

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 97-3924-CIV-LENARD/SIMONTON

JERRY GREENBERG, Individually

Plaintiff,

CONSENT CASE

v.

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY, et al.,
Defendants.

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER

A review of the pending motions list maintained by the Clerk of the Court reflects that Defendants' Motion for Directed Verdict (DE # 264, filed 2/28/03), is listed as pending. This motion was filed during the course of the trial, at the close of Plaintiff's case in chief, and was denied by the Court in an oral ruling entered on February 28, 2003. This Order incorporates that disposition, and does not affect the motion for judgment as a matter of law which was made at the close of the case, and which is the subject of a supplemental memorandum of law (DE # 291). Therefore, the oral ruling is incorporated in this written order, and it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Defendants' Motion for Directed Verdict (DE # 264, filed 2/28/03) is **DENIED**, nunc pro tunc to the date of the oral ruling (2/28/03).

DONE AND ORDERED in Miami, Florida, this 30th day of September, 2003.

ANDREA M. SIMONTON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copies furnished to:
Norman Davis, Esq.
Edwin Torres, Esq.
Steel Hector & Davis LLP
Facsimile #305-577-7001
(Attorneys for Plaintiff)
Eric Assouline, Esq.
Well, Gotshal & Manges LLP
Facsimile # 305-374-7159
(Attorney for Defendants)

Stephen N. Zack, Esq.
Jennifer Altman, Esq.
Boles Schiller & Flexner
Facsimile # 305 357-8520
(Attorney for Defendants)
Robert G. Sugarman, Esq.
Well, Gotshal & Manges LLP
Facsimile # 212-310-8184
(Attorney for Defendants)

303
/RA

pw
SEP 30 2003
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
S.D. OF FLA.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 97-3924-CIV-LENARD/SIMONTON

JERRY GREENBERG, Individually

Plaintiff,

CONSENT CASE

v.

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY, et al.,
Defendants.

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER

A review of the pending motions list maintained by the Clerk of the Court reflects that the Joint Motion to Use Electronic Presentation Equipment in the Courtroom for Trial (DE # 259, filed 2/24/03) is listed as pending. This motion was granted at the commencement of the trial, and the parties were permitted to use the specified equipment. Therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the above motion (DE # 259, filed 2/24/03) is **DEEMED MOOT.**


DONE AND ORDERED in Miami, Florida, this 30th day of September, 2003.

Andrea M. Simonton
ANDREA M. SIMONTON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copies furnished to:
Norman Davis, Esq.
Edwin Torres, Esq.
Steel Hector & Davis LLP
Facsimile #305-577-7001
(Attorneys for Plaintiff)
Eric Assouline, Esq.
Well, Gotshal & Manges LLP
Facsimile # 305-374-7159
(Attorney for Defendants)

Stephen N. Zack, Esq.
Jennifer Altman, Esq.
Boles Schiller & Flexner
Facsimile # 305 357-8520
(Attorney for Defendants)
Robert G. Sugarman, Esq.
Well, Gotshal & Manges LLP
Facsimile # 212-310-8184
(Attorney for Defendants)

301
RA



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No. 97-3924-CIV-LENARD/SIMONTON

JERRY GREENBERG, Individually

Plaintiff,

CONSENT CASE

v.

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC SOCIETY, et al.,
Defendants.

ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Presently pending before this Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Permanent Injunctive Relief (DE # 159, filed 11/27/02). Prior to the commencement of the jury trial in this case, the undersigned ruled that the motion would not be considered as a pretrial motion, but that the merits of the request for injunctive relief would be considered as part of the demand made in the Complaint, in connection with the evidence presented at the jury trial and any additional evidence presented to the Court at a bench trial on injunctive relief thereafter. A post-trial status and scheduling conference was held on April 10, 2003, for the purpose of scheduling the remaining matters to be considered by the Court. At that time, in accordance with their Joint Proposed Agenda (DE # 286), the parties proposed that resolution of pending motions for judgment as a matter of law and for a new trial prior to disposition of the request for injunctive relief. Counsel for Defendants suggested that the request for injunctive relief might become moot after disposition of those motions. Therefore, the Court has deferred consideration of the request for injunctive relief. Based upon the above proceedings, it is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiffs' Motion for Permanent Injunctive Relief (DE #159) is **DENIED** to the extent that it requested pretrial relief, and is held in abeyance pending determination of the motions for judgment as a matter of law and for a new trial. A status and scheduling conference will be entered after disposition of those motions with

30
/

respect to determination of the request for injunctive relief. The Clerk of the Court is directed to remove this motion from the pending motions list.

DONE AND ORDERED In Miami, Florida, this 31st day of September, 2003.



**ANDREA M. SIMONTON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Copies furnished to:

Norman Davis, Esq.

Edwin Torres, Esq.

Steel Hactor & Davis LLP

Facsimile #305-577-7001

(Attorneys for Plaintiff)

Eric Assouline, Esq.

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

Facsimile # 305-374-7159

(Attorney for Defendants)

Stephen N. Zack, Esq.

Jennifer Altman, Esq.

Boles Schiller & Flexner

Facsimile # 305 357-8520

(Attorney for Defendants)

Robert G. Sugarman, Esq.

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

Facsimile # 212-310-8184

(Attorney for Defendants)