote. Finally, the rule provides for one motion to recommit, with or without instructions

Mr. Speaker, the Networking and In-formation Research and Development Act, H.R. 2086, amends the High-Per-

formance Computing Act of 1991 to auformance Computing Act of 1991 to au-thorize funding for networking and in-formation technology research and de-velopment programs of the National Science Foundation, National Aero-nautics and Space Administration, the Department of Energy, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the National Oceanic and Atmonstration Institute of Standards and Technology, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and the Environ-mental Protection Agency for fiscal years 2000 through 2004. The bill was re-ported favorably by the Committee on Science by unanimous vote of 41 to 0. Mr. Speaker, the Federal Govern-ment

Mr. Speaker, the Federal Govern-ment has an enormous task in maintaining its position as the global leader in the information-technology field. This bill serves to reiterate our com-This bill serves to reiterate our com-mitment to this agenda by emphasizing mitment to this agenda by emphasizing basic research and information-tech-nology funding levels. This research has played an essential role in fueling the information Revolution, advancing national security, and bolstering the U.S. economy by creating new indus-tries and millions of new yobs. Informa-tion studentow, new representation of tion-technology now represents one of the fastest growing sectors of our economy, growing at an annual rate of 12 percent between 1993 and 1997 and gen-erating over \$300 billion of U.S. revenue in 1998

In order to maintain the economic growth the U.S. is currently experigrowth the U.S. is currently experi-encing, we must maintain our role as a technological leader. Although the pri-vate sector provides the bulk of infor-mation-technology research funding, the Federal Government has a responthe Federal Government has a respon-sibility to support long-term basic re-search to the private sector, but that is ill-suited to pursue. H.R. 2086 recog-nizes this by providing adequate funds for such activities.

Specifically, over the next 5 years the bill would authorize \$2.2 billion for the bill would authorize \$2.2 billion for the National Science Foundation, \$602 million for the Department of Emergy, \$1.4 billion for NASA, \$73 million for the National Institutes of Standards and Technology, \$11 million for the Na-tional Oceanic and Atmospheric Ad-ministration, and \$22.3 million for EPA.

EPA. Finally, the Congressional Budget Of-fice estimates that appropriating the amounts authorized in H.R. 2086 would result in discretionary spending total-ing \$3.7 billion over the 5-year period. The Committee on Rules was pleased to grant the request of the acathemen

to grant the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin (Chairman SENSENfrom Wisconsin (Chairman SENSEN, BRENNER) for an open rule on H.R. 2086, and accordingly I encourage my col-leagues to support H. Res. 422 and the underlying bill. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

my time. Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I yield my-

Mr. Speaker, tyleid my-self such time as I may consume. Mr. Speaker, today the United States leads the world in information-tech-nology, and, because of our global dominance in this field, we continue to lead in the fields of science and engi neering, our economy is stronger and growing faster than any other, working Americans are more productive than ever, and our future is bright with promise.

But if we are to maintain this dominance, we cannot sit back and rest on our laurels. For, just as the Federal Government has been responsible for much of the basic and follow-on research that has made this technology revolution possible, it is necessary that the Federal Government now refocus its efforts on long-term fundamental research, while continuing its spec-tacularly successful partnership with private industry and academia. It is also critically important that we find ways to continue to encourage

students to enter the fields of science and information-technology in order that we can be assured in the future we will have the highly skilled workers we need to continue our dominance in these fields. H.R. 2086, Mr. Speaker, seeks to ad-

H.R. 2086, Mr. Speaker, seeks to ad-dress those questions in a comprehen-sive manner by authorizing nearly \$4.8 Billion available over 4 years for a vari-ety of research and development projects, as well as for grants to col-leges and universities for the creation of for-credit internship programs at IT companies and grants to 2-year colleges to improve programs in education related to IT. This Networking and In-formation Technology Research and Development Act is an important legis-lative proposal for what surely is a na

tional, not a partisan, priority. Mr. Speaker, the fact that this bill was reported from the Committee on Science on a vote of 41 to 0 certainly demonstrates that the promotion of redemonstrates that the promotion of re-search and information-technology is not a partisan issue. The rule providing for the consideration of the Net-working and Information Technology Research and Development Act is an open rule which will allow any Member to offer germane amendments to this impactent bill. important bill.

I urge my colleagues to support both the rule and the bill so that the House may act quickly on this proposal that will reap benefits for every American for years to come. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of

my time. Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, Mr.

Mr. CALVERT. Mr. Speaker, I would

NIT CALVERTING Speaker, I would like to thank my chairman, the gen-tleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSEN-BRENNER), for introducing this vision-ary piece of legislation. It was passed out of the Committee on Science with

unanimous bipartisan support. I would also like to honor our former colleague, the Honorable George colleague, the Honorable George Brown, who put a lot of work into this bill, and the continuation of George's work by the gentleman from the great State of Texas (Mr. HALL), our ranking

member. The Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Act, H.R. 2086, is truly a visionary

H390

piece of legislation. I am proud to stand here today with my colleagues as an original cosponsor. H.R. 2086 is about one simple thing,

H.K. 2080 is about one simple thing, access to information. A major compo-nent of access to information is the continued development and expansion of information-technology.

III 1300

I find it distressing today that we are of the United States to fill the employ-ment needs of our IT companies. The average annual wage of technology workers in the Silicon Valley is \$72,000

workers in the Silicon Valley is SiZ.000 a year. Quite simply, our work force pool lacks the experience and knowledge to fill a lot of these high-paying jobs. We must begin to focus on this problem, and this IT bill does just that. The businesses in my home State of California exported \$105 billion in prod-ucts in 1998. Twenty-eight percent of those exports were in the electrical and electronics readm alone.

electronics realm alone. Mr. Speaker, in 1999 California had the largest State economy with an es-timated gross State product of over \$1 trillion.

The importance of H.R. 2086 to Cali-fornia alone is enormous. This bill ensures the United States and California continue to lead the way in information technology way into the 21st cen-

tury. Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support the rule and strongly encour-age my colleagues on both sides of the alse to support our future in the glob-al economy, support the generation's participation and the information tech-

participation and the information tech-nology community. Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield 3 minutes to the gen-tleman from Minnesota (Mr. GUT-

Mr. GUTKNECHT. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me time

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the gentleman from Wisconsin (Chairman SENSENBRENNER), first of all, and congratulate him. I appreciate the excep-tional work that he and the committee has done on H.R. 2086, the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Act.

I also want to commend my col-leagues, including the gentleman from Michigan (Chairman SMITH), who heads the Subcommittee on Basic Research and the rest of the Committee on Science, Democrats and Republicans, for unanimous support of this imporant piece of legislation. No single field of study or research is

so vitally important to our future from academia to industry, from the CEO, to the high school student. Information technology is the cutting edge of American and global economies in the

Mr. Speaker, this bill represents over \$5 billion of investment that will be made over the next 5-year period. Congress often talks about raising the

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - HOUSE

standard of living for Americans. H.R. 2086 will bring about positive change and new high-tech jobs which now pay 50 percent more than the average wage. This bill would create jobs not just through the funding of research but also by creating whole new industries. Recently there has been concern about the demand and subscreat shortage of the demand and subsequent shortage of nformation technology workers in the United States.

United States. This bill provides funding for both improved education in the information technology fields and grants to partner colleges with companies to train to-day's students to be tomorrow's lead-

Most importantly, H.R. 2086 provides long-term basic information tech-nology research that has largely been neglected by the private sector and other Federal programs and uses a peer other rederal programs and uses a peer review system to make sure that the money is spent where it will produce the best results. Mr. Speaker, this bill will create in-formation technology research centers where multi-discipline research can be

Normation technology research centers where multi-discipline research can be combined for the greatest results. It will allow the National Science Foundation to produce new state-of-the-art computer systems through a competitive bidding process that will help fight disease, track and predict weather and allow grant recipients ac-cess to the computer hardware they need to carry out their research at a new level of excellence. In the 20th century, Federal research money brought us the Internet, which has revolutionized computing and in-formation technology for all of us. H.R. 2056 will help make the United States the leader for the next generation and the next century in the information technology for curinue to lead the world in information technology far into the next century.

the world in information technology far into the next century. Mr. Speaker, I hope that my col-leagues will join me in supporting the rule and the bill. Mr. LINDER. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield 4 minutes to the gen-teman from Michigan (Mr. EHLERS), a leader in the technology age in this Compares

Congress. (Mr. EHLERS asked and was given permission to revise and extend his re-

marks.) Mr. EHLERS. Mr. Speaker, I rise to speak in favor of the rule and of the bill, I also wish to commend the gen-Leman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSEN-BRENNER), the chairman of the Com-mittee on Science, for taking what was submitted to the Science Committee last year as a very flawed piece of work. and which he developed into an excel-lent bill which will serve this Nation well.

As was mentioned I have been in the technical field of computers and the Internet, but I am also of an age that allows me to recognize the importance of what went on many, many years ago. Too often our citizens do not ap-preciate the value of basic research, even though it takes a very long time to pay off. Let me explain.

During World War II, a group of sci-entists working together developed the first computers. It is interesting that some very knowledgeable people in the field at that time predicted that the world probably would never need more than 10 of those huge computers. Today, on every desk in every office in this Congress and this country, we have computers that are far more pow-erful and faster than those huge comerful and faster than those huge com-puters that were developed back then. It is a rapidly growing field and a very important field, with a multi, multibil-lion dollar industry that has developed out of this.

out of this. Similarly, with the Internet, today we have many people who claim to have developed or invented the Inter-net. That always happens after an in-vention, but when we look back at his-tory, there is only a small handful of physicists and computer scientists who physicists and computer sciencists who developed the basic ideas of the Inter-net. No one at the time really appre-ciated the future benefits. It was in-tended simply to allow our national laboratories to communicate informa-

laboratories to communicate informa-tion and data very rapidly. However, once the Interenet was commercialized, it developed into a an-other multibilion dollar industry. Fundamental research in information technology has contributed to the cre-stion of new industries and high-nav. ation of new industries and high-pay-

ation of new industries and high-pay-ing jobs that today pay about 80 per-cent above the average in the private sector. Today, we have 7.4 million peo-ple working in high-tech jobs. What this bill does is prioritize the basic information technology research of the Nation, and this is extremely important to us. It funds basic IT re-search that will provide a real payoff in the next generation of innovations and it will set the framework for our econit will set the framework for our econ-omy for 10, 20, even 30 years from today. We cannot rely on industry to do the basic research; they have to deal with the bottom line every quarter But the government has an appropriate role here and this bill recognizes that. In addition to that, the bill will help

produce the next generation of highly-skilled information technology workskilled information technology work-ers. We need more students in this field. We have a grave shortage, as evi-denced by the number of HIB visas that this Nation issues ever year. The in-ternship program in the bill will help meet the need for those new employees. This bill will also meet the need for

this bill will also meet the heed for state of the art computing systems for the civilian research community, a need that will grow in the future, and it provides for a terascale computing competition at the National Science competition at the National Science Foundation. Most people do not realize that the Japanese supercomputers have now surpassed ours and they have a huge market they are developing inter-nationally. We must, as a Nation, catch up to that and develop equally good computers, and preferably better commuters.

Computers, and pretrably setter This is bipartisan legislation. It passed the Committee on Science on a 41 to zero vote, and I congratulate the

HeinOnline -- 4 Bernard D. Reams, Jr., Law of E-SIGN: A Legislative History of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, Public Law No. 106-229 (2000) H391 2002

chairman on getting that agreement within our committee. It demonstrates a real commitment to upholding our Nation's preeminence in information technology. It has been endorsed by

technology. It has been endorsed by dozens of organizations and clearly is a good piece of work that is going to serve this Nation well. Mr. Speaker, I urge all Members of this Congress to support this fieldsa-tion and to recognize the importance of basic research, not only in this field, but in other fields. I urge my col-lecture for the bill

leagues to vote for this bill. Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gen-tleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. WELDON)

(Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania asked (Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.) Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, we are in the middle of a rev-

Speaker, we are in the induce of a level olution right now in America, only the second such revolution in the history of our country. The first was when America transitioned from an agrarlan society to an industrial society. Many of our colleagues and citizens did not want to make that change, but we had no choice because the economy of the world was going to be driven by that world was going to be driven by that Nation that could lead the industrial age. We rose to the occasion, and we were successful.

The revolution we are going through today is an information revolution. We are changing from an industrial society to an information society. Therefore, we have to change. If we are going to lead the world's economy, we have to lead the information revolution. There-

lead the information revolution. There fore, it presents to us a challenge, a challenge to have the best deucated, the best equipped, and the best deucated, the deution of the sub-committee on National Security Re-search, I am extremely concerned about the security implications of this challenge. In fact, information domi-nance, the threat of cyber terrorism, and the use of information technology is one of our three greatest threats in the 21st century. We have to be pre-pared. pared.

The kind of battle that will be fought in the 21st century will probably not be one fought on soil or on the water, but will be fought through computer sys-tems and cyber terrorism acts. We must make sure that we have the tools, the people, the training necessary to meet that challenge. In the military, we are attempting to establish a pro-gram to develop young people who go through ROTC programs to gain the skills that are necessary. This legisla-tion does the same thing in the civilian community. The greatest challenge we have in

this century and the greatest factor for improving our quality of life is the use of information technology. I submit to our colleagues it is also the greatest vulnerability we have in this society. because those adversaries of America

who wish to take us down understand who wish to take us down, understand that if they can take out our informa-tion capabilities, they could disrupt not just our military, but our civilian quality of life. We have to be prepared, and that means we have to put billions of dollars into the R&D investment for the military for information domin the military, for information domi-nance and for protection against cyber terrorism and in the private sector, to encourage those technologies to allow us to build the systems to use data mining, to do the rapid speed trans-mission of data that is going to be so

mission of data that is going to be so necessary in the 21st century economy. So for all of those reasons, I join with my colleagues in supporting this legis-lation. I commend the chairman of the Committee on Science. We on the Com-mittee on Armed Services have pledged to work closely with the Committee on Science so that both our military es-tablishment and our civilian establishment are working hand in hand to make sure that America leads the

make sure that America leads the world in the 21st century in this infor-mation revolution. Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Vir-ginia (Mr. MORAN) Mr. MORAN of Virginia. Mr. Speak-er, I thank the gentleman from Texas (Mr. FROST), the distinguished member of the Computes on Pules for videling of the Committee on Rules, for yielding

Mr. Speaker, I rise in very strong support of this legislation and the crit-ical investment that it makes in the future of information technology re-search. At a time when our Nation Is enjoying unlimited economic growth and prosperity, we should use this op-portunity to invest in scientific re-

portunity to invest in scientific re-search and development, especially in the area of information technology. This legislation would authorize \$3 billion for the National Science Foun-dation over the next 5 years, of which nearly two-thirds of this funding would be designated for long-term, basic re-cearch graves to reunort encourbe en a search grants to support research on a variety of IT projects. The authoriza-tion represents a 92 percent increase in information technology funding, which is a badly needed boost in a field that

is a badly needed boost in a field that really has been defining our economy. We can attribute much of our eco-nomic prosperity today to the Federal investments we made in the National Science Poundation and the Delense Advanced Research Projects Agency in terms of their development of the Internet. That research investment was basic and has given us a multi-fold return, more return than we can calreturn, more return than we can calculate or imagine, really, in addition to the other basic research programs that are taken for granted but really fuel the engine of growth for America's

Who would have thought that such an investment in DOD and the National Science Foundation would have permeated every sector of our economy and our way of life, but they have. The National Science Foundation has been performing amazing work toward es-tablishing the next generation Inter-

net as well as fostering the pursuit of net, as well as fostering the pursuit of science, math, engineering, and other technical sciences in this country. So by investing in R&D and these pro-grams today, we are investing in our future economic potential as a Nation. Unless we increase the flat budgets which basic research has experienced in the past several years, we cannot ex-pect to continue to yield the kind of scientific advances that will ensure that the United States remains at the

forefront of our global economy. So, Mr. Speaker, I urge my col-leagues to vote for H.R. 2086 and to support these critical investments in in-formation technology research. I also urge my colleagues on the Committee on Appropriations to support the nec-essary funding in the fiscal year 2001 bills to carry out the activities of this legislation.

1315

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the rule, and I yield back

adoption of the function of your and the balance of my time. Mr. HASTINGS of Washington. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution. The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to. A motion to reconsider was laid on the table. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursu-

Ant to House Resolution 422 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consider-ation of the bill, H.R. 2086.

III 1315

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 2086) to authorize funding for networking and information technology research and development for fiscal years 2000 through 2004, and for other purposes.

with Mr. GILLMOR in the chair. The Clerk read the title of the bill. The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the

rule, the bill is considered as having been read the first time. Under the rule, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBERNER) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL) each will control 30 minutes

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER). Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Chair-

man, I yield myself such time as I may consume

(Mr. SENSENBRENNER asked and was given permission to revise and ex-

tend his remarks.) Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chair-man, the United States stands as the man, the United States stands as the global leader in computing, commu-nication, and information technology. This \$500 billion a year industry ac-counted for one-third of our Nation's economic growth since 1992 and created new industries and millions of new high-paying jobs. This staggering suc-cess, however, is predicated on Federal

research conducted over the last 3 dec- vilian rese

ades. Fundamental IT research played an essential role in the information revolution. However, maintaining the Nation's global leadership in information technology is not a given. The congressionally-chartered President's Information Technology Advisory Committee, called PITAC, stated that the "current boom in information technology is built on basic research in computer sclence carried out more than a decade ago. There is an urgent need to replenish the knowledee base."

ago. There is an urgent need to repienish the knowledge base." Although the private sector conducts most of the IT research, that spending has focused on short-term applied work. As our Nation's economy becomes more dependent upon the internet and IT in general, current Federal programs and support for fundamental programs and support for fundamental

programs and support for fundamental research and IT must be revitalized. To accomplish this, I, along with George Brown, the late renking minority member of the Committee on Science, and Z other Members introduced H.R. 2086, the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Act, a 5-year authorization bill. The committee subsequently passed this bill by a vote of 41 to nething, showing rare bipartisan unanimity on an important piece of legislation facing this Congress. H.R. 2086 provides comprehensive authorization for the Federal government's civilian basic information tech-

H.K. 2086 provides comprehensive authorization for the Federal government's civilian basic information technology research efforts at the six agencies under the jurisdiction of the Committee on Science, the National Science Foundation, NASA, the Department of Energy, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and the EPA.

Administration, and the EPA. This bill fundamenally will alter and greatly enhance the way information technology research is supported and conducted. Its centerpiece is the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Program, which will be managed primarily through NSF and which will focus on long-term peer-reviewed basic research of the kind in which the NSF excels. While funding for individual inves-

of the kind in which the NSF excels. While funding for individual investigators remains an important aspect of IT research, funding for research teams and centers can also lead to dramatic progress. Therefore, this bill authorizes \$130 million for large grants of up to \$1 million each for high-end computing, software, and networking research, and \$220 million for information technology research centers that are comprised of research teams of six or more members.

or more members. To attract more students to science and to careers in IT, the bill also authorizes S95 million for universities to establish for-credit internship programs for IT-related research at private high-tech companies. Both 2-year and 4-year schools will be eligible for these grants, which will operate on a \$0-\$90 cost-sharing basis. To help meet the need for state-of-

To help meet the need for state-ofthe-art computing systems for the civilian research community, H.R. 2086 authorizes 335 million for a terascale computing competition at NSF. The bill requires that the funds be allocated on a competitive, peer-reviewed basis, and that awardees be required to connect to the Partnership for Advanced Computational Infrastructure network. Finally, the bill authorizes the Next Generation Internet program through computer in ferent uron 2002

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD-HOUSE

Finally, the bill authorizes the Next Generation Internet program through completion in fiscal year 2002. Mr. Chairman, our future global influence lies in the hands of our young people, the education and training they receive, and the new scientific breakthroughs they produce. This bill combines increased authorizations for research funding with important policy changes that will keep the Nation at the cutting edge of information technology and produce the next generation of highly-skilled IT workers. It offers opportunities for all by providing open competition for IT grant funding, as well as benefiting diverse groups ranging from 2-year community colleges through the largest universities. This bipartisan legislation demonstrates a commitment to upholding our Nation's preeminence in Information technology. It has been endorsod

"This bipartisan legislation demonstrates a commitment to upholding our Nation's preeminence in information technology. It has been endorsed by dozens of organizations, including the 1999 co-chairs Bill Joy and Ken Kennedy of PITAC. the Technology Network, the Computing Research Association, the Big Ten universities, and the U.S. Chamber of Commerce. I believe that H.R. 2065 s widdperter

I believe that H.R. 2086's widespread support stems from the realization that information technology research assists all fields of science. Indeed, the research funded under this bill will help physicists, mathematicians, engineers, meteorologists, and computer scientists alike.

neers, meteorologists, and computer scientists alike. I ask my colleagues to join me in maintaining our world leadership in information technology by supporting H.R. 2086.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time. Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I

Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, I rise, of course, in support of H.R. 2086, the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Act. It is a bill to support a coordinated basic research initiative in information technology. The chairman of the committee covered that very well.

I think it was introduced, of course, by the chairman of the Committee on Science, with bipartisan cosponsorship. I am pleased that the committee acted in a spirit of cooperation to perfect the bill. Some improvements have come from both sides of the alsle and were accepted during the markup of the measure

measure. H.R. 2086, as reported, enjoys, as the gentleman from Wisconsin (Chairman SENSENBRENNER) reported, broad bipartisan support. I congratulate the gentieman for his leadership in moving the bill forward for consideration of the House. I thank the late George Brown for his input. Mr. Chairman, I also want to knowledge the efforts of the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. SMITH) and my colleague, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON), the chairman and the ranking member, respectively, of the Subcommittee on Basic Research, for their contributions to the development of the bill.

Basic Research, for their contributions to the development of the bill. Information technology is transforming the way people live, the way people learn, the way people work, and the way people play. It has been estimated that information technology is responsible for at least one-third of the Nation's economic growth since 1995.

responsible for at lease one-time to the Nation's economic growth since 1995. I would also submit that H.R. 2086 will help to ensure that the advances that we have referred to here in information technology continue. This will in turn. I think, create new infrastructure for business, new infrastructure for scientific research and personal communication. This will go hand-inhand with the next 5 years of what I believe are going to be the greatest years and era of prosperity certainly since I have been in this Congress. It is the first time that we expect, we reasonably expect, that we are going to have a surplus to work with to do the things that we really ought to do to push this country forward.

that we really ought to do to push this country forward. The bill supports research needed to underpin the technological advances that are going to emerge even 20 years from now. I think it will take up some of the slack that this Congress lost when we killed the super collider. My goodness, how destructive we were of finding our place in the field of technology when we cast that vote.

gootness, now destructive we were on finding our place in the field of technology when we cast that vote. Put another way, the initiative is focused on the long-term high-risk research that industry itself cannot fund, for a lot of reasons. Due to intense competitive pressures, the computer and communications companies are forced to concentrate their resources on near-term development that is necessary to bring products to market rapidly, so we understand that.

on near-term development that is necessary to bring products to market rapidly, so we understand that. But in addition to generating the new ideas that will form the basis for future products and services, the programs authorized by H.R. 2086 will train the next generation of scientists and engineers who are essential to ensure continued U.S. leadership in Information technology. The bill will accomplish this valuable outcome through its focus on university-based breath for this support, this new support, which combines leading edge research with graduate student education.

I will offer an amendment, Mr. Chalrman, at the appropriate time to increase the authorization level for the National Science Foundation program to align the bill with the fiscal year 2001 request. The bill has received very strong sup-

The bill has received very strong support, not only from the academic and industrial research communities, but from a wide range of computer, software, and communication companies. It has also been endorsed by broad in-dustry groups such as the U.S. Chamdustry groups such as the U.S. Cham-ber of Commerce and the National As-

ber of Commerce and the National As-sociation of Manufacturers. Mr. Chairman, H.R. 2086 is a bipar-tisan bill that will lead to many soci-etal benefits. It will help ensure that this Nation continues to maintain ecothis Nation continues to maintain eco-nomic growth and international com-petitiveness in the information econ-omy of the list century. I ask for the support of my colleagues for the pas-sage of this bill. Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time. Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Chair-man, I yield 3 minutes to the gen-tleman from Michigan (Mr. SMITH), who is the Chair of the Committee on Science's Subcommittee on Basic Reearch, which has jurisdiction over NSF

NSF. Mr. SMITH of Michigan. Mr. Chair-man, first, I would thank the gen-tleman from Wisconsin (Chairman SEM-the continuous from Leman from wisconsin (Chairman SEM-SENREENER) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL), who have done such great service to further the efforts of science and research in this country. I would also compliment the ranking member of the Subcommittee on Basic Beesarch the contentions from Torre Research, the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON). This legislation I think gives the em-

phasis needed to move us ahead in information technology, and certainly we should remind ourselves that information technology research has been in-strumental in bringing about the infor-mation revolution, which some have compared to the industrial revolution

compared to the industrial revolution in its size and in its scope. This revolution has spawned new businesses, created millions of good high-paying jobs, advanced the sciences, and certainly improved the health and weifare of the clitizens of the country and people all over the world.

However, as the President's Information Technology Advisory Committee recently noted, the current boom in information technology is based on the basic research in computer science car-ried out more than 15 years ago. There is an urgent need to replenish the knowledge base. The advisory com-mittee advocated a 5-year initiative to boost basic research funding signifi-cantly and help maintain the Nation's lead in this critical area. This bill, H.R. 2086, was designed to carry through on PITAC's recommendations.

In testimony before the Su committee on Basic Research last yea Subuniversity researchers and members of university researchers and members of the private sector were very sup-portive. Dr. Lazowska, a professor at the University of Washington and chalr of the Computer Research Association, praised this bill, saying that it exem-plifies a sound approach to making re-search policy by responding to clear national needs with recognizable objec-tives and a well-defined program for meeting these objectives. meeting those objectives.

1330

In addition, Dr. Roberta Katz, presi-dent and CEO of the Technology Net-

work, noted favorably that the 5-year authorizations in the bill demonstrate a commitment to a continued strong Federal investment in basic IT re-search to move information technology ahead

today's fast-paced science and technology environment, resting on our past successes is not enough if we are going to keep ahead in a world where other countries are dedicated to matching our productivity and taking away our customers. H.R. 2086 will help ensure that America stays at the cut-ting edge of new information tech-nologies that will stimulate economic growth, improve our lives, and push forward the frontiers of science.

I am pleased to have been a cospon-sor of this bill, because it is this kind of initiative that is going to help as-sure a good future for the citizens of the United States.

Mr. HALL of Texas Mr. Chairman, J yield 6 minutes to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHN-

SON). Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of H.R. 2086. The bill authorizes a major new research investment in information technology, which is con-sistent with the President's information technology for the 21st century initiative. This research initiative is very important to the Nation's future and its well-being, and I am pleased that the measure has now come before that the measure has now come before the House for its consideration; and I give my thanks and respect to the chairman, and the chairman of the sub-committee and the ranking member of

the committee. Information technology is a major driver of economic growth. It creates high-wage jobs, provides for rapid com-munication throughout the world, and provides the tools for acquiring knowl-edge and insight from information. Ad-vances in computering and commu-nications will make the workplace more productive, improve the quality of health care, and make government more responsive and accessible to the needs of our citizens.

needs of our cltizens. Vigorous long-term research is essen-tial for realizing the potential of infor-mation technology. The technical ad-vances that led to today's computers and the Internet evolved from past fed-erally sponsored research, in partner-ship with Industry and universities. H.R. 2006 will ensure that the store of basic knowledge is replenished and thereby enable the development of fu-ture generations of information-tech-

ture generations of information-tech-

nology products and services. H.R. 2086 has received the bipartisan cosponsorship of many Members, and I would like to acknowledge the collewould like to acknowledge the colle-gial manner in which the bill was de-veloped by the Committee on Science. I want to thank the chairman of the committee, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER), for his ef-forts in crafting the bill and further thank the chairman, and the ranking Democratic Member, the gentleman

February 15, 2000

from Texas (Mr. HALL), for their efforts

from Texas (Mr. HALL), for their efforts in moving the bill to the floor. H.R. 2086 will establish a multi-agency research initiative that re-sponds to the recent findings and rec-ommendations of the President's infor-mation-technology advisory commation-technology advisory com-mittee. This committee, which was esmittee. This committee, which was es-tablished through statute, is composed of distinguished representatives from computer and communication compa-nies and from academia. It reached its

nies and from academia. It reached its conclusions following a comprehensive assessment of current federally funded information-technology research. The President's advisory committee found that Federal funding for infor-mation-technology research has tilted too much toward support for near-tern, mission-focused objectives. They discovered a growing gap between the power of high performance computers available to support agency mission reavailable to support agency mission re-quirements versus support for the gen-eral academic research community. They identified the need for socio-economic research on the impact on so-ciety of the rapid evolution of information technology, and they judged that the annual Federal research invest-ment is inadequate by more than \$1 billior

believe that H.R. 2086, as reported from the Committee on Science, adresses each of the deficiencies identified by the advisory committee and will effectively implement its rec-ommendations. I am particularly ommendations. I am particularly pleased by the inclusion of a provision that I offered in committee to explic-itly authorize research to identify, un-derstand, anticipate, and address the potential social and economic cost and benefits from the increasing pace of information technology-based transformations. In addition to support for research,

H.R. 2086 will also contribute to pro-viding the highly trained workers need-ed by the information industry. My district knows about this all too well. The bill would expand the human resources bill would expand the numan resources pool through two principal mecha-nisms. First, as a part of their train-ing, graduate students will participate in most of the individual research projects supported by the bill: and, sec-ondly, special provision is made for student internships in industry to help recruit individuals for careers and information-based companies. I sponsored the provision in the bill

that opened such internships to stu-dents participating in the Louis Stokes Alliances for Minority Participation program administered by the National Science Foundation. Research discoveries in information

technology over the past 30 years have resulted in new commercial enterprises that now constitute a major fraction of the economy. Businesses that produce computers semiconductors, software and communications equipment have accounted for a third of the total growth in the United States economic

production since 1992. Clearly, there is ample evidence of the value of past Federal investments

in information-technology research. A 1995 study by the National Academy of Sciences documented several billion-dollar-per-year companies that had their genesis from discoveries resulting from government-sponsored research. H.R. 2006 will provide the basic re-search needed to underpin the techno-logical advances in the future. Because of the wide recognition of the impor-

of the wide recognition of the impor-tance of the research and education components of H.R. 2086, many organi-zations have expressed their support for the bill's passage. Among the industor the only passage. Among the hous-trial organizations that have endorsed 2086 are the U.S. Chamber of Com-merce, the Association for Manufac-turing Technology, the National Asso-ciation of Manufacturers, the Business Software Alliance, and the Computing

Software Alliance, and the Computing Technology Industry Association. In addition, many academic institu-tions and technical societies have ex-pressed support for the bill, including the Association of American Univer-sities, the National Association of State Universities Land Grant Col-home and the Computer Benerath As leges, and the Computer Research Association

Mr. Chairman, I believe that H.R. 2086 is an important investment in the future prosperity of this Nation and in the well-being of our fellow citizens. I commend the measure to all of my col-leagues and ask for their support for

its passage. Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield 4 minutes to the gentle-woman from Maryland (Mrs. MORELLA), who is the Chair of the Subcommittee on Technology of the Committee on

on rechnology of the committee character and Science. Mrs. MORELLA. Mr. Chairman, I thank the chairman, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER),

for yielding to me this time. Mr. Chairman, as an original cospon-sor, I am very pleased to rise in sup-port of H.R. 2008, the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Act. I want to commend the chairman of the full Committee on Science, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER); and the ranking (Mr. HALL); and all of the cosponsors and those who are involved in the various subcommittees who helped to craft this bipartisan piece of legislation. As

tion. As Chair of the Committee on Science's Subcommittee on Tech-nology, I realize that today's rapid ad-vancement in technology development has opened up to all of us a new and ex-citing world chat has forever changed the world chat has forever changed the way that we live, the way that we work, the way that we learn. If we are to maintain our global pre-

eminence in IT, it is clear that we must prioritize and increase our investment in fundamental information-tech-nology research, and that is why the Committee on Science has introduced this bill.

H.R. 2086 is an innovative 5-year authorization bill aimed at returning this Federal Government's funding empha-

sis on information technology to basic research.

research. I am pleased that the legislation au-thorizes funding for cutting-edge re-search at the National Institute of Standards and Technology in the critical areas of computer security and wireless technology. Every day, we hear more and more about the need for that

that. In addition to increasing IT research funding, H.R. 2086 seeks to improve the information-technology workforce by providing college students the oppor-tunity to get hands-on experience in the information-technology workforce. Specifically, it authorizes \$95 million

over 5 years to establish an internship program which will award grants to colleges, including community col-leges, for students to intern at IT comleges, for students to intern at IT com-panies. Throughout my many meetings and hearings involving the informa-tion-technology industry. I have heard time and time again there is a shortage of IT workers to meet the needs of both government and industry. Well, this in-ternship program takes important steps to actively train and recruit U.S. I am also concerned that we need to ensore the draw workers and minorities

do more to draw women and minorities into the IT workforce. Women rep-resent nearly 50 percent of all U.S. workers, and yet they only comprise about 22 percent of the science and enabout 22 percent of the science and en-gineering workforce. So I think the in-ternship program that is proposed in this legislation can also go a long way in helping to engage and involve those who are currently underrepresented in the science and engineering fields to conference in the prometion. Leghexplore careers in information tech-

Finally, the bill directs the National Science Foundation to conduct a study on the availability of encryption technologies in foreign countries. While the administration recently approved regulations that helped to ease some of the lations that helped to ease some of the export restrictions on encryption prod-ucts for certain sectors, many in the United States high-tech industry argue they did not go far enough. I am hope-ful that the study conducted by NSF will allow the administration and Con-marks. In make, informed derisions on gress to make informed decisions on criteria for exporting U.S. encryption products and will help us to ensure that U.S. companies remain competi-tive in the international marketplace.

tive in the international marketplace. This is a win/win piece of legislation. Mr. Chairman, I applaud the efforts of the chairman of the Committee on Science, the gentleman from Wisconstin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER), and the gen-tleman from Texas (Mr. HALL), the ranking member, to advance this im-portant legislation. I urge all of my colleagues to support H.R. 2086 here today.

today. Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WOOLSEY), a sen-

or Member from California. (Ms. WOOLSEY asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in support of H.R. 2086. As a Member of the Committee on Science and as a representative from the North Bay of the San Francisco Bay area, I am acutely aware of the enormous contributions information-technology re-search has made for the economies of way district and its positive impact on our State of California and the na-tional economy in total. Mr. Chairman, I would like to take this opportunity to share with my col-

leagues an amendment offered to this bill that was accepted by the full Committee on Science that is now part of the bill we are debating right now. As we all know, computer and information-technology know-how will be es-sential to our children's success in the 21st century. As I look at the limited use of tech-

nology in our classrooms, I wonder and have asked myself over and over, who is taking care of our children? Who is giving today's students the tools they need to be tomorrow's high-tech conneed to be tomorrow's nigh-tech tributors and tomorrow's high-tech leaders? To help answer these ques-tions, H.R. 2006 now contains an amendment that I wrote and creates a research program at the National Science Foundation to look at exactly the school of the set of the se how schools can better use availabl

technology. Through the assistance of NSF, we will now be able to assess and develop will now be able to assess and develop ways to increase the use of computer technology in elementary and sec-ondary schools. This provision links academic researchers and teachers who will be developing materials and teach-ing methods. It requires that dem-onstrations be conducted in a broad range of educational settings to assess the effectiveness of computer materials and methods, to gain evidence about which methods and programs work and which work better than others.

Lastly, the program includes a provi-sion to establish electronic libraries with access to this information in order to disseminate best practices and materials

We all know the first step is to wire we all know the first step is to wire our schools, Mr. Chairman; but until we develop meaningful ways to incor-porate that technology into our chil-dren's education, the technical infra-structure will be of little benefit to most of them

Mr. Chairman, I urge my colleagues to support research and development. Vote for H.R. 2086.

1345

Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I yield 4 minutes to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON-LEE), a very alued member of the committee. (Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas asked

and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.) Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr.

Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time. I rise in support of H.R. 2086, and applaud our chairman,

HeinOnline -- 4 Bernard D. Reams, Jr., Law of E-SIGN: A Legislative History of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, Public Law No. 106-229 (2000) H395 2002

the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER), as well as the rank-ing member, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL), the gentlewoman from (Mr. HALL), the gentleman from Texas Maryland (Mrs. MORELLA), and the gen-tlewoman from Texas (Ms. EDDIE BER-NICE JOHNSON).

Mr. Chairman, I also applaud the fact Mr. Chairman, I also applaud the fact that the Committee on Science was able to capture the moment as we en-tered the 21st century and focus, now moving from the superhighway to the concept of networking and information technology research and development. I was elected in 1994 and had the

I was elected in 1994 and had the pleasure of starting to serve on the Committee on Science in 1995. For some reason, I began to coin a phrase in most of my opening statements in the Committee on Science, which was to emphasize that science would be the work of the 21st century. At that time, even in 1995, the 21st century seemed to be enormously distant. It is not that at this point, we are here in the 21st cen-tury.

So we must continue to provide substantial resources for the American people in the 21st century, and the support of technological research and development will ensure that the United States continues to be at the forefront of the information age. Moreover, great strides in information technology will allow the economy to sustain its ex-

pansion over all of our sectors. Though we had a guru in Dr. John Koskinen, I believe, who handled our York, and certainly, unless we were all imagining, we seemed to have done very well with getting through the Y2K effort, or the Y2K journey. But I would add in my compliments a sense of cau-tion and reservation. For even as we worked to get through Y2K, there was a noticeable missing element of out-reach to all segments of our population. Low income, minorities, and nonprofits all seemed to be at the short nonprolits all seemed to be at the short end of receiving the kind of informa-tion that would help enhance their progress into this next century and this new technological society. The Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Act, I believe, will take a decisive act in providing cents recessary to ade-

in providing grants necessary to ade-quately fund and equip those agencies and groups that are dedicated to ensuring America's technological hegemony. In particular, this act grants the Na-tional Science Foundation with \$1.8

billion for long-term research grants. These grants would support research on high-end computing software, the social and economic consequences of information technology, and I will add to that by focusing on some of our low-income population and women in this, intoine population and women in this, network stability, and security issues involving privacy. Furthermore, \$385 million is provided for computing equipment that can process informa-tion at a rate of at least 1 trillion operations per second

I am most gratified, as has already been stated, by the opportunity to pro-vide and ensure monies to colleges and

universities, but in particular to create

internship programs. I also raise the issue, although we are not discussing it at this time, and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENand the SENBRENNER) joins me as a member of the Committee on the Judiciary, that there will be many things happening with this Internet. The world opens to us. We are proud of the technology, but we are also cognizant of many sort of negative influences. Although we do Indicates influences. Annough we do not discuss that today, we will be fac-ing in the years to come the whole issue of Internet gambling. We will be discussing, as many victims groups have come to me and brought to my attention, the idea of utilizing the Inter-net in a sort of morbid auctioning of the belongings of victims of heinous crimes. So we will, in this research, I hope, be able to expand technology but, at the same time, be cognizant of the need to be cautious about technology. Mr. Chairman, H.R. 2086 provides Informa-

tion Technology Education and Training Grants authorizing \$95 million for colleges and universities helping to create internship pro-grams in information technology research along with private sector companies. Additionally, this bill also requires private companies to offer at least half of the funding for internships. H.R. 2086 grants \$56 million for the NSF to establish a research program to develop and analyze information technology application to elementary and secondary education. NASA, the Energy Department, NIST, NOAA, and the EPA will also participate and support the NSF. This Act will improve the Internet by funding

the Next Generation Internet (NGI) Program with \$111 million in FY 2000 and FY 2001; \$30 million to the Energy Department; \$50 million to NSF; \$20 million for NASA; and \$11 illion for NIST. Moreover, \$1 million is earmarked for the

NSF, to work in concert with the National Re-search Council, to study Internet privacy issues. These privacy issues touch privacy re-search and policy, laws and best practices in other countries

This bill will offer prosperity to all and pro-vide and educational opportunities for all Americans, especially those in the lower eco-nomic strata. I urge all my colleagues to sup-

port this Act for the good of the country. Mr. Chairman, this is a very good bill. I hope to speak more about it as I put forth an amendment to ensure that some of those issues that I have discussed have been raised. Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I

ield 3 minutes to the gentleman from

yield 3 minutes to the potential Colorado (Mr. UDALL). (Mr. UDALL of Colorado asked and was given permission to revise and ex-tend his remarks.) Mr. UDALL of Colorado. Mr. Chair-

man, I rise today in support of H.R. 2086. There is a clear need for this leg-Station. Last year's report by the President's Information Technology Advisory Committee pointed out that Federal programs in information tech-nology research are insufficient. The committee stressed that if we were to continue to make advances in education, manufacturing, medicine, and communications, this country needs a long-term plan to replenish Federal in-vestment in basic IT research. While information technology as a

While information technology as a sector of the economy has grown at an annual rate of 12 percent between 1903 and 1997. Federal funding for IT re-search has grown only at the rate of in-flation. In fact, appropriation levels for information technology initiatives and for all coordinated IT research pro-arams for this fiscal year were well grams for this fiscal year were well below the President's request. H.R. 2086 authorizes dramatically in-

H.K. 2006 authorizes dramatically in-creased government-funded research in long-term basic information tech-nology and networking, an increase mainly directed at the National Science Foundation and NASA, but also henefiting DOE, NIST, NOAA and the FPA the EPA.

I wanted to call the attention of the House to the part of our committee's report on H.R. 2086 that stresses the report on H.R. 2086 that stresses the importance of including physics, math-ematics, chemistry, engineering, and other fields of science in the IT re-search efforts. This language is in-tended to ensure that the NSF and other agencies that participate in the research initiative authorized by the

research initiative authorized by the bill tap into the expertise and capabili-ties of other disciplines. As author of this part of the report, I appreciate the support of the chair-man, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SARSENEENNEE), the ranking member, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL), and the committee for this statement. It will send a message that the planning process should reflect an the planning process should reflect an

the planning process should reflect an inclusive attitude. I also want to take a moment to talk about a few of the amendments being offered today. The amendments offered by my colleagues, the ranking member, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL), and the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WU) would make a good bill better by boosting authorization levels for the National Science Foundation, and I urre its support.

National Science Foundation, and I urge its support. Another amendment by my col-league, the gentleman from Con-necticut (Mr. LARSON), would require the NSF and other agencies to prepare a report that would address key issues relating to the digital divide. More than half of the U.S. classrooms are connected to the Internet today, com-pared to less than 3 percent in 1993. But connected to the Internet today, com-pared to less than 3 percent in 1993. But students in schools without Internet laternet. The amendment of the gen-tleman from Connecticut (Mr. LARSON) would help meet this challenge. Finally, I wanted to speak in support of the amendment offered by my col-league, the gentleman from Pennsyl-vania (Mr. HOFFPEI), who will address

league, the gentleman from Pennsyl-vania (Mr. HOEFFEL), who will address the issue of Internet access for seniors. In 1998, the number of people aged 50 to 74 using the Internet doubled from the year before. It is estimated by the end of this year there will be 100 million citizens over the age of 50 on line. I can count my mother as one of those peo-ple, and I am soon to be one of those people over 50 as well. The gentleman

from Pennsylvania (Mr. HOEFFEL) would make sure that the benefits of the Internet are available to senior citizens So all in all these amendments are

So all in all these amendments are important in their emphasis on making the benefits of these newest tech-nologies available to all Americans. I support these amendments and support

support these amendments and support H.R. 2086. Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. MALONEY). Mrs. MALONEY of New York. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time, and I rise in favor of H.R. 2088. Investment in Longsterm funda-

favor of H.R. 2086. Investment in long-term funda-mental information technology re-search is critical to the continued evolution of the Internet and to the econ-

omy of New York City and the country. Mr. Chairman, I believe this invest-ment in IT research will benefit the country many times over. As the econ-omy becomes increasingly global in nature, the U.S. must continue to invest in developing safer and faster informa-

While the press has largely con-centrated on the incredible wealth that has accumulated in high-tech stocks, the most substantial impact of IT on the economy can be measured in pro-ductivity gains and in job growth. In New York City, the power of IT as a job creator has been stunning. Ac-

a job creator has been stunning. Ac-cording to a November report in Craine's New York Business, New York's Silicon Alley has created 56,000 jobs since 1994. When peripheral jobs that work with Silicon Alley compa-

that work with Silicon Alley compa-nies are included, the total is well over 100,000 jobs, twice the number that neighboring Wall Street has added dur-ing the unprecedented Bull market. Research projects funded by the bill include the development of the next generation Internet and "terascale" computing equipment. Funding will also go to information technology edu-cation and training crants that will be

also go to information technology edu-cation and training grants that will be jointly funded with the private sector. Mr. Chairman, I applaud the chair-man of the committee, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENSERNER) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL) for their hard work and leader-ship in this important bill. I would also like to thank President Clinton and like to thank President Clinton and Vice President Gore for their 8-year

Vice President Core for their 8-year commitment to technology issues. Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Orego (Mr. BLUMENAUER). Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time. I too would like to add my voice in appreciation as a member of this chamber for the leadership from the committee in terms of making sure that the United States' leadership in the area of information technology will that the United States' leadership in the area of information technology will be assured with the enactment of this legislation. This is an important step in the right direction. I wanted to reference simply two points that are of special interest to to

I appreciate the language in this leg-I appreciate the language in this leg-islation that would require the study of the encryption technologies that are available in foreign countries. I have often been concerned that our encryption policy in the United States in terms of export restrictions verged on the ludicrous.

EI 1400

We were in danger having the poten-tial of some Gameboy platforms run-ning athwart our restrictions until rening athwart our restrictions until re-cently by action of the administration. And having a rational study of what is available overseas, compare that to what is available here, trying to make this something that makes sense in the broader world stage is important, I think, for our constituents who are enthink, for our constituents who are en-gaged ultimately in ways to make sure that we have maximum benefit of encryption technology in the United States and we do not put American companies at a disadvantage. Second, 1 appreciate and applaud the leadership of this committee trying to

focus the need on having permanent re-search and development tax credit. This is something that makes a huge difference to industry in the long term looking over the long haul, something that industry can use to be able to make its research and development decisions.

I hope that the legislative leadership in both Chambers will take seriously the message that has been delivered by the committee to make sure that this made permanent so that industry can count upon it.

I look forward to having a clean vote on this item before we adjourn. I think it would be overwhelmingly approved it would be overwhelmingly approved, it would be an important signal for our industry, and I think it is something that we no longer need to delay. Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, as

Mr. HALL of lexas. Mr. Chairman, as is usual in the courtroom, we save the best for the last. J yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER), Mr. NADLER, Mr. Chairman, I rise in strong support of this legislation. I want to congratulate the chairman and the real/discussions of the committee

the ranking member of the committee and the other members of the com-mittee for bringing the bill to the floor

It is critical that we continue to invest in basic research and technology and support the Next Generation Interand support the text Generation met. The Covernment can play and has played a critical role in stimulating science and in improving people's lives. Government investment in basic re-search was essential to the creation search was essential to the creation and the development of the Internet we know today. We must continue to in-vest in cutting-edge technology and basic science to develop the Internets of the future. We must do everything we can to support this type of research. I support this bill specifically be-cause it continues to fund the Next Generation Internet. This initiative fo-cures on developing revolutionary an-

cuses on developing revolutionary ap-plications and networking capabilities

that will dramatically increase the

speed and efficiency of the Internet. The Next Generation Internet will be capable of operating at what we today would call incredible speeds. Imagine downloading data not at 56k, but at 622 megabits per second or even 2.4 giga-bits per second or even 9.9 gigabits per second. That is what the future holds Internet users if we continue to fund this.

These types of networks will enable bandwidth-intensive applications, such as telemedicine, video-conferencing, advanced engineering, and virtual-learning environments. The Internet of the future ought to be able to transmit voice, date, and video quickly and efficiently. If we invest wisely and support continued funding, then it will do so. The National Science Foundation has

played a central role in steering and providing seed money for this new na-tional network. The bill recognizes the critical inportance of strong Federal investment in basic research and science and specifically in the Next Ceneration Internet. The research of today will stimulate

Ine research of today will stimulate future economic development as the re-search of yesterday has stimulated our current economic boom, and the re-search of today will further benefit our economy and our country in future weare

Again, I congratulate the committee; and I urge all my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr EWING Mr Chairman I rise today in Mr. EWING. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in strong support of H.R. 2008, the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Act. This legislation supports the vital funding of basic information technology research in the high-Performance Computing and Communications, Next Generation Inter-net, and additional NITRD programs. I am particularly prout to support this legis-lation because of the instrumental role my own constituents at the University of Illinois have

lation because of the instrumental note my own constituents at the University of Illinois have played in information technology research. While many in Washington are talking about making the internet more accessible, but it has been researchers at the university of III-nois' National Computational Science Alliance (NCSA) that have made it happen. It was these meanered the effect to these researchers that pioneered the effort to these researchers that picneered the entor to create Mosaic, the browser which has the al-towed the public access to the World Wilde Web and the Internet. Without the National Science Foundation's support of this research, access to the Internet may still be only re-served for the few. By devoting \$130 million to the NSF for

high-end computing, software, and networking research, H.R. 2086 will continue to support research, min. 2009 will contain the to appoint such important endeavors as those in my dis-trict to ensure that America's technological revolution leaves no one behind. Events of the past 10 years are evidence that any costs we incur today will be far outweighed by the re-

incur today will be far outweighed by the re-wards we reapt formorrow. It is my hope that my colleagues on both sides of the aisle will join the bipartisan coali-tion of Science Committee members who passed H.R. 2086 by a unanimous 41–0 vole at Full Committee. Please support H.R. 2086 and support real efforts to make the information super-highway available to all.

Ms. LOFGREN, Mr. Chairman, J rise today MS. LOFGREN, Mr. Chairman, rise today in support of H.R. 2086, the Networking and Information Technology Research and Devel-opment Act, because I believe that this legislation provides funding for Internet and com-puting research that is essential to maintaining our status as a world leader in information technologies. Last week's hacker attacks on some of the foremost e-commerce web sites indicates the degree to which the development of the Internet and our understanding of all of ust as buying stock in information technology companies has been a successful investment, dedicating funds to basic research into internel privacy, security, and stability, and helping to develop the technologies that will drive the next-generation internet is as worthwhile an

next-generation internet, is as worthwhile an investment as we can make. The federal government played a founding role in the growth of the internet, helping to develop and build both the infrastructure that carries the internet and the computers that power it. This bill continues that tradition of our role in the growth of this technology, tech-nology that has the power to benefit so many people by 2008 ole. H.R. 2086 provides nearly half a bil-dollars to the National Science Foundapeop lion tion hundreds of millions of dollars to NASA and the Department of Energy, and millions more to the National Institute of Standards and Technology, National Oceanic and Atmos pheric Administration, and Environmental Pro tection Agency. The money is dedicated to long-term basic research on networking and information technology, and involves universities and the private sector in this collective research effort through grants for development

and study. This bill is truly legislation that everyone, particularly everyone involved in the growth of our new high-tech economy, can support. And most everyone already has. The Science Committee approved this bill unanimously, and a tremendous coalition of business, university, and government groups from across the coun-try have voiced their support for this extremely important legislation. This bill will be a boon to the people of Silicon Valley, the area that represent, and companies and trade associarepresent, and companies and inade associa-tions that have been at the forefront of the de-velopment of the newest generation of infor-mation technology. But this is hardly a local phenomenon. The University of Washington, the Big Ten Universities, MIT, the National Association of Manufacturers, and the Co-Chairs of the President's Information Technology Ad-Council all have endorsed this le clein. visory Little wonder that internet technology, which has connected people from across the country and across the world like nothing be fore it, could also connect people in support of this legislation assisting in its development. Mr. Chairman, basic research into neur inter-

Mr. Chairman, basic research into new inter-net technologies drove the development of the world wide web and the incredible system of networks that now traverse the globe. Dec-ades of basic research into computers and information technology were the catalyst for the internet economic boom that is now sweeping the country with a broad swath of prospenity in the country with a broad swath of prospenity in its wake. This bill provides hundreds of mil-lions of dollars of axtremely well-spent invest-ment into further basic research to continue there geometric advances in information tachnologies, and I hope that the rest of my col-leagues will join the 41 Members of the Science Committee in supporting it wholeheartedly

Mr. HALL of Texas, Mr. Chairman, I ave no further requests for time, and

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Chairman, I also have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of

my time. The CHAIRMAN, All time for general debate has expired. The committee amendment in the

nature of a substitute consisting of the bill, modified by striking section 8 and redesignating succeeding sections accordingly, shall be considered by sec-tions as an original bill for the purpose of amendment, and pursuant to rule, each section is considered read. the

Tute, each Section is considered read. During consideration of the bill for amendment, the Chair may accord pri-ority in recognition to a Member offer-ing an amendment that he has printed in the designated place in the CONGRES-SIONAL RECORD. Those amendments will be considered read will be considered read. The Chairman of the Committee of

the Whole may postpone a request for a recorded vote on any amendment and may reduce to a minimum of 5 minutes may reduce to a minimum of 5 minutes the time for voting on any postponed question that immediately follows an-other vote, provided that the time for voting on the first question shall be a minimum of 15 minutes. Mr. SENSENERENNER, Mr. Chair-

man, I ask unanimous consent that the committee amendment in the nature of

a substitute be printed in the RECORD and open to amendment at any point. The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

The text of the committee amend-ment in the nature of a substitute, as modified, is as follows:

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Rep-resentatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Networking and formation Technology Research and Develop-The at Act' SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

The Congress makes the following findings: (1) Information technology will continue to change the way Americans live, learn, and work. The information revolution will improve urn, and

work. The information revolution will improve the workplace and the quality and accessibility of health care and education and make govern-ment more responsible and accessible. (2) Information technology is an imperative reability technology that contributes to sci-entific disciplines. Major advances in biomedical research, public safety, engineering, and other critical areas depand on further advances in computing and communications. (3) The United States is the undisputed global leader in information technology.

(3) The United States is the undisputed global leader in Information technology. (4) Information technology, is recognized as a catalyst for economic growth and prosperity. (5) Information technology represents one of the Rastest growing sectors of the United States economy, with electronic conmerce alone pro-fected to become a trillion-dollar business by 2003.

2005. (6) Businesses producing computers, semi-conductors, software, and communications equipment account for ane third of the total growth in the United States economy since 1922. (7) According to the United States Census Bu-reau, between 1993 and 1997, the information

technology sector grew an average of 12.3 perent per year. (8) Fundamental research in information tech-

(6) Fundamental research in information technology has evolution, nology has evoluted he information revolution, (9) Fundamental research in information tech-nology has contributed to the creation of new industries and new, high-paying jobs. (10) Our Nation's well-being will depend on the understanding, arising from the increasing pace of information technology transformations. (11) Scientific and engineering research, and the availability of a skilled warkforce are crit-ical to continued economic growth driven by in-formation technology.

Icai to continued economic growth driven by in-formation technology. (12) In 1897, private industry provided most of the linding for research and development in the information technology sector. The information technology sector now receives, in absolute terms, one-third of all corporate spending an t-search and development in the United States

economy. (13) The private sector tends to focus its (14) The Private sector tenus to locus its spending on short-term, applied research. (14) The Federal Government is uniquely posi-tioned to support long-term fundamental re-

search. (15) Federal applied research in information technology has grown at almost twice the rate of Federal basic research since 1986, (16) Federal science and engineering programs

increase their emphasis on lo.

nust increase their emphasis on long-term, high-risk research. (17) Current Federal programs and support for Andamental research in Information technology is inadequate if we are to maintain the Nation's global leadership in Information technology. SEC. & AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

(a) NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION .--- Section

(a) INTIDUE SCIENCE TOWNSTION-SECTOR 201(b) of the High-Performance Computing Act of 1931 (15 U.S.C. 5521(b)) is amended— (1) by striking "Prom sums otherwise author-ized to be appropriated, there" and inserting

Ized to be appropriated, there" and inserting "There"; (2) by striking "1955; and" and inserting "1955; and (3) by striking the period at the end and in-serting ", 443,000,000 for fiscal year 2000; 5488,500,000 for fiscal year 2001; 5493,300,000 for fiscal year 2002; 5544,100,000 for fiscal year 2003; and \$371,300,000 for fiscal year 2003; and \$371,300,000 for inder this subsection shall be the

and \$371,300,000 for fiscal year 2004. Amounts authorized under this subsection shall be the total amounts authorized to the National Science Foundation for a fiscal year for the Pro-gram, and shall not be in addition to amounts previously authorized by law for the purposes of

previously automates - , the Program.". (b) NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMIN-ISTRATION. – Section 202(b) of the High-Perform-ance Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5522(b))

after Company, and a sums otherwise author-(1) by striking "From sums otherwise author-ized to be appropriated, there" and inserting

(1) by striking "From sums concruse sutures, iced to be appropriated, there" and inserting "There"; (2) by striking "1995: and" and inserting (3) by striking the period at the end and in-serting ", 1864,900,00 for fiscal year 2000; 5201,600,600 for fiscal year 2011; 5205,600,000 for fiscal year 2009; 5214,000,000 for fiscal year 2003; and 5331,000,000 for fiscal year 2001; 5205,600,000 for fiscal year 2009; 5214,000,000 for fiscal year 2003; 2013,000,000 for fiscal year 2001; 5205,600,000 for 2013,000,000 for fiscal year 2001; 5205,600,000 for 2013,000,000 for fiscal year 2001; 5205,000,000 for fiscal year 2002; 5125,700,000 for fiscal year 2003; and 5129,400,000 for fiscal year 2011; 5017,600,000 for fiscal year 2002; 5125,700,000 for fiscal year 2003; and 5129,400,000 for fiscal year 2013; and 5129,400,000 for fiscal year 2014; and 5129,400,000 for fiscal year 2014; fiscal year 2002; 5125,700,000 for fiscal year 2003; and 5129,400,000 for fiscal year 2014; fiscal year 2002; 5125,700,000 for fiscal year 2014; fiscal year 2002; 5125,700,000 for fiscal year 2003; fiscal year 2002; fiscal year 2014; fiscal year 2015; fiscal year 2014; fiscal ye

1ECHNOLOOY - (1) Section 204(d)(i) arises High-Performance Computing Act of 1991 (IS U.S.C. 5524(d)(1)) is amended: (A) by strikking ''1935; and'' and inserting ''1935; '' and (E) by strikking ''1986; and'' and inserting ''1936; \$9,000,000 for fiscal year 2000; \$9,500,000

HeinOnline -- 4 Bernard D. Reams, Jr., Law of E-SIGN: A Legislative History of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, Public Law No. 106-229 (2000) H398 2002

for fiscal year 2001: \$10,500,000 for fiscal year 2002: \$16,000,000 for fiscal year 2003: and

or used year 2001; \$10,500,000 for fiscal year 2002; \$16,000 for fiscal year 2003; and \$17,000,000 for fiscal year 2004; and". (g) Section 2016(g) of the High-Performance Computing Act of 1891 (JS U.S.C. 5524(g)) is amended by striking "Firom sums otherwise au-thorized to be appropriated, there" and insert-ing "There".

ing "There". (e) NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC AD-MINISTRATION.—Section 204(d)(2) of the High-Performance Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C.

5524(d)(2)) is amended— (l) by striking "1995; and" and inserting "1995;"; and

"1995." and "Gamma Sector and the end and in-sering "1 \$13,500,000 for fixed year 2000; \$13,500,000 for fixed year 2001; \$14,300,000 for fixed year 2002; \$14,900,000 for fixed year 2003; and \$12,500,000 for fixed year 2001; "Gamma Year 2003; [] Evropomental perfection Section 2000; of the High-Performance Com-puting Act of 1811 (IS U.S.C. \$2526a)]

mended— (1) by striking "From sums otherwise author-red to be appropriated, there" and inserting ized "Th

tzed to be appropriated, unere and inserting "There";
(2) by striking '1985; and' and Inserting '1985; 'and
(3) by striking the period at the end and in-serting '', \$4,00,000 for fiscal yeer 2000; \$4,300,000 for fiscal year 2011; \$4,500,000 for fis-cal year 2022; \$4,000,000 for fiscal yeer 2003; and \$4,700,000 for fiscal year 2004."
SEC. 4. NETWORKING AND INFORMATION TECH-NOLOGY RUSPERICH AND DEVELOP-MEN.

high end computing and software; network sta-bility, fragility, reliability, security (including privacy), and scalability; and the social and economic consequences of information techprivacy), and scalabilit monomic consequences

privacy, and scalability, and the social and conomic consequences of information tech-nology. "(2) In each of the fiscal years 2000 and 2001, the National Science Foundation shall award under this subsection up to 20 large grants of up to \$1,000,000 each, and in each of the fiscal years 2002, 2003, and 2004, the National Science Foundation shall award under this subsection "(3)(A) (6) the anomina's described in para-graph (1), 540,000,000 for fiscal year 2001; sti(A00,000 for fiscal year 2002, 2000 for fiscal year 2001; sti(A00,000 for fiscal year 2002; sti(S00,000 for fiscal year 2001; sti(A00,000 for fiscal year 2002; sti(S00,000 for fiscal year 2001; sti(A00,000 for fiscal year 2002; sti(S00,000 for fiscal year 2001; still be available for grants of up to \$5,000,000 each for Information Technology Research Conters. "(B) For purposes of this paragraph, the term, finder and the will significatify advance the science supporting the development of Information that the development of Information that the information scientific and engineering dis-cipations of the development of Information that the information scientific and the information the information scientific and the information "(4) MADOR RESERVERCHOUMENT—(1) in ad-Importance. "(d) MAJOR RESEARCH EQUIPMENT.—(1) In ad-

"(d) MAJOR RESEARCH EQUIPAENT.—(1) In ad-dillon to the amounts authorized under sub-section (b), there are authorized to be appro-priated to the National Science Foundation 570,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, 370,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, 580,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, 580,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, and 385,000,000 for fiscal year 2003 for grants for the develop-ment of major research equipment to establish

terascale computing capabilities at 1 or more sites and to promote diverse computing architec-tures. Awards made under this subsection shall provide for support for the operating expenses of facilities established to provide the terascale computing capabilities, with funding for such

computing capabilities, with funding for such operating expenses derived from amounts avail-able under subsection (b). "(2) Grants awarded under this subsection shall be awarded though an open, nationvide, per-reviewed competition. Awardes may in-clude consortia constitution of institutions: "(A) Academic supercomputer centers. "(B) State-supported supercomputer centers. "(C) Supercomputer centers that are sup-orted as part of federally funded research and development centers.

development centers. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, regulation, or agency policy, a federally funded research and development center may apply for a grant under this subsection, and may compete on an equal basis with any other applicant for the awarding of such a grant. "(d) As a condition of receiving a grant under "(d) As a condition of receiving a grant under

this subsection, an awardee must agree-"(A) to connect to the National Science Foun-

"(A) to connect to the National Science Foun-dation's Partnership for Advanced Computa-tional Infrastructure network; "(B) to the maximum extern practicable, to co-ordinate with other federally funded large-scale computing and simulation efforts; and "(C) to provide open access to all grant recip-ents under this subsection or subsection (c), "(e) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY EDUCATION NOT TOLINY, GALYS. —...

AND TRAINING GRANTS.--"(1) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY GRANTS.-The AND TRANNING GRANTS.— "(1) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY GRANTS.—The National Science Foundation shall provide grants under the Scientifte and Advanced Tech-nology Act of 1992 for the purposes of section 19(a) and (b) of that Act except that the activi-ties supported pursuant to this paragraph shall be limited to information technology. The Founda-tion shall encourage institution offine is from around the sector of the sector of the sector tion shall encourage institution offine is from around under the paragraph. Information technology resurges the theory information technology research at provide "(2) DTRINSHIP GRANTS.—The National Science Foundation shall provide— "(3) around to institutions of higher education to establish scientific internship programs in in-formation technology research at private sector companies and information technology research at private sector "(3) Around Science Foundation the information technology research information technology research at private sector "(3) Around Foundation Science Science

norty Participation program for metrissing in information technology research at private sec-tor (1) MATCHING FUNDS.—Awards under part-graph (2) shall be made on the condition that at least on equal amount of funding for the intern-ship shall be provided by the private sector com-pany at which the internship will take place. "(4) DEFINITON.—For purposes of this sub-section, the term 'institution of higher édu-cation' has the meaning given that term in sec-tion 120(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (5) (5) (110), (100), (100), (100), (100), (200), (

2004 shall be available for Carrying out this Sub-section. "(1) EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH.-"(1) RESEARCH PROGRAM.-As part of its re-sponsibilities under subsection (a)(1), the Na-tional Science Poundation shall establish a re-search program to develop, demonstrate, assess, and disseminate effective applications of infor-mation and computer technologies for elemen-tary and secondary education. Such program shall-"(A) support research projects, including col-

shall— "(A) support research projects, including col-laborative projects involving academic research-

ers and elementary and secondary schools, to develop innovative educational materials, in-cluding software, and pedagogical approaches based on applications of information and computer technology;

"(B) support empirical studies to determine the educational effectiveness and the cost effec-

""(B) support empirical studies to determine the advantional effectiveness and the cast effec-tiveness of specific, promising educational ap-proaches, techniques, and materials that are based on applications of information and com-uter technologies; and "(C) Include provision for the widespread dis-uter technologies; and "(C) Include provision for the widespread out under subpergraphs (A) and (B), including maintenance of electronic libraries of the best ducational materials identified accessible through the Internet. "(C) Revision of the research projects and empirical studies carried out under under wide (D(A) and (B) stall encompass a wide variety of educational studies in archer to identify ap-high potential for being successfully replicated throughout the United States. "(C) AVLIALITY OF ENDS.—Of the amounts authorized under subsection (b), 510,0000 for fiscal yeer 2001, 511,00000 for fiscal year 2002, 511,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and 512,300,000 for fiscal yeer 2001, 511,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, 511,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, and 512,300,000 for fiscal year 2004 shall be available for the purposes of this ""O PAVLIAL REVIEW.—OI the amounts ""O PAVLIAL REVIEW.—OI the amounts ""O PAVLIAL REVIEW.—OI for proposes of this ""O PAVLIAL REVIEW.—OI the amounts ""O PAVLIAL REVIEW.—OI the purposes of this ""O PAVLIAL REVIEW.—OI the amounts ""O PAVLIAL REVIEW.—OI the amounts under ""O PAVLIAL REVIEW.—OI the amounts under under ""O PAVLIAL REVIEW.—OI the purposes of this ""O PAVLIAL REVIEW.—OI the purposes of this ""O PAVLIAL REVIEW.—OI the amounts under under

Bscal year 2000, and 312,500,000 for tissen year 2004 shall be available for the purposes of this subsection. "(9) PEER REVIEW.—All grants made under this section shall be made only after being sub-private sector representation." groups having private sector representation." Groups having private sector representation." Groups having private sector representation." Groups having nec Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S. C. 552(a)) Is amended by inserting ", and may participate and sector research described in section 2016(1)" after 'and experimentation". 2016 (1)" after and experimentation". 2017 (1)" after and experimentation and by adding after paragraph (4) the following: 2018 (1)" after and and inserting a comma, 2018 (1)" after and and inserting a comma, 2018 (1)" after and after aspect research de-sertion and by adding after subparagraph (1) the following: 2018 (1)" after and after aspect research de-and may participate in or support research de-and may participate and and support research de-and may participate and and support research de-and may participate and support research de-and may participate and and support research de-and and para data subparagraph (2) and here and after the and and para data subpa

end of subparageraph (c) and inserting a comma, and by adding after subparageraph (c) the fol-tion of the subparageraph (c) the fol-many participate in or support research de-scribed in section 2016(c)(n of '. (d) MATIONAL OCENNC AND ATMOSFIERIC AD-MATIONAL OCENNC AND ATMOSFIERIC AD-MATIONAL OCENNC AND ATMOSFIERIC AD-MATIONAL OCENNC AND ATMOSFIERIC AD-MATIONAL OCENNC AND ATMOSFIERIC AD-participate in or support research described in section 201(c)' after 'agency missions''. Secti

SEC. 6. NEXT GENERATION INTERNET.

Section 103 of the High-Performance Com-puting Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5513) is amended— (1) by amending subsection (c) to read as follows

lows: "(3) STUDY OF INTERNET PRIVACY.--"(1) STUDY.--Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of the Networking and Infor-mation Technology Research and Development Act, the National Science Foundation may enter into an arrangement with the National Academy of search Council of the National Academy of search Council of the National Academy of Sciences for that Council to conduct a study of privacy on the Internet. "(2) SUBJECTS.—The study shall address— "(A) research needed to develop technology for protection of privacy on the Internet;

"(B) current public and private plans for the deployment of privacy technology, standards, and policies; "(C) polici

and policies, "(C) policies, laws, and practices under con-sideration or formally adopted in other coun-tries and jurisdictions to protect privacy on the

ernet; "(D) Federal legislation and other reg course registation and other regulatory steps needed to ensure the development of pri-vacy technology, standards, and policies; and "(B) other matters that the National Research Council determine".

 "(i) other matters that the National Research Council determines to be relevant to Internet privacy.
"(3) TRANSMITTAL TO CONGRESS.—The Na-tional Science Foundation shall transmit to the Congress within 21 months of the date of enact-Congress whith 21 months on the over the constant ment of the Networking and Information Tech-nology Research and Development Act a report setting forth the findings, conclusions, and rec-ommendations of the National Research Cour-

cil. "(4) FEDERAL AGENCY COOPERATION.agencies shall cooperate fully with the National Research Council in its activities in carrying out the study under this subsection.

(1) Sundy Under this Subsection. "(5) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Of the amounts described in subsection (4)(2), \$900,000 shall be available for the study conducted under this

(i) by stri "1990," and "" (i) by inserting ", 515,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, and 515,000,000 for fiscal year 2002" after "Inscal year 2000", (b) in paragraph (2), by inserting ", and 525,000,000 for fiscal year 2001 and 525,000,000 for fiscal year 2002" after "Act of 1930";

(C) in paragraph (4)— (I) by striking "1999 and" and inserting

(C) in paragraph (9)—
(b) sy striking "1999 and" and inserting "1999; and
(ii) by inserting ", \$10,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, and \$10,000,000 for fiscal year 2002" after

2001, and 310,000,000 for fiscal year 2002" after "fiscal year 2000"; and (D) in paragraph (3)-() by striking "1999 and" and inserting "1999," and (ii) hy inserting ". 55,500,000 for fiscal year 2001, and 55,500,000 for fiscal year 2002" after "fiscal year 2000". SEC. & REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

SEC: 6. ISBCOMING IGAQUIDADIAND Section 101 of the High-Performance Com-puting Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5511) is amended— (1) in subsection (b)— (A) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (5) as subparagraphs (A) through (E), respec-tion.

tively; (B) by inserting ''(1)'' after ''ADVISORY COM-MITTE -'': and (C) by adding at the end the following new

MITTEE: —: and (C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph: —: (2) In addition to the duties outlined in paragraph (I), the advisory committee shall con-duct periodic evolutions on the funding, man-gement, implementation, and activities of the Paragram, the Next Generation Internet program, and the Next Generation. Internet program, and the event hand a state of the funding internet in the state of the state of the dual report to less frequently than once every 2 fixed years to the Committee on Science of the Science of the enactment of the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Science on the state of the Networking and Information Technology Research and De-velopment Act: "; and (2) in subsection (c)(1)(A) and (2), by inserting yram and the Next Generation Information pro-gram and the Next Generation Information prochology Research and Development pro-gram after "Fregram" each place to proto (a) STUDY.—The National Science Foundations. (b) STUDY.—The National Science Foundation (b) STUDY.—The National Science Foundation (b) STUDY.—The National Science Foundation (c) STUDY.—The National Sc

(a) STUDY.—The National Science Foundation vall undertake a study comparing the availch.

ability of encryption technologies in foreign

countries to the encryption technologies subject to export restrictions in the United States. (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the National Science Foundation shall transmit

the National Science Foundation shall transmit to the Congress a report on the results of the study undertaken under subsection (a). SEC. 8. STUDY OF APPROPRIATIONS IMPACT ON INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RE-SEARCH.

SEARCH. Within 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General, in con-sultation with the National Science and Technology Council and the President's Information Technology Advisory Committee, shall transmit to the Congress a report on the impact on Infor-mation technology research of the Resal year 2000 appropriations acts for the Departments of Veterarias ATAItis and Housing and Utban Devel-opment, and Independent Agencies, for the De-partments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Just and Water Development. MERNAMEN NO. IN DEPERTMENT AND ADVISION nology Council and the President's Information

AMENDMENT NO. 10 OFFERED BY MR. HALL OF TEXAS

Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment. The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will des-

ignate the amendment. The text of the amendment is as fol-

lows

Amendment No. 10 offered by Mr. HALL of

Jows: Amendment No. 10 offered by Mr. HALL of Texas: Page 5, lines 12 through 15, strike "\$353,000,000" and all that follows through \$371,330,000, for fiscal year 2000; \$455,000,000 for fiscal year 2000; \$455,000,000 for fiscal year 2001; F372,000,000 for fiscal year 2002; \$736,000,000 for fiscal year 2003; and \$771,000,000". Page 5, lines 14 through 17, strike stal year 2000; \$306,000,000 for fiscal year 2000; "\$12,000,000 for fiscal year 2002; \$336,000,000 for fiscal year 2000; and stal year 2002; \$131,00,000 for fiscal year 2000; and stal year 2002; \$131,00,000 for fiscal year 2000; and stal year 2002; \$131,00,000 for fiscal year 2000; and stal year 2002; \$142,000,000 for "\$310,000,000" and all that follows through "\$30,000,000" and linesrt "\$350,000,000". Page 5, line 1, strike "20" and insert "2". "Page 5, line 1, strike "20" and insert "2". "\$30,000,000" and linesrt "\$350,000,000". "\$30,000,000" and linesrt "\$350,000,000".

Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, Mr. HALL of Texas, Mr. Chairman, the amendment I am offering with the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. WU) will adjust the funding authorized in the bill in response to the administration's budget request for fiscal year 2001. I would like to briefly describe the amendment and then turn to the gen-tleman from Oregon (Mr. WU) for a de-scription of the value and impact of the amendment. amendment.

The purpose of H.R. 2086 is to authorize the portfolio of information technology research activities that are formaily coordinated among the Federal R&D agencies. This includes the au-thorization for new programs to imple-ment the recommendation of the President's Information Technology Advi-sory Committee for a major new initiasory Committee for a major nev tive focused on long-term, high-risk re-

search. This amendment addresses the two funding issues raised by the President's fiscal year 2001 budget request for in-

fiscal year 2001 budget request for in-formation-technology research. First, the budget request changes the baseline for formally coordinated re-search activities. The baseline now in-cludes projects that the various agen-cles have been conferring on but that were not reported to the Office of Man-agement and Budget for fiscal year 2000 part of the formal interagency pro gram.

HR 2086 as reported is below the fiscal year 2001 request partly because the bill assumes the lower baseline level in determining the authorization level for the fiscal years 2001 through

the year 2004. The second funding issue the amend-ment addresses is a significant increase unat the inscal year 2001 budget request provides for new research support. I support this proposed increase because it will reverse the 36 percent shortfall in the appropriations level for fiscal year 2000 for the information-techthat the fiscal year 2001 budget request in the appropriations level for fiscal year 2000 for the information-tech-nology research initiative, as well as the 13 percent shortfall for all coordi-nated information-technology research programs. The am

programs. The amendment also adjusts the level of the Department of Energy au-thorization to reflect the fiscal year 2000 appropriations level.

2000 appropriations level. Finally, the amendment adjusts the outyear authorizations for the two agencies to maintain the same total percentage funding growth between fis-cal years 2001 and 2004 as provided by H.R. 2006, as reported. This long-term focus of the bill, I think, also will provide support for an

area of great importance for all of our citizens. Most important to me in the entire bill is the biomedical research. Information technology has become creasingly important to the medical sciences. It holds the key to harnessing the vast quantities of genomic data being gathered in order to understand the expression and control of genes Statistical analysis of large

large databases is central to the diagnosis and treatment of medical illnesses. Medical imaging techniques rely on complex software and algorithms. Other research under this initiative

will address fundamental studies of ro-botics that will revolutionize the practice of medicine. Advances in robotics tice of medicine. Advances in robotics will lead to applications, for example, to allow surgeons to manipulate and repair blood vessels. Devices at the mi-cron scale will provide physicians with the capability to search out and de-stroy cancer cells at the earliest stages of the disease.

Mr. Chairman, this bill will help en-able the future. I commend the measure to my colleagues and ask for their

support. Mr. Chairman, I yield to the gen-tleman from Oregon (Mr. Wu). Mr. WU. Mr. Chairman, I thank the

gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL), the ranking member, and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Chairman SENSEN-BRENNER) for working with me on this amendment, or allowing me to work

with them on this amendment, which with them on this amendment, which would increase for fiscal year 2001 the NSF funding by \$176 million and in-crease the outyear funding levels in conformance with that percentage in-crease. I believe that this adjustment enjoys bipartisan support, and it is also

enjoys bipartisan support, and it is also supported by the administration. If am in receipt of a letter from the administration stating that the admin-istration stating that the admin-istration supports the amendment to be offered by the gencleman from Texas (Mr. HALL) and the gencleman from Oregon (Mr. Wu) that would in-crease authorizations for FY 2001 for the National Science Foundation to the Administration's budget request. A few weeks ago. I had the oppor-tunity to travel throughout my dis-consin (Chairman SENSENBRENNER). We visited research universities, including

consin (chairman Schoenkennen), we visited research universities, including Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland State University, and several high-tech companies where we were able to see firsthand the benefit of NSF

grants. At Portland State University, learned about a unique collaboration between Oregon Health Sciences Uni-versity, Oregon Graduate Institute, and the University of Washington to develop the State's highest speed access to Internet to facilitate research in areas such as biotechnology and

medicine. The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL) has

expired. (At the request of Mr. WU, and by unanimous consent, Mr. HALL of Texas was allowed to proceed for 5 additional minutes.)

Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I from Oregon (Mr. WU). Mr. WU, Mr. Chairman, the research link between these institutions will

provide access to unique laboratories and equipment located at each of these Schools. At Oregon Health Sciences University this means access to infor-mation from the Museum of Health in Medicine to reconstruct hearts in order to find gene defects. "Collaboration" is the keyword to re-

search in this bill and in this amend-ment. The new resources made availment. The new resources made avail-able by this amendment will make a significant contribution to strength-ening NSF's role as the lead agency for Federal multi-agency and information technology research efforts. This re-search encompasses advances in soft-ware design, wireless networking, high-end memotives and methomstrim.

end computing and mathematics. In addition, it will enable application of computing and networking and techon computing and networking and tech nology in many fields of science and engineering that would not be possible with current technology. It will train the scientists and engineers needed to sustain the economic growth fueled by information technology. This invest-ment will deliver tools and capabilities that will benefit every field of science and society broadly. The resources made available by the amendment will be used by NSF for

everal focused efforts. Foremost, the funding will be used to support funda-mental, long-term, high-risk research. This work will encompass investigation of computer system architectures, information storage and retrieval, scalnetworks, and totally new apable

able networks, and totally new ap-proaches to computation. Another particularly important use of the new funding will be for edu-cation programs in information tech-nology. These include scholarships and nology. These include scholarships and fellowships, support for undergraduate participation, and research projects and development of new curriculum. New graduate students will obtain the skills necessary for future generations of researchers that are in high demand

or researchers that are in high demand in the postindustrial economy. At home, NSF-funded research pro-vides support for important projects at Oregon's Urban University, Portland State University. The school has re-ceived nearly \$5 million for funding for the target of the school has re-NSF projects this year that involve un-dergraduate and graduate students in research. Much of this research relates to community needs and priorities, including training American workers to fill high-tech, high-wage jobs. High-tech companies now constitute Or-

egon's largest private sector employer. Finally, the increase in NSF funding will be used to establish a second terascale computing facility to support NSF is the principal access to high-per-formance computing for the academic research community. Access to the most powerful computers is essentially for leading-edge research, as well as educating the next generation of com-puter and computational scientists. Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Chair-man, I rise in support of the amend-

ment.

Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL), and I support his constructive amendment. This his constructive amendment. This amendment would expand the defini-tion of "information technology" tion of "information technology" under the NSF account and change the

under the NSF account and surger in NSF numbers accordingly. This year the administration ex-panded the definition of programs deemed "information technology" deemed information technology within NSF's budget. This expanded definition is compatible with H.R. 2086, and I am pleased to include the new NSF numbers in the bill.

NSF numbers in the bill. The administration prioritization of NSF in 2001 also demonstrates that they have accepted the committee's philosophy for IT spending. The com-mittee believes that the NSF is the

Mittee believes that the NGT is the best agency to run open competitive and peer review IT grant programs. With the adoption of this amend-ment, H.R. 2086 will incorporate the new expansive definition of IT at NSF within the same stable and sustainable within the same stable and sustainable rate of growth passed by the com-mittee with a 41-0 vote last year. Thus, NSF IT spending in the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Act will remain the same total growth rate over the 5 years of the bill after this amendment is

adopted as it had been before the new expanded TT definition was proposed. While this amendment accepts the aggregated definition of NSF TT spendaggregated definition of NSF T¹ spend-ing. I would like to point out that this amendment does not rubber-stamp the President's request. This amendment does not plus up any other agencies to the President's request, nor does it re-flect the docreases in overall NSF spending after fiscal year 2001 found in the administration's fiscal 2001 request. With the exception of NSF, the com-nittee will review on a case-by-case basis the requested increases for IT and other agencies during the consider-ation of those agencies' authorization bills. bills.

Mr. Chairman, this amendment reflects a bipartisan agreement on the part of the committee to a bill that has strong bipartisan support. I commend the ranking member from Texas (Mr. HALL) for offering this amendment, and I urge its adoption. The CHAIRMAN. The question is on

the amendment offered by the gen-tleman from Texas (Mr. HALL).

The amendment was agreed to

AMENDMENT NO. 5 OFFERED BY MR. SMITH OF MICHIGAN

Mr. SMITH of Michigan. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment. The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will des-

ignate the amendment. The text of the amendment is as fol-

lows: Amendment No. 5 offered by Mr. SMITH of

Michigan: Page 16, after line 2, insert the following

Page 16, after fine 2, insert the following new paragraph: (3) UNTED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY.— Title II of the High-Performance Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5521 et seq.) is amended nce Computing

(A) by redesignating sections 207 and 208 as sections 208 and 209, respectively; and (B) by inserting after section 206 the fol-lowing new section:

"SEC. 207. UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY. "The United States Geological Survey may participate in or support research described in section 201(c)(1).".

Mr. SMITH of Michigan. Mr. Chairman, this amendment would have been man, this amendment would have been put on yesterday by our Committee on Science meeting except it would have involved the possibility of re-referral to the Subcommittee on Research and Development. With the consent of Mr. Young as well as the chairman of the Subcommittee on Energy and Mineral Becommerced by the method method Resources, and also the gentlewoman from Wyoming (Mrs. CUBIN) gave her support, we are offering this amend-

support, we are offering this amend-ment at this time. This amendment would allow the United States Geological Survey to participate in the Networking and In-formation Technology Research and Development Grant Program estab-lished by this bill.

ET 1415

In doing so, the USGS would join with the National Science Foundation and other participating agencies in helping focus government funding on information technology research.

The United States Geological Survey has a simple mission, to describe and understand the Earth. When I was young, I traveled around the country with my dad who was a topographic en-gineer with the USGS. Dad helped meet gineer with the USUS. Dad helped meet the challenge of mapping this country by taking to the field with the old fash-ioned rod and compass in hand.

Today, the topographic maps my fa-ther helped create are digitized and the data they contain augmented by readings from satellites, sensors buried in e ground, and experiments run in the lah

lab. Today, the current shuttle radar topography mission to map the world is in its 5th day of sending back bil-lions of bytes of data. The USCS has spent the last 121 years building a collection of these maps, images, and other information assets as a way of answering some of our fundamental guestions about the Earth and its processes. These assets now include extraordinary technology requiring extraordinary technology challenges to maintain and use. That is why this amendment is important.

why this amendment is important. It is difficult to get a grasp on the size of the challenge without resorting to an analogy. For example, the USGS information assets include petabyte size data sets. A petabyte is 2 to the 50th power bytes, one million gigabytes, a thousand trillion bytes, a number that even someone used to dealing with the Federal budget has a hard time understandine. To describe hard time understanding. To describe the vastness of this information in anequivalent of 20 million four-drawer legal-sized filing cabinets stuffed full of text. The computers and processors that deal with these data sets must be correspondingly capable and the net-work connections that feed them must

be adequately quick. The USCS continues to research these technologies as part of their re-search agenda. Allowing them to part-ner in the research funded under this bill will help ensure that their tech-nology needs are met. It will also allow them to bring their considerable skills to the table and help focus this re-search into the areas where it is sure

Search into the aréas Where it is sure to do the most good. I should point out, Mr. Chairman, that this amendment does not author-ize any new funding. This simply recog-nizes the USCS in its role as a partici-pant in IT research. I am pleased to offer this amendment with the support of the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SULTIMEENEN) the objectmon of the of the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBERNER) the chairman of the Committee on Science and the ap-proval of the gentleman from Alaska (Mr. YOUNG) the chairman of the Com-mittee on Resources and the gentle-woman from Wyoming (Mrs. CUBN) the chairman of that committee's Sub-committee on Every and Miners! Be committee on Energy and Mineral Re-

sources. The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. SMITH) has expired.

request of Mr. SENSENBRENNER. (On and by unanimous consent, Mr. SMITH of Michigan was allowed to proceed for in 30 additional seconds.) Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chair-

I yield to

Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Chair-man, will the gentleman yield? Mr. SMITH of Michigan. I yield to the gentleman from Wisconsin. Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chair-

Mr. SENSENDREINDREINER, Mr. Chan-man, I am pleased to support the amendment offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. SMITH). He corfrom Michigan (Mr. SMITH). He cor-rectly states that the only reason this was not included in the bill when it was considered by the Committee on Science is that it would have triggered a sequential referral to the Committee on Resources which would have re-sulted in a delay. I would like to thank the gentleman from Alaska (Mr. YOUNG for signing off on this amend-ment. This simply integrates the ef-forts of the U.S. Geological Service forts of the U.S. Geological Service into the type of research that is being done so that their mapping efforts can be much better digitalized and, thus, much more effective. Mr. SMITH of Michigan. Mr. Chair-man, I would conclude by requesting the support of my colleagues in the nearch of this amandment

the support of my colleagues in the passage of this amendment. Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support. of course, of this amendment by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. SMTR). It is entirely ap-propriate that the U.S. Geological Sur-vey participate in the interagency in-formation technology research pro-gram. I would also observe that the gentleman from Michigan learned this subject well at the feet of his father, a subject well at the feet of his fatther, a longtime member of the USGS team. We certainly support this amendment and urge its adoption. The CHAIRMAN. The question is on

the amendment offered by the gen-tleman from Michigan (Mr. SMITH). The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 14 OFFERED BY MRS. MORELLA

Mrs. MORELLA. Mr. Chairman, offer an amendment. The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will des-

ignate the amendment. The text of the amendment is as fol-

lows:

IOWS: Amendment No. 14 offered by Mrs. MORELLA: Page 8, after line 5, insert the following new subsection: (g) NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH.—Title II of the High Performance Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5821 et seq.) is amended by in-serting after section 205 the following new section: section:

"SEC. 205A. NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH ACTIVITIES.

(a) GENERAL RESPONSIBILITIES.—As part of the Program described in title I, the Na-tional Institutes of Health shall conduct re-search directed toward the advancement and

search directed toward the advancement and dissemination of computational techniques and software tools in support of its mission of biomedical and behavioral research. "Ob Authorization of Appropriations – "Ub Authorization of Appropriations – the Secretary of Health and Human Services for the purposes of the Program \$22,000.000 for fiscal year 2000, 523,000.000 for fiscal year 2001, \$242,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, \$250,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, and \$250,000,000 for fiscal year 2004." Mrs. MORELLA Mr. Chaltman, H.R.

Mrs. MORELLA. Mr. Chairman, H.R. 2086 will maintain our global leadership

technology information and in information technology and prioritize our Nation's basic IT re-search by authorizing funding for six agencies that are undertaking civilian IT research and development initia-

tives. We have heard a lot about that. These six lead agencies, NSF, NIST, NASA, NOAA, EPA and the Depart-NASA, NOAA, EPA and the Depart-ment of Energy, to use all those acro-nyms, all participate in programs in-volved with high-performance com-puting and communications and next generation Internet programs. One major agency, however, Mr. Chairman, the National Institutes of Health, is not among the group of agencies cur-rently authorized in the bill. My amendment would allow NH to

amendment would allow NIH to Ma receive the funding authorization that it needs for vital information tech-nology resources needed to map out the notogy resources needed to map out the human genetic map, battle cancer and other life-threatening diseases, provide bioinformatic and molecular analysis, assist with telemedicine and advance computational medicine, among other

efforts. Mr. Chairman, let me provide just one example of the importance of cut-ting edge information technology for today's innovative medical research. The human genome project, overseen by NIH and the Department of Energy, is an international research progra designed to construct detailed gene genetic maps and determine the complete se-quence of human DNA and localize the estimated 50,000 to 100,000 genes within

estimated 50,000 to 100,000 genes within the human genome. Later this year, researchers will com-plete the first draft of the entire human genome, the very blueprint of life. It is clear that the development and use of this genetic knowledge will have momentous implications for both individuals and society, potentially opening the doors to breakthrough medical discoveries that will allow all of us to Jus Jongs and improve our of us to live longer and improve our human condition. At the very heart of human condition. At the very heart of the human genome project are high speed, high performance computers that analyze and sequence the volumi-nous information collected by re-searchers. As more information is col-lected, these cutting edge computers must continually be advanced and up-graded to complete the job. In the past 6 years, Congress has made a priority of NHI research finding. Our wise in-vestments in NHI research have already paved the way to a revolution in our ability to detect, treat, and pre-vent disease. Yet we must also ensure that the NIH is provided with the nec-essary information technology funds that are needed to conduct its very important medical research. The amendment before

The amendment before us today would authorize \$233 million in NIH inwould authorize \$233 million in NIH in-formation technology funding for fiscal year 2001, \$242 million in fiscal years 2002, and \$250 million in fiscal years 2003 and 2004. This funding level meets NIH's budget request for information technology and is consistent with an NIH letter requesting such funding sent to the gentleman from Virginia

February 15, 2000

(Mr. BLILEY) the chairman of the Com-(Mr. BLILE?) the chairman of the Com-mittee on Commerce. I wish to thank the gentleman from Virginia for his collaborative efforts in preparing this amendment and indeed I want to thank the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENRERNER) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL) for their support. I certainly urge all my colleagues to support this amendment. Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chair-

man, will the an, will the gentlewoman yield? Mrs. MORELLA, I yield to the gen-

tleman from Wisconsin. Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chair-

Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Chair-man, I thank the gentlewoman from Maryland for yielding. I support her amendment. The reason this amend-ment is before us today on the floor is the same reason why the previous amendment was before us, and, that is that the NIH is not under the jurisdic-tion of the Committee on Science. Had we added this money in during the Committee on Science consideration of the bill, it would have delayed the bill's consideration through a sequential referral to the Committee on Com-

What the gentlewoman from Maryland is doing is closing an important hole in this bill, and I am happy to note that the chairman, the members, and the staff of the Committee on Commerce support her efforts in doing so. So this has been worked out without any brouhaha over committee jurisdiction. This makes a good bill better; and it gets the NIH into developing better information technologies, to develop better ways of making sick people bet-

ter and preventing them from getting sick in the first place. Mrs. MORELLA. I thank the gen-tleman for his very eloquent comments on the amendment. It is a pleasure to be able to offer this amendment to close that loophole. Mr. HALL of Texas, Mr. Chairman, I

Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I of course am privileged to congratulate the gentlewoman from Maryland and to recommend her amendment. It sim-ply authorizes as the gentleman from Wisconsin has said the funding for Na-tional Institutes of Health. It formally funds the NIH contribution to the interagency research program. We urge the accontance of this amendment.

the acceptance of this amendment. The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Maryland (Mrs. MORELLA). The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 4 OFFERED BY MR. LARSON

Mr. LARSON. Mr. Chairman, I offer amendment

The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will designate the amendment. The text of the amendment is as fol-

lows

Amendment No. 4 offered by Mr. LARSON: At the end of the bill, insert the following -tion:

SEC. 10. REPORT TO CONGRESS.

Section 103 of the High-Performance Com-puting Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5513), as amend-ed by section 5 of this Act, is further amend-ed by redesignating subsections (b), (c), and (d) as subsections (c), (d), and (e), respec-

tively, and by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection: "(b) REPORT TO CONCRESS.— "(1) REQUIREMENT.—The Director of the

"(1) REQUIREMENT.—The Director of the National Science Foundation shall conduct a study of the issues described in paragraph (3), and not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of the Networking and In-formation Technology Research and Devel-opment Act. shall transmit to the Congress a

opment Act, shall transmit to the Congress a report including recommendations to ad-dress those issues. Such report shall be up-dated annually for 6 additional years. "(2) CONSULTATION-In preparing the re-ports under paragraph (1). the Director of the National Science Foundation shall consult unistranomic the National Institute of Standards and Technology, and such other Pederal agencies and educational entities as the Director of the National Science Founda-tion considers appropriate.

the Director of the National Science Founda-tion considers appropriate. "(3) ISSUES.—The reports shall— "(A) Identify the current status of high-speed, large bandwidth capacity access to all libraries in the United States: "(B) Identify how high-speed, large band-width capacity access to the Internet to such width capacity access to the Internet to such ized within each school and library: "(C) consider the effect that specific or re-gional circumstances may have on the abil-ity of such institutions to acquire high-speed, large bandwidth capacity access to achieve universal connectivity as an effec-tive tool in the education process; and

(D) include options and recommendations for the various entities responsible for ele-mentary and secondary education to address the challenges and issues identified in the reports.

Mr. LARSON. Mr. Chairman, before I begin I would like to thank the gen-tleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSEN-BRENNER) our esteemed chairman of the Committee on Science for his guidance and thoughtfulness in helping me construct this very fine bill and amendment but more importantly I would like to join the chorus of those who have indicated his outstanding work, and I am proud to be a cosponsor of the bill to which we are going to of the bill to which we are going to amend this legislation. But I think the highest sense of praise comes not only from his colleagues but having been out in San Francisco this past year at-tending a convention, to hear Bill Joy from Sun Microsystems stand up and say that this bill that was put forward by our chairman is clearly the most outstanding IT bill of its kind ever put forward before the United States Con-gress. I think that is high praise from someone who clearly understands tech-

someone who clearly understands tech-nology and its importance. In addition, I would like to thank both the gentlewoman from Maryland (Mrs. MORELLA) and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. SMITH) for their help as well as the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. BARCIA) and the gentle-woman from Texas (Ms. EDDIE BERNICE 2015) woman from Texas (Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON) for holding a joint hearing of the Subcommittees on Technology and Basic Research of the Committee on Science last year on this important topic. Finally, I would be remiss if I did not also thank the former ranking member of the Committee on Science, Mr. Brown. He collaborated with me on this piece of legislation, and indeed I am sad today that he is not here but again want to thank him as well. I would also like to thank Javier Gon-

again want to thank him as well. in would also like to thank Javier Gon-zalez from my staff. Mr. Chairman, this amendment is straightforward and it is papitication, and very simply calls for the National Science Foundation to do a techno-logical assessment of what is the most efficient and economical means of bringing forward the information su-perhighway to our public schools and our public libraries. Here are the underpinnings, briefly. The Department of Commerce issued a study in July of last year citing that the digital divide in this country in fact is growing further apart. It is growing apart along the lines of race, gender, wealth, and georgaphy. And so in order to look at closing that gap, it

becomes important upon policy makers to make sure if we are going to provide to make sure if we are going to provide universal, ubiquitous access to the in-formation superhighway, that we have the best possible assessment available. This bill calls upon NSF in conjunction with NASA, the Department of Edu-cation, and other agencies it should so choose to make sure it brings this about in a timely manner so that we can make the best policy decisions as relates to this. relates to this. Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chair-

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chai-man, will the gentleman yield? Mr. LARSON. I yield to the gen-tleman from Wisconsin. Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chair-man, I am happy to support this amendment. It is identical to a bill which he introduced and which I co-mercread working Wo are talking about sponsored earlier. We are talking about how to make information technology available in the cheapest possible way, particularly to our public schools and libraries. This is something that is Inoraries. This is sometining that is timely and needed, and to make sure that the money we are authorizing under this bill is spent in the most effl-cient manner possible. Mr. LARSON. Mr. Chairman, I would

ask for my colleagues' support and move the adoption of this amendment. 1430

Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I rise in strong support of the amendment.

ment. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman from Connecticut (Mr. LARSON) who is a very thoughtful and hard-working Member of the Committee on Science. As a matter of fact, since entering Con-gress, he has been in the forefront of publicized problems of the "digital di-ticte". vide.

He has proposed a series of legislative measures to focus on this situation, including this amendment. I strongly concur in the policy behind these legis-lative efforts, which is to ensure that lative errorts, which is to ensure that all communities, including rural and inner city areas, have adequate access to advanced information technology. One of the keys to maintaining a surging economy that offers opportuni-ties for all of our citizens is to provide

HeinOnline -- 4 Bernard D. Reams, Jr., Law of E-SIGN: A Legislative History of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, Public Law No. 106-229 (2000) H403 2002

the very best educational tools to all of our Nation's students. Mr. Chairman, if, for no other reason.

there are many other reasons to support it, but if for no other reason, this port it, but it for no other reason, this amendment is worthy of support, be-cause the study at a minimum will identify the true present status of high-speed large band width capacity to all public, ary schools ublic, elementar tary, and libraries acces access to all public, terminitiary, un-secondary schools and libraries throughout the country and, as the gentleman from Wisconstin (Chairman SENSENREENRET) said, at a fair figure. In conclusion, I strongly support and urge the adoption of this amendment. Ms. WOOLSEY, Mr. Chairman, as one of the school of th

the few members of both the Science and

education committees, I rise today in support of Mr. LARSON's amendment to H.R. 2086. As a member of both committees, it's of of

particular importance to me that our children have the access to technology in order to suc ceed in school and in their future endeavors.

Congressman LARSON's amendment is a step in the right direction to ensure that students have access to information and internet technologies and also that schools can better use these available technologies.

However, as we strive to make technology more available and effective, let's not focus only on the physical barriers, but also consider the cultural and social barriers as well. The emerging "digital divide" that we are all

concerned about will not only break along economic lines, but social lines as well.

For instance, girls generally do not continue to use technology as they get older the way boys do.

It won't do us any good to procure the best computers, and completely wire our schools, if there is a group of students who aren't en-couraged to use this technology.

need to create education and outreach 10/0 programs to promote opportunities for girls in high-tech futures.

In fact, I've authored legislation that tracks girls from the 4th grade through high school in order to find ways to increase their awareness of high-tech careers and provide them with mentoring and hands-on experience to help them succeed. Like my colleague from Connecticut, I be-

lieve all our children deserve every opportunity to succeed as they face the challenges of the 21st century. It is time we focus on getting our children ready to learn and ready to succeed by making certain schools have the techno-logical tools and equipment.

I urge my colleagues to support Congress man LARSON's amendment

he CHAIRMAN. Are there further Members wishing to speak on the endment?

The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Con-necticut (Mr. LARSON).

The amendment was agreed to. AMENDMENT NO. 11 OFFERED BY MR. HOEFFEL

Mr. HOEFFEL, Mr. Chairman, I offer

an amendment. The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will des

ignate the amendment. The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 11 offered by Mr. HOEFFEL: Page 2, line 13, insert "It is important that ccess to information technology be avail-

able to all citizens, including elderly Ameri-cans and Americans with disabilities." after and and Americans, including energy Americans and Americans with disabilities." after "responsible and accessible.". At the end of the bill, insert the following

new section:

SEC. 9. STUDY OF ACCESSIBILITY TO INFORMA-TION TECHNOLOGY.

SEC. 8. STUDY OF ACCESSIBILITY TO INFORMA-TIONTECINOLOGY. Section 201 of the High-Ferr manace Com-puting Act of 10 (1) 514-5530) as a month pathog Act of 10 (1) 514-5530) as a month section (2) the following new subsection: "(h) STUDY OF ACCESSIBILITY TO INFORMA-TON TECHSOLOCY.-"(1) STUDY.-NOL later than 90 days after the date of enactment of the Networking and Information Technology Research and Devel-opment Act, the Director of the National Science Foundation, in Collegation and Science Foundation, in Collegation and Participation of the Stational Science Foundation, in Collegating and Information technology Research cou-rel of the National Research Sciences for that Council to conduct a study of accessi-bility to information technologies by Indithat bility t Line country to connect a study of accessibility to information technologies by individuals who are elderly, individuals who are elderly with elderly and individuals who are elderly, individuals who are elderly with a disability, and individuals with elderly individuals who are elderly with elderly and the elderly with a elderly individual with elderly individuals who are elderly with a elderly with a elderly individual with elderly elde

(D) other matters that the National Re-

"(D) other matters that the relevant to search Council determines to be relevant to access to information technologies by indi-viduals who are elderly, individuals who are elderly with a disability, and individuals with disabilities

with disabilities. "(3) TRANSMITAL TO CONCRESS.—The Di-rector of the National Science Foundation shall transmit to the Congress within 2 years of the date of enactment of the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Act a report setting forth the Indings, conclusions, and recommendations findings, conclusions, and received of the National Research Council.

of the National Research Council. "(4) FEDERAL ACENCY COOPERATION.—Fed-eral agencies shall cooperate fully with the National Research Council in its activities in carrying out the study under this sub-

Section. "(5) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funding for the study described in this subsection shall be available, in the amount of \$700,000, from amounts described in subsection (c)(1)."

Mr. HOEFFEL. Mr. Chairman, I rise today to offer an amendment to the information technology research and de-velopment authorization bill that velopment authorization bill that would require the National Academy of Sciences to conduct a study on what barriers exist to accessing information technologies for the elderly and for disabled Americans and to recommend

vays to overcome those barriers. I would like to thank the gentleman from Wisconsin (Chairman SENSEN-BRENNER) for his cooperation and the cooperation and assistance of his staff, as well as our ranking member, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL), for his cooperation and assistance as well. Thanks to advances in medical tech-

nology and research, Americans are living longer lives. There are more hongy the living longer lives. There are more than 50 million Americans alive today over the age of 65. There are over 20 million Americans, 15 years of age or

older who are living with disabilities that impair their ability to work.

Mr. Chairman, as we move forward with information technology, we have to make sure that all Americans can reap the rewards of a strong economy a rapidly changing technological and and a rapidly changing technological landscape. Information technology has an enormous potential to improve the quality of life for elderly Americans and those with disabilities.

People who have trouble leaving heir homes can now do all of their grotheir cery shopping online. People who are ill can research their condition online, interact with others who suffer from the same ailments, and contact medical experts online.

Specialized information technologies can help blind people access informa-tion over the Internet. Speech recognition over the internet. Speech recogni-tion software can help people who can-not use a computer keyboard or mouse. Despite all of these opportunities and Despite all of these opportunities and all of these advances, studies have shown that the information-technology revolution is leaving elderly and disabled Americans behind.

Mr. Chairman, studies have shown that those with disabilities are less than half as likely as nondisabled people to have access to a computer at home. And the disabled are only about 30 percent to be likely to access the Internet from home, possibly because they are unaware of technologies that would help them do it, possibly because they cannot afford the technologies.

The point is, Mr. Chairman, you cannot go surfing on the Net if you cannot get to the ocean. We have to reduce barriers for the elderly and for the disabled. My amendment would these problems and pose some solutions by calling for the National Science Foundation, in consultation with the National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research, to commis-sion a study from the National Acad-emies of Science that will identify current barriers to access to information rent barriers to access to information technologies by individuals who are el-derly, by individuals with disabilities; to identify research and development needed to remove those barriers; and to recommend any Federal legislative policy or regulatory changes needed to move those barriers.

The digital divide that we are all concerned with may affect the elderly disabled more and than any other group of Americans.

I urge my colleagues to support this amendment and help ensure that advances in information technology are available to all Americans.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chair-man, I move to strike the last word.

Chairman, this amendment Mr ould authorize a \$700,000 study by the National Research Council on IT accessibility by the disabled and elderly. I would note that there have been studies conducted by a number of different groups looking at similar issues, in-cluding the Federal Electronic and Information Technology Access Advisory

Committee, the University of Wis-consin Trace Research and Develop-ment Center, the California State Unisity at Northridge Center on Dis lity, and the Worldwide Web Consor on Disability, and the Worldwide Web Consor-tium Web Access Initiative have all taken or are taking a look at similar

issues. I had some misgivings about the I had some misgivings about the amendment as it was originally draft-ed, but since the funding will now come out of the available funds and not as a separate authorization. I will not op-pose this, and urge Members to adopt it.

It. Mr. COSTELLO. Mr. Chairman, I rise today in support of Mr. HOEFFEL's amendment to conduct a study to examine the accessibility to information technology for the elderly and per-sons with disabilities. This amendment will make certain that our seniors and individuals with disabilities are not left out of current tech-nological advances that ensure easy access to noingian advances that the easy access to our family and friends. Seniors and the dis-abled also stand to gain the most from med-ical information listed on the internet. Informa-tion on nursing homes, health insurance and prescription drugs can easily be obtained with-

As a cosponsor of this legislation, I am pleased to support this bill that will significantly pleased to support this bill that will significantly increase our commitment to long-term re-search, information technology and net-working. Not only will this bill help our univer-sities in providing information technology re-search, it will also encourage further techno-logical advances in elementary and secondary education, and move the nation forward in bringing technology into millions of American homes that do not have it today.

Nomes that do not have it today. While this bill will greatly help our nation's researchers and students, adoption of this amendment will make certain that our nation's senior citizens and persons with disabilities are included in the benefits of accessible inforare included in the benefits of accessible infor-mation technology. I encourage my colleagues to support passage of this amendment and final passage of this important legislation. The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gen-tiernam from Pennsylvania (Mr.

HOEFFEL).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. ANDREWS Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Chairman, I offer

an amendment. The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. ANDREWS: Page 8. line 22, insert "and counterinitiatives" after "including pri-

vacy". Page 8, line 23, insert "(including the con-sequences for healthcare)" after "social and economic consequences

Mr. ANDREWS. Mr. Chairman, this is an excellent piece of legislation that I am privileged to support. I think very I am privileged to support I think very rarely are we going to get more return on our investment than we are from this piece of legislation. I thank the gentleman from Wisconsin (Chairman SENSINBRENNER) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL), the ranking member, for bringing it forward. The purpose of ma amendment is to be sure that important research and development funds are invested in an event that I hope will never happen, and in an event I hope will happen.

The event to prevent something that hope will never happen is the impor tance of providing information secu-rity, making sure what we refer to in the amendment as "counter-initia-tives" are thwarted. The news media has been rife with reports in the last few days of what has been called cybervandalism, attacks on some well-known commercial Web sites throughon out this country. It is very important that we stay more than one step ahead of those who would do us narra caroan cyber-terrorism or cyber-vandalism. As my friend and colleague, the gen-Pennsvivania (Mr. of those who would do us harm through

Henan from Pennsylvania (Mr. WELDON), said in the general debate on this bill, those of us on the Committee on Armed Services are making a concerted effort in conjunction with the administration this year to be sure that our military cyber-defenses are

prepared and ready. I believe that this legislation, aided by this amendment, will be sure that ve take the maximum steps to prevent this kind of cyber-terrorism in our ci-

vilian sector. The event that I hope will happen The event that I hope will happen will be the extension of high-tech med-ical technology, excellent medical technology to people all over the coun-try and all over the world, through the initiative of telemedicine. My amend-ment directs and encourages that tele-medicine groups the superfit of the residence of the superfit of the superfit of the residence of the superfit of the superfit of the residence of the superfit of the superfit of the residence of the superfit of

ment directs and encourages that tele-medicine research be one of the major priorities under this bill as well. I am very privileged to have had the cooperation of the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBERNNER) and his staff and that of the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL), and I urge sup-roat for the armendment port for the amendment. Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chair-

man, will the gentleman yield? Mr. ANDREWS. I yield to the gen-

tleman from Wisconsin. Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman. I think the amendment offered by man, I think the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Jersey makes a very good bill even better, and I am pleased to support it and hope that the committee adopts it. The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gen-tleman from New Jersey (Mr. AN-revent)

DREWS). The a

The amendment was agreed to. AMENDMENT NO. 12 OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON-LEE OF TEXAS

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment. The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will des-

ignate the amendment. The text of the amendment is as fol-

lows:

Amendment No. 12 offered by Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas: Fage 21, after line 7, insert the following new section: SEC, 9. COMPTROLLER GENERAL STUDY.

SEC, 9, COMPTIONLESI GENERAL STUDY. Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller Gen-eral shall transmit to the Congress a report on the results of a detailed study analyzing on the results of a detailed study analyzing the effects of this Act, and the amendments made by this Act, on lower income families, minorities, and women. Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Chairman, again I want to thank the

Committee on Science and the chairman and ranking member for the vi-sion of this legislation and to reinforce sion of this legislation and to reinforce one of the unique features of this legis-lation, the funding amounts for the Na-tional Science Foundation, in par-ticular I think the notation of the 20 grants of up to \$1 million each in FY 2000 and 2001, and 30 grants of up to \$1 million each in FY 2002 through 2004. I raise that and bring that to the at-tention, because my amendment is a study. My amendment involves dealing with some of the additional provi-

with some of the additional popu-lations that may need further assess-ment as to how this legislation will impact them. I hope that I will garner the support

I hope that I will garner the support of the committee for this amendment, because I believe it fits very neatly into two features of the legislation. One in particular for the National Science Foundation will complete a study comparing the availability of encryption technology in foreign countries to encryption technologies in the United States that are subject to ex-port restrictions. In addition, as I earlier noted, we will also be giving out grants more hopefully to universities

to do other kinds of research. Today's economy is spurred by the unprecedented advances of our society, and we are reaping the benefits of tech-nology. Therefore, it is critical that all

Americans share in the digital age. Currently, low income families, mi-norities and women are not actively participating in the information age. The National Telecommunications and Information Administration within the Commerce Department reports in its study named "Falling Through the Net. Defining the Digital Divide, "that, one, households with incomes of \$75,000 and higher are more than 20 times more likely to have access to the Internet than those at the lowest income levels and more than nine times as

likely to have a computer at home. Whites are more likely to have ac-cess to the Internet from home than blacks or Hispanics have from any lo-cation, and that black and Hispanic households are approximately one-third as likely to have home Internet access as households of Asian-Pacific Islander decent, and roughly two-fifths as likely as white households.

My amendment empowers the Comp-troller General to submit a detailed retroller General to submit a detailed re-ported analyzing the effects of this act on lower-income families, minorities and women. This amendment will en-able Congress to assess the overall im-pact of this act upon groups des-perately needing government assist-ance concerning technology. Moreover, a targeted study will then provide cri-ical data on the economic and edu-cational benefits to Americans affected with a direid duride the caparate our by the digital divide that separates our society to those who have and have not.

As I indicated, Mr. Chairma cessfully made it through Y2K. I am gratified for that. In the course of doing so, however, we heard from small

HeinOnline -- 4 Bernard D. Reams, Jr., Law of E-SIGN: A Legislative History of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, Public Law No. 106-229 (2000) H405 2002

businesses, nonprofits, individuals, li-

businesses, nonprofits, individuals, li-brarles, and schools that we still need-ed to assess the digital divide. I believe that this legislation, in its ability to give grants to the National Science Foundation, which then will allow various groups to access those dollars in S1 million grants, is a posi-tive. This study think will add to our heavelode bear and allow up to protect knowledge base and allow us to move into the 21st century and to effectively be able to ensure that all of our citizens have access to this wonderful

zens have access to this wonderful technology. Mr. Chairman, today I rise to offer an amendment to the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Act (HR 2086). Today's economy is spurred by the unprecedented advances of the Informa-tion Age; however, not all members of our so-tiche are receiven the benched ciety are reaping the benefits of technology. Therefore, it is critical that all Americans sh

in the digital age. Currently, low income families, minorities. currently, low income tentilies, minorilies, and women are not actively participating in the information Age. The National Telecommuni-cation and Information Administration within the Commerce Department reports in its study "Falling Through the Net: Defining the named. Digital Divide" that: "(1) Households with in-comes of \$75,000 and higher are more than twenty times more likely to have access to the Internet than those at the lowest income levels, and more than nine times as likely to have a computer at home; (2) whites are more likely to have access to the Internet from home than Blacks or Hispanics have from any location; and that Black and Hispanic households are and that black and hispanic noteencos and approximately one-third sel likely to have home Internet access as households of Asian/Pacific Islander descent, and noughly two-fifths as likely as White households." The Jackson-Lee Amendment to H.R. 2086 empowers the Comptroller General to submit

a detailed report analyzing the effects of this Act on lower income families, minorities, and women. This Amendment will enable Conwomen, this Ameridinatia will enable con-gress to assess the overall impact of this Act upon groups desperately needing Government assistance concerning technology. Moreover, a targeted study will then provide critical data on the economic and educational benefits to Americans affected by the "Digital Divide" that separates our society to those that have and have not

SENSENBRENNER Mr. Chair-340

Mr. SEISENBICENBICENBER, Mr. Chair-man, will the gentlewoman yield? Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. I yield to the gentleman from Wisconsin. Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentlewoman from

Texas for yielding. Mr. Chairman, let me say I am going to support the gentlewoman's amend-ment. Any Member can request a GAO study. Placing the language in the bill I think is a constructive addition because whether the GAO responds to the House as a whole or to an individual House as a whole or to an individual Member, this is an issue that has got to be addressed, and it has got to be re-solved as we figure out how to make the rising tide of information-tech-nology applications lift all of the boats in our society. So I thank the gentle-woman from Texas, and I hope the excellation of the terms densit.

committee adopts her amendment. Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the amendment.

□ 1445

Mr. Chairman, I certainly join the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SEN-SENDERENER, the chairman of the Committee on Science, in recom-mending this amendment. It simply di-rects the GAO to conduct a study after 1 year of the effects of this bill on lower income families, minorities, and omen

This is one of many thoughtful and well-constructed amendments from the gentlewoman from Houston, Texas (Ms JACKSON-LEE). I certainly support it and recommend that it be passed. The CHAIRMAN. The question is on

the amendment offered by the gentle-woman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON-LEE). The amendment was agreed to.

MENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MR. CAPUANO

Mr. CAPUANO. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment. The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will des-

ignate the amendment. The text of the amendment is as follows

Amendment No. 1 offered by Mr. CAPUANO Page 20, line 21, through page 21, line 7, strike section 9.

Mr. CAPUANO, Mr. Chairman, this amendment I think is a very simple amendment. It actually strikes lanamendment. It actually strikes lan-guage that I put in in the committee at an earlier time when we were dis-cussing this. I think the language is no Cussing this. I think the language is no longer relevant and no longer useful to this bill. It refers to a different fiscal year, and that is why I ask to strike it. Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Chair-

man, will the gentleman yield? Mr. CAPUANO. I yield to the gen-tleman from Wisconsin.

SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Chair-Mr. man, heaven rejoices when a sinner repents, and this amendment strikes la pents, and this amendment strikes lan-guage that the gentleman from Massa-chusetts added to the bill in com-mittee. I commented at the time that I thought it was ill-advised to get the GAO involved in what amounted to a political debate over the budget. I am glad that the gentleman from Massa-chusetts has seen the light, and I hope

that his amendment is adopted. The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gen-tleman from Massachusetts (Mr. tleman i CAPUANO).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 9 OFFERED BY MR. CAPUANO Mr. CAPUANO, Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment

The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will des ignate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Amendment No. 9 offered by Mr. CAPUANO: Page 8, after line 5, insert the following ew subsection: (g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.-

(g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— (1) NATIONAL SCIENCE TOURDATION.—Not-withstanding the amendment made by sub-section (a)(3) of this section, the total amount authorized for the National Science Foundation under section 201(b) of the High-Performance Computing Act of 191 shall be \$580,000,000 for fiscal year 2000; \$599,500,000 for fiscal year 2001; \$778,150,000 for fiscal year

\$801,550,000 for fiscal year 2003; and

2002: S801,550,000 for fiscal year 2003; and S835,00,000 for fiscal year 2004 (2) DEPARTMENY OF ENERCY.-Notwith-thorized for the Department of Energy under section 203(0)(1) of the High-Performance Computing Act of 1991 shall be \$50,000.000 for fiscal year 2000; \$54,300,000 for fiscal year 2011; \$56,150,000 for fiscal year 2002; \$55,550,000 for fiscal year 2003; and \$07,500,000 for fiscal year 2004.

Mr. CAPUANO, Mr. Chairman, what this amendment does is basically it takes half of the money it currently designated for the Department of En-ergy and shifts it over to the National

Science Foundation. The reason I offer this amendment is because I strongly believe that this money is best utilized as far out from government as we can get it into the private sector and to the universities, because I believe they do a better job in pushing along new technologies than

It is pushing along new technologies than does the government. It is very interesting to note that though I have proposed this amend-ment now for a couple of days. I just literally 2 minutes ago got a commu-nication from the Secretary of Energy that raises some serious and inter-esting questions about the amendment. Had received it earlier, I would have been happy to discuss it at any time with the Secretary or any member of the Department, but I think it is a lit-tie late at this point in time.

He at this point in time, However, I will say that if this amendment is adopted that I would be more than happy to work with the Sec-retary or any other member of the De-partment to discuss their concerns, and if appropriate. I would work with them to amend this amendment further or to

reduce it or to strike it. Nonetheless, having not received any communications of such note prior to this time. I still feel strongly that in concept, our money is best a close to the private sector as spent as we can get it. Mrs. TAUSCHER, Mr. Chairman, every dol-

MTS. IAUSUPER, Mr. Chailman, every doi-lar we spend on research and development, especially in high-technology, translates di-rectly into growth for U.S. businesses and good, high-paying jobs for our working fami-lies. For the same reasons I forvently support the Networking and Information Technology USD

Networking and Information Technology R&D Act, I rise in opposition to this Amendment that would shift R&D resources away from the Department of Energy and to the National Science Foundation. As the ranking Member of the new Panel to

oversee the Department of Energy's reorganization and as a Member with 2 Nationa Laboratories in my district, I am infimately fa-miliar with the Department of Energy's record on R&D. And it is superb. The Energy Depart-ment has been at the forefront of civilian science and computing for generations. They specialize in developing computing applica-tions in areas ranging from material science to high-energy physics, and from atomic struc-

For example, as early as the 1970's, the Energy Department developed the first interactive access to supercomputers via long-dis-tance networks. And in the 1980's, the Department laid the groundwork for what became the National Science Foundation's supercomputer centers. Over the years, Department scientists have won 70 Nobel prizes, discovered new heavy elements, advanced medical break-

heavy elements, advanced medical break-throughs in breast cancer treatment and more. Moreover, if this amendment becomes law, it will force the closure of the National Energy It will force the closure of the National Energy Research Scientific Compuling Center at Law-rence Berkeley National Laboratory—the most powerful unclassified computer center avaii-able for civilian research in the nation. It also will force the Department to end its joint research efforts with major U.S. computer and telecommunications firms including IMB and

Quest Communications. The National Science Foundation is also a Interviewona Science roundation is also a worthy organization. But the two agencies have different missions, different personnel and different strengths. By dividing our R&D dollars between the two, we are creating the best environment for scientific and high-tech-nology breakthroughs that will continue to fuel our economy and create jobs for our working families. Mr. Chairman, I urge my colleagues to op

Mr. Chairman, i urge my colleagues to op-pose this amendment and pass the overall bill. The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gen-tleman from Massachusetts (Mr. CAPUANO).

The amendment was agreed to.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. TRAFICANT Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment. The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. TRAFICANT: Page 21, after line 7, insert the following new section: SEC. 9. BUY AMERICAN.

(a) COMPLIANCE WITH BUY AMERICAN ACT. (a) COMPLANCE WITH BOY AMERICAN ACL-No funds appropriated pursuant to this Act may be expended by an entity unless the en-tity agrees that in expending the assistance the entity will comply with sections 2 through 4 of the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. UP, 100)

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—In the case of any (1) Control Concress.—In the case of any equipment or products that may be authorized to be purchased with financial assistance should under this Act. It is the sense of the Congress that entities receiving such assistance should, in expending the assistance, purchase only American-made equipment and products. (c) NOTICE TO RECIPENTS OF ASSISTANCE.—In providing financial assistance under this Act, the head of each Federal agency shall provide provide the constrained in a subsection (b) by the Congress. Mc. TDe AETCANT (during the read-

Mr. TRAFICANT (during the read-ing). Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent that the amendment be consid-ered as read and printed in the RECORD. The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection

to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

Onio? There was no objection. Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Chairman, I would just like to say that our last quarterly trade deficit was \$82 billion. Annualized, it will be over \$328 billion for the year. For every \$1 billion in trade deficit, the formula is a loss of 22,000 jobs.

support this bill. I think the chairman nas done a marvelous job, but I do not know if cyberspace is going to hire all of those workers who are losing manufacturing jobs. I sure hope they do.

The simple amendment says, abide The simple amendment says, abide by the Buy America Act: when pos-sible, buy American-made products. Anybody getting any money under this bill should understand what the intent of Congress is, and in fact, get a notice so that they would know that they must comply with the Buy America Act Act.

Act. Mr. Chairman, I yield to the gen-tleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSEN-BRENNER), our distinguished chairman. Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Chair-man, I thank the gentleman from Ohio for yielding. I have always supported Buy American provisions. I support his efforts action to but money efforts again. Obviously the money that we are authorizing under this bill should, to the greatest extent possible, go to goods and services that are made in the USA and done by Americans, in the USA and done by Americans, and I think the gentleman has empha-sized that point. This amendment im-proves a very good bill. Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Chairman, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL), our distinguished ranking mem-ber.

er. Mr. HALL of Texas. Mr. Chairman. Mr. HALL of lease. Mr. Chairman, this is another of the gentleman's many efforts to urge buy American and to support and push this country. I urge the adoption of the amendment. I

totally support it. The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gen-tleman from Ohio (Mr. TRAFICANT). The amendment was agreed to. Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Mr. Chair-

man, I move to strike the last word. Mr. Chairman, we have come to the conclusion of the debate on a bill which the Committee on Science sincerely believes will be one of the most impor-tant pieces of legislation enacted in the year 2000 by the 106th Congress. Should the other body agree and we send this bill to the President for his signature. America will have made a commitment to the information tech-nology research that we need to conour country as number I in this tinuè

area. The pipeline for Federal research breakthroughs has slowed to a trickle as a result of some changes that have occurred since 1986. This bill provides a 5-year commitment to steady increases 5-year commitment to steady increases in funding for civilian information technology programs in the health areas as well as in the areas of com-puter science and information tech-nology, and roughly doubles the fund-ing for these programs over the next 5 wars.

years. The legislation before us, H.R. 2086, focuses Federal efforts on basic re-search. Federal basic research nicely search. In many cases, the basic research indery search. In many cases, the basic re-search that is done under this bill and which has been done in the past has been too high risk for the private sec-tor to prudently invest their own tor to prudently invest their own money in. So having a Federal Govern-ment-private sector partnership where the taxpayers pick up the basic re-search that the private sector cannot do, and then the private sector goes and commercializes the results of suc-cessful basic research, will mean that we will continue our nationwide preeminence which provides good jobs for Americans, and I think has made our

Aniercans, and r think has hade our economy the healthiest in the world. Mr. Chairman, all I can say is look where information technology has brought this country during the decade of the 1990s. We have the longest peacetime sustained growth rate in the histime sustained growth rate in the his-tory of our country. Unemployment is at a 30-year low, and inflation has been kept in check. One only needs to com-pare this success for Americans with the double-digit unemployment that has plagued the major countries in Europe and a Japan that has been tee-tering on the brink of depression for the better part of the last 10 years shows that we have done it right. A lot of the reason for America doing it right is the breakthroughs in information technology. We cannot predict where the research

We cannot predict where the research authorized under this bill will lead other than that basic research break-throughs will lead to applications in disciplines from A to Z. It has hap-pened in the past, and it will happen in the future.

The bill before us provides better co-ordination of civilian information ordination of civilian information technology programs. Crouping these programs under one legislative um-brella will lead to better coordination and thus give the taxpayers more value for their dollar. The National Science Foundation has an enhanced role as the lead agency in this undertaking. They spend their money through com-petitive peer-reviewed grant programs, but we have also made the grant programs, but we have also made the grant programs. grams more relevant to the private sec-tor by requiring at least one representative from the private sector on each of these peer review committees. Mr. Chairman, I would like to thank

the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL), the ranking member, and to all of the members of the Committee on Science members of the Committee on Science for working on this cooperative effort. I think that 20 years from now, as his-torians look back at what the 106th Congress did in the year 2000, should this bill pass through the Senate and be enacted into law, they will view this as probably the most important single piece of legislation that the Congress considers considers.

So as this bill passes, we all look for-ward to working with the Senate to make sure that this investment in our Nation's future ends up becoming a re

ality. The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the committee amendment in the na-ture of a substitute, as amended. The committee amendment in the

in the nature of a substitute, as amended, was agreed to. The CHAIRMAN. Under the rule, the

Committee rises. Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. OSE) having assumed the chair, Mr.

GILLMOR, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2086) to authorize funding for net-working and information technology research and development for fiscal years 2000 through 2004, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 422, he reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by

the Committee of the Whole. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment to the committee amend-ment in the nature of a substitute adopted by the Committee of the Whole? If not, the question is on the amendment.

The amendment was agreed to. The bill was ordered to be engrossed

and read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speak er, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 2086, the bill just passed.

passed. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gen-tleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

п

PRAISE FOR THE NETWORKING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ACT

(Mr. BOEHLERT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.

marks.) Mr. BOEHLERT. Mr. Speaker, I just want to compliment the House on the action just completed. The Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Act is very impor-tant legislation. If will maintain the U.S. global leadership in information technology. When one is the first and one is the best, one has to work at maintaining the fact that one legiti-mately is the very best.

□ 1500

The \$500 billion a year information technology industry has accounted for one-third of our Nation's economic growth since 1992, and created new in-dustries and millions of new high-pay-ing Jobs. All across America people are

Ing jobs. An actoss America beche are benefiting from what has been done in information technology. Once again, we are the leader, we are first, we are the best, and we have to work at maintaining that. We have to prioritize basic information technology research. There are a whole slew of very good ideas, but we have to have priorities. We have to go first with that

which is most important. We have to produce the next generation of highlyskilled information technology workers

This bill will help attract more students to science and to careers in information technology by providing grants for colleges and companies to create for-credit courses which include internships. Participating companies must commit to providing 50 percent of the

cost of the program. So for a whole host of very legiti-mate reasons, the Committee on Science and this House have done themselves proud. We are moving forward, we are not just satisfied to rest on our laurels. We are going forward. This is, indeed, the Information Age, and we are the leaders. We have to

and we are the leaders, we have to maintain that position. I am a great unabashed baseball fan, and on the 17th of this month, just a couple of days hence, the pitchers and catchers will report to spring training. The one team that I am most inter-ested in is the New York Yankees, be-cause they are the world champions.

If I may draw an analogy, let me point out that the Yankees are not resting on their laurels, they are continuing to improve and invest in their club. That is why they are the world champions, and we cannot afford to est on our laurels. I thank my colleagues for their unre-

I thank my colleagues for their unre-lenting support of this bill. I thank the gentleman from Wisconsin (Chairman ENSENBRENNER) for the leadership he has provided. I thank the ranking member, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HALL) for his strong support and leadership. This is truly bipartisan legislation serving the best interests of the Amer-ican neonle

ican people.

D

OPPOSITION ΤÔ CAPUANO τN A DEPOSITION TO CAPUANO AMENDMENT NO. 1 AND NO. 3 TO H.R. 2085, NETWORKING AND IN-FORMATION TECHNOLOGY RE-DENDOLLAND DEPUSIT SEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ACT

(Mrs. BIGGERT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her re-

Mrs. BIGGERT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong opposition to the amendment that was just offered by amenument chat was just offered by my colleague, the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. CAPUANO) con-cerning the Department of Energy and National Science Foundation. There is no doubt that the National Science Foundation

Science Foundation should be com-mended for their fine work in making research funds, including those for in-formation technology research. Their record of accomplishment is impressive, and certainly qualifies them for increased responsibilities. That is why I was a cosponsor of this bill that we are going to be considering later on, or

voting on. While I support the bill and the in-creased NSF funding, I nonetheless

strongly oppose that amendment be-cause, while very generous to NSF, much of the more than \$3 billion pro-vided by this bill is newly authorized

vided by this bill is newly authorized funding, yet this provides no new fund-ing for the Department of Energy's programs, and the amendment that was considered would further erode, if not eliminate, such programs. Would we cut off funds for such re-search by the Department of Energy and the laboratories strictly by virtue of the agency that oversees it? It is un-fortunate that neither I nor other Members of the Committee on Science were given the opportunity to discuss were given the opportunity to discuss the IT research successes of the De-partment of Energy when the bill was marked up by the committee in Sep-tember, but the sponsor of this amendment, my colleague on the Committee on Science, did not offer the amend-ment at that time. This amendment seriously jeopard-

izes many of the basic research col-laborations, and will ensure that DOE has no role in the future of information has no role in the future of information technology research. I do not believe that this is a prudent course for us to take today, and I am sorry that I was not here to speak against that amend-ment. I do want to voice my dis-pleasure with that. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong opposi-

tion to the amendment offered by my colleague from Massachusetts. There is no doubt that the National Science

Foundation should be commended for their Foundation should be commenced to use fine work in managing research funds, includ-ing those for information technology research. Their record of accomplishment is impressive, Their record of accomplishment is impressive, and certainly qualifies them for increased re-

and certainly qualities them for increased re-ponsibilities. That's why I am a cosponsor of the legisla-tion that would give the National Science Foundation the lead in this federal I.T. re-search initiative, and provide almost \$3 billion for the NSF's information technology research activitie

tivities. While I support the bill and increased NSF While I support the bill and increased NSF funding, I nonetheless strongly oppose this amendment. The NSF's fine record of accom-plishment is no excuse to cut in half the De-partment of Energy's information technology research programs. The two are not mutually exclusive; they are, in fact, complementary. This bill is newly authorized funding. Yet this bill pro-vides no new funding for the Department of Energy's programs, and the amendment we

vides no new funding for the Department of Energy's programs, and the amendment we are considering right now would further erode—if not eliminate—such programs. The DOE is engaged in significant com-puting research and development. DOE's re-

putting research and development. DCE's re-search has led to important advances in the field of information technology, especially in the area of parallel computing. The DCE is also involved in the development of highly ad-vanced computer "technology tools" which allow scientifics problems and collaborate with other scientific problems and collaborate with other researchers to meet national needs. DOE-supported computational research pro-

vides many benefits to the broader research vides many benefits to the broader research community. In my own district, computer sci-entists at Argonne National Laboratory devel-oped an extremely high performance "com-putational kernel" for use in a wide range of

February 15, 2000

simulations, from petroleum reservoir modeling to understanding air flow over the surface of a wing. Two of the four 1999 Gordon Bell wing. Two of the four 1999 Gordon Beil Awards were given to Argonne researchers for applications using this computational kernel. The Gordon Beil Award is the most prestigious award in the application of parallel processing of solentific and engineering problems. Would we cut off funding for such research strictly by virtue of the agency that oversees it?

112

Software developed by Argonne for the re-construction of metabolic pathways is being provided on a Website available to the community of biological researchers. The software is widely used in such applications as estab-lishing the function of proteins, and for simulating the functional behavior of higher orga nisms. In awarding the developers, Genetic Engineering News called the Website one of the most useful in biological science.

Again, should such work be ended strictly because another parent agency is the target of our funding largesse?

It is unfortunate that neither I nor other Members of the Science Committee were given the opportunity to discuss the IT re-search successes of the Department of Energy when this bill was marked up by the Committee in September. But the sponsor of Committee in September. But the sponsor of this amendment, my colleague on the Science Committee, did not offer his amendment at time.

This amendment seriously jeopardizes many of these basic research collaborations, and will ensure that DOE has no role in the future of information technology research. I do not believe this is the prudent course

for us to take today, and I would have strongly urged my colleagues to oppose the amendment if I had been here prior to its acceptалсе

n

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. OSE). Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair announces that he will postpone further proceedings today on each motion to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote is ob-jected to under clause 6 of rule XX.

Any record votes on postponed ques-tions will be taken after debate has concluded on all motions to suspend the rules.

OMNIBUS PARKS TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS ACT

Mr. HANSEN. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and concur in the Senate amendments to the bill (H.R. 149) to make technical corrections to the Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Management Act of 1996 and to other ws related to parks and public lands. The Clerk read as follows:

The Clerk read as Information Senate amendments: Page 2, after line 23, insert: (4) In section 104(b) (105 Stat. 4101), by-(a) adding the following after the end of the first sentence: "The National Park Service or instruction of the subhorized to enter first senten first sentence: "The National Park Service or any other Federal agency is authorized to enter into agreements, leases, contracts and other ar-rangements with the Presidio Trust which are

NGRESSIONAL RECORD — HOU necessary and appropriate to carry ou the pur-poses of this title."; (B) Inserting after "June 30, 1932 (40 U.S.C. (303)." "The Trust may use afternative means of dispute resolution authorized under sub-chapter IV of chaptes 6 of ult 6, United States Code (U.S.C. 57) et see,)."; and (303)." "The sub-inder sub-inder sub-standard the sub-inder sub-standard the sub-inder sub-standard the sub-inder sub-ling the sub-inder sub-inder sub-ling the sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-without funder appropriate like the statiend by the Trust. These proceeds shall be available without funder appropriate inder the sub-inger sub-inder sub-inder sub-stinger sub-inder sub-stinger sub-inder sub-inder sub-stinger sub-inder sub-stinger sub-inder sub-stinger sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-stinger sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-stinger sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-stinger sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-inger sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-stinger sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-stinger sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-inder sub-stinger sub-inder su pair and related expanses incurred with respect to Presidio properties under its administrative jurisdiction. The Secretary of the Tressury shall larest, at the direction of the Trust, such excess maneys that the Trust determines are not re-quired to need current withdrawals. Such in-vestment shall be in public debt securities with maturities suitable to the needs of the Trust and bearing interest at rates determined by the Sec-retary of the Treasury taking into consideration the current average yield on outstanding mar-ketable abligations of the United States of com-

 ketable obligations or un cumman
parable maturity.".
(0) In section 104(1) (110 Stat. 4103), by strik-ing "exercised." and insecting "exercised, in-cluding rules and regulations for the use and management of the property under the Trust's indeferior." (7) In section 104 (110 Stat. 4101, 4104), by add-

(1) This section 104 (110 Stat. 4101, 4100, by adding after subsection (a) the following:
(2) EXCLUSIVE RIGHTS TO Nuels AND INST-THE THIS Stall Inave the sole and exclusive right to use the works Prusside Trust and any scal, embedden, or other Insignia adopted by its Board of Directors. Without express written authority of the Trust, or any combination or warlation of the Gravity, or any combination warlation of those works alone or with other works and the sole and the sole of the sole of

(b) In section 104(n) (110 Stat. 4103), by insert-ing after "implementation of the" in the first sentence the words "general objectives of the", (9) In section 105(a)(2) (110 Stat. 4104), by striking "not more than \$3,000,000 annually" and inserting after "Of such suns," the word

"funds". (10) In section 105(c) (110 Stat. 4104), by in-serting before "including" the words "on a re-

serting before "including" the words on a re-inbursable basis, ". (11) Section 103(c)(2) (110 Stat. 409) is an and-el by striking "consecutive terms." and insert-ing "consecutive terms, except that upon the ex-piration of this or ther term, an appointed mem-ber may continue to serve until his or her suc-cessor has been appointed." (22) Section 103(c)(9) (110 Stat. 4109) is an and-the striking "importing administrated by the

dby striking "properties administered by the Trust" and inserting in lieu thereof "properties administered by the Trust and all interest cre-ated under leases, concessions, permits and other agreements associated with the prop-

erties". (13) Section 104(d) (110 Stat. 4102) is amended (A) by Inserting "(1)" after "FINANCIAL AU-

(A) by inserting (I) after rimanization authority," and internet internet (A) The authority," and inserting in law thereof (A) The authority; (D) by sariking "(A) the terms" and inserting in (D) by striking "(A) the terms" and inserting (D) by striking "(A) calculate and inserting in lieu thereof "(B) adequate";

(E) by striking "(C) such guarantees" and in-sering in lieu thereof "(iii) such guarantees"; (F) by striking "(2) The authority" and in-sering in lieu thereof "(B) The authority"; (G) by redsignating paragraphs (B) and (d) as paragraphs (2) and (3) respectively; (E) and (3) respectively;

(1) by striking "The authority" and inserting lieu thereof "The Trust shall also have the in

in Itei thereof "The Trust shall also have the authority"; (II) by satiking "after determining that the projects to be funded from the proceeds thereof are creditworthy and that a repayment schedule is established and only"; and subject to such thems and conditions. "I he words "Including a revisit of the creditworthiness of the loan and (III) by inserting after "and subject to such thems and configuration in the schedule," is and (III) by inserting the words "Including termination of the creditworthiness of the loan of the creditworthiness of the loan and (III) paragraph (II) permits section) by inserting before "this subsection" the words "pragraph (II) permits (II) in subsection (II)(II), by striking "ration" and inserting "ratio".

and inserting Fando , Page 16, after line 21, Insert: SEC. 129, BOUNDARY REVISIONS. Section 814(b)(2)(C) of Public Law 104-333 is amended by striking "are adjacent to" and in-serting in lieu thereof "abut".

Setting in lieu thereol "abut", Page 21, after line 24, insert: (3) Section 10(g)(5)(A) of such Act (112 Stat. 3050) is amended by striking "Daggett County" and inserting in lieu thereof "Dutch John". Page 23, after line 2, insert:

SEC. 305, NATIONAL PARK FOUNDATION.

Section 4 of Public Law 90-209 is amended— (1) by inserting "with or" between "prac-ticable" and "without" in the final sentence

ie" and "Willion rof; and by adding at the end thereof a new sen-by adding at the end thereof a new senthereof; and (2) by adding at the end thereof a new son-tence as follows: "Monies reinhursed to either Department shall be returned by the Oppart-ment to the account from which the funds for which the reinhursement is made were drawn and may, without further appropriation, be ex-pended for any purpose for which such account is authorized."

SEC. 305. NATIONAL PARKS OMNIBUS MANAGE-MENT ACT OF 1998.

Section 603(c)(1) of Public Law 105-391 Is amended by striking "10" and inserting in lieu thereof "15".

EC. 307. GRAND STAIRCASE-ESCALANTE NA-TIONAL MONUMENT.

TIONAL MONUMENT. Section 201(d) of Public Law 163-353 is amond-ed by inserting "andro Tropic Utah," after the words "school district, Utah," and by striking "Public Purposes Act." and the remainder of the santence and inserting in lieu thereof "Pub-lic Purposes Act."

SEC. 306. SFIRIT NOUND. Section 112(a) of division C of Public Law 103-277 (112 Stat. 2681-352) is amended— (1) by striking "is authorized to acquire" and inserting in lieu thereof "is authorized: (1) to acquire"; (2) by striking "South Dakota," and inserting in lieu thereof "South Dakota," and (3) by adding at the end thereof the following and another the section of the sectio

(3) By adding at the end thereof the following mew paragraphs: available funds for the acqui-"(2) to transfer available funds for the acquisition of the tract to the state of South Dakota upon the completion of a binding agreement with the State to provide for the acquisition and long-term preservation, interpretation, and res-tenation of the Spirit Mound tract."

Ioration of the Spirit Mound tract.". SEC. 308. AMERICAS A GORTOTITURAL HERITAGE PARTNERNIF ACT AMENDMENT. Section 702(5) of division II of the Public Law 104-333 (110 Stat. 4263), is amended by striking "Secretary of Agriculture" and Inserting In Ileu thereof "Secretary of the Interior" Section 2005.

SEC. 310. NATIONAL PARK SERVICE ENTRANCE AND RECREATIONAL USE FRES. (a) The Secretary of the Interior is authorized to retain and expend revenues from entrance

HeinOnline -- 4 Bernard D. Reams, Jr., Law of E-SIGN: A Legislative History of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, Public Law No. 106-229 (2000) H410 2002 **Document No. 100**