MARTHA GONZALEZ GILLES CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 228 WEBSTER STREET, #F PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94301

(415) 326-9060

February 25, 1983

Mr. Charles S. Paul c/o Peter Wald, Esq. Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe, Esqs. 44 Montgomery Street San Francisco, California 94104

Re: Magnavox v. Activision

Dear Mr. Faul:

Your deposition taken February 22, 1983, in the above matter has been completed.

The original of this deposition is being held in my office for 30 days, pending filing with the court, to afford you a reasonable opportunity to read and sign same. Please call and make arrangements if you wish to read and sign the original transcript. You may also read your attorney's copy of the deposition and submit any changes and/or corrections you may have in writing.

If I have not heard from you within the prescribed period of time, I will assume you are waiving your signature and will then file the deposition-original.

Very truly yours,

Thanks Engly Siller

Martha Gonzalez Gilles

cc: Michael A. Ladra, Esq. ✓ Thomas O. Herbert, Esq. Theodore W. Anderson, Esq.

EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

)

THE MAGNAVOX COMPANY, a corporation, and SANDERS ASSOCIATES, INC., a corporation,

Plaintiffs,

Defendant.

vs.

ACTIVISION, INC., a corporation,

No. C 82 5270 TEH

BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Subpoena, and on Tuesday, February 22, 1982, commencing at the hour of 5:07 p.m., at Atari Incorporated, 1265 Borregas Avenue, Sunnyvale, California, before me, MARTHA GONZALEZ GILLES, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, License Number 3468, a Notary Public in and for the County of Santa Clara, State of California, there personally appeared

CHARLES S. PAUL,

who was called as a witness by the Defendant.

## MARTHA GONZALEZ GILLES

228 WEBSTER STREET, # F PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94301 (415) 326-9060

•				i
	1		INDEX	
-	2			
	3	EXAMINATION E	BY:	PAGE
	4	Mr. La	adra	3
	5	Mr. Ar	nderson	29
	6	FURTHER EXAMI	INATION BY:	
	7	Mr. La	adra	30
	8			
	9	DEFENDANT'S E	EXHIBITS:	
	10		A multipage document entitled "Affidavit of	3
	11		Charles S. Paul."	-
	12	1	A document entitled "Deposition Subpoena to Testify or Produce Documents or Things," and	3
	13		'Notice of Taking Deposition" attached there- to, to Atari, Inc.	
<i>.</i> .	14		A document entitled "Deposition Subpoena	3
C	15	F.	to Testify or Produce Documents or Things," with "Schedule A" attached thereto, to Charles S. Paul	
	16		A copy of a multipage document entitled	10
	17	'	'Non-Exclusive Cross-License for Video Games," dated June 8, 1976.	10
	18		A copy of a multipage document entitled	10
	19	1	'Non-Exclusive Sublicense Agreement for Home Video Game Devices," dated October 1,	10
	20		1981.	
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
(	27			
C	28			
	-	a a final and the second s		

(

÷ .

(

		2
1	A	PPEARANCES
2	_	
3	For the Plaintiff	NEUMAN, WILLIAMS, ANDERSON &
4		OLSON, ESQS. BY: THEODORE W. ANDERSON, ESQ.
5		77 West Washington Street Chicago, Illinois 60602
6	For the Defendant	WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH &
7		ROSATI, ESQS. BY: MICHAEL A. LADRA, ESQ.
8		Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 900 Palo Alto, California 94304
9	and	FLEHR, HOHBACH, TEST, ALBRITTON
10		& HERBERT, ESQS. BY: THOMAS O. HERBERT, ESQ.
11		Suite 3400, Four Embarcadero Center
12	For News Treesenset	San Francisco, California 94111
13	For Atari Incorporated	HELLER, EHRMAN, WHITE & MCAULIFFE, ESQS.
14		BY: PETER WALD, ESQ. 44 Montgomery Street San Francisco, California 94104
15		. San Francisco, California 94104
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		•
26		
27		
28		

(

(

1	(Whereupon, a multipage document entitled "Affidavit of Charles
2	S. Paul" was marked Defendant's
3	Exhibit 1 for identification.)
4	(Whereupon, a document entitled "Deposition Subpoena to Testify
5	or Produce Documents or Things," with "Notice of Taking
6	Deposition" attached thereto, to Atari, Inc., was marked
7	Defendant's Exhibit 2 for identification.)
8	(Whereupon, a document entitled
9	"Deposition Subpoena to Testify or Produce Documents or Things,"
10	with "Schedule A" attached thereto, to Charles S. Paul,
11	<pre>was marked Defendant's Exhibit 3 for identification.)</pre>
12	CHARLES S. PAUL,
13	having first been duly sworn by the Notary Public
14	to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
15	the truth was thereupon examined and testified as
16	follows:
17	EXAMINATION BY MR. LADRA:
18	MR. LADRA: Okay. Skip, we'll dispense with the
19	usual formalities since I'm sure you're aware of what this is
20	all about, and I promise I won't keep you long. Maybe the first
21	thing to settle is that we have marked the two subpoenas for the
22	deposition Exhibits 2 and 3, respectively. Exhibit 2 is a 30(b)
23	(6) subpoena to Atari in general, and I presume that you are the
24	witness whom Atari has designated to respond to the 30(b)(6) `
25	subpoena
26	MR. WALD: That's correct.
27	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
28	MR. LADRA: for Exhibit 2.

-----

(

(

Now, attached to both those subpoenas was a list
of documents which we requested that you bring with you, and
I'm sure, Peter, that you've made a diligent search for those
documents and you have them?

MR. WALD: I have indeed, pursuant to our telephone
conversation this afternoon by which I understood you were
interested in getting hold of the license agreement between
and among Magnavox, Atari and Sanders, and I guess that's what
the '76 license, patent license agreement ---

MR. LADRA: Yes.

10

27

28

11 MR. WALD: That document, a copy of the Activision-12 Atari settlement agreement, and any correspondence between 13 Magnavox and Atari relating to Activision; I have searched the 14 files for those documents and there is no correspondence --15 taking the last in order, there is no correspondence between 16 Atari and Magnavox relating to Activision.

17 This is a copy of the Settlement Agreement and 18 Mutual Release between Activision and Atari settling that 19 litigation. And this is a copy of the signed 1976 patent 20 agreement among Sanders, Atari and Magnavox.

21 You already have those. THE WITNESS: 22 MR. LADRA: Just wanted to make sure that yours looks 23 the same as ours. Do you have copies for everybody? 24 MR. ANDERSON: 25 THE WITNESS: I really don't want this --Let's go off the record. MR. WALD: 26

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. LADRA: Let's go back on the record.

1 Okay. Peter, have we pretty well gone through 2 what documents --3 MR. WALD: Yes. 4 MR. LADRA: -- you produced? 5 MR. WALD: As I said, Mike, pursuant to our phone 6 conversation those were the ones I searched for and that's all 7 that I found. 8 MR. LADRA: There was one thing I didn't mention 9 to you, which was documents which we requested which were those 10 evidencing or relating to any work done by the Flehr, Hobach, 11 Test, Albritton & Herbert firm since January 1 of '78. I take 12 it you didn't look for those? 13 MR. WALD: I didn't look for those. 14 MR. LADRA: That's okay.' But I think that covers all 15 the categories. 16 All right. Mr. Paul, how long have you been working Q 17 for Atari? 18 Since July 1979. THE WITNESS: A 19 And since that time I take it you've had the same Q 20 position? 21 Yes, I've been general counsel. A 22 Okay. Now, I'm going to show you what's been Q 23 previously marked as Exhibit 1 to this deposition, which is a copy of an affidavit which I presume you have signed. I want 24 you to take a look at it and make sure that's in fact an 25 affidavit that you recall signing and that is your signature on 26 27 the last page. 28 A Yes.

	6
1	MR. ANDERSON: Do you have copies for everybody?
2	MR. LADRA: I do have that's attached to your
3	memorandum.
4	MR. ANDERSON: Is that what you're actually using?
5	MR. LADRA: Yes, that's the affidavit. I'm sorry,
6	I should have made that explicit.
7	For the record, Exhibit 1 is a copy of the Affidavit
8	of Charles S. Paul which was filed in conjunction with the
9	plaintiff Magnavox Company's motion to disqualify counsel.
10	Q And I can't remember, did we get an answer to
11	that, Skip, that that is in fact the affidavit that you signed?
12	THE WITNESS: A Yes.
13	Q Now I'd like to direct your attention to page 3 of
14	that affidavit, specifically paragraph 4, the last sentence
15	thereof, in which it states, quote, that "Mr. Herbert consulted
16	with technical employees of Atari concerning the subject matter
17	of that patent, possible prior art with respect to that patent,
18	and the validiity of that patent, and conducted extensive
19	searches for prior art with respect to that patent."
20	The question is do you know which Atari employees
21	Mr. Herbert spoke to?
22	A Not specifically.
23	Q Well, to the best of your ability, could you
24	describe what classes of employees he spoke with at Atari, to
25	your knowledge?
26	A Engineers.
27	Q In any particular area?
- 28	A Consumer engineering.

Ċ

(

(

1	Q Do you know	
2	A Coin-op engineering.	
3	Q Okay. Do you know the subject matter of his	
4	conversations with those employees other than as set forth in	
5	this last sentence?	
6	A Not other than as set forth in that sentence.	
7	Q Now, I take it at the time that Mr. Herbert	
8	conducted these interviews of Atari employees you were not with	
9	Atari?	
10	A That's correct.	
11	Q Did you speak to anybody prior to the signing of	
12	this declaration concerning the subject matter of Mr. Herbert's	
13	conversations with employees of Atari?	
14	A Not immediately preceding the signing of this	
15	document.	
16	Q Well, I take it that at some point you did talk to	
17	somebody concerning what Mr. Herbert talked to Atari employees	
18	about; is that right?	
19	A That's correct.	
20	Q And with whom did you speak concerning that?	
21	A I don't specifically recall the conversations;	
22	however, late '79 and early '80 when I was first educating	
23	myself on the process that was involved in the litigation with	
24	Magnavox, '75, '76, the way that litigation had been resolved	
25	and the licenses that were in place, I came to understand	
26	the work that was done by the lawyers representing Atari in that	
27	litigation.	
28	Q At any time did you learn of any confidential	

(

(

(

1 information, that is, information confidential to Atari that 2 was disclosed to Mr. Herbert during the course of his interviews 3 of Atari employees? 4 Could you repeat that question? A 5 Let me rephrase it. I'll try to make it simpler. Q 6 At any point did you learn of information confiden-7 tial to Atari that was disclosed to Mr. Herbert during his 8 interviews of Atari employees? 9 The subject matter of the discussions with patent A 10 counsel during that litigation was of the nature that I would 11 say certainly includes disclosure of confidential information. 12 What I'm seeking is any specific confidential Q 13 information that you're aware of. 14 Not that I can recall right now. A 15 Are you aware of any memoranda that ever generated Q 16 concerning Mr. Herbert's interviews of Atari employees? 17 A No. 18 Next I'd like to direct your attention to same page, Q 19 3, of your affidavit, paragraph 5, this time, and right in the 20 middle of the paragraph there's a statement that the license, 21 referring to the Atari-Magnavox license, was fully paid up. 22 Can you tell me when it was fully paid up? 23 I believe our last payment was this year. A 24 Q Okay. Now, Pete --25 I'm not positive about that. A 26 Peter has given to me a copy of non-exclusive Q sublicense agreement for home video games, which appears to be 27 between Magnavox and Atari. I'd like you to look at that and 28

1 tell me if that is in fact the license agreement which is 2 referred to in the paragraph 5 of your affidavit. 3 A No, this is not the license agreement that's referred 4 to. 5 No? Q 6 MR. ANDERSON: May I see whatever it is you showed him, 7 please? 8 MR. LADRA: Yes. 9 Do you have an extra copy, Peter? 10 No, I don't. I just have one. MR. WALD: 11 MR. LADRA: Q Well, Mr. Paul, how many license 12 agreements are you aware of that exist between Magnavox and 13 Atari? 14 THE WITNESS: A Two, one in 1975 or '6 and one in 15 in 1981. 16 Oh, there's a subsequent license agreement that Q 17 was executed in '81? 18 That's correct. A 19 And this is the one, then? Q 20 That's correct. A 21 And there's a prior license agreement dated --Q 22 what again? 23 A I don't know the exact date. I believe it's in 24 September '75. 25 MR. WALD: Yes. MR. LADRA: Do you have that one, Peter? 26 27 MR. WALD: Is it this one? 28 THE WITNESS: June '76.

1	MR. WALD: Is this the one?	
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's the one.	
3	MR. LADRA: Okay. Do you mind if we mark that one	
4	and then we can have a copy of it later?	
5	MR. WALD: Not at all.	
6	MR. LADRA: Ask the Reporter to mark the license	
7	agreement dated June 8, '76, as Defendant's Exhibit 4, and the	
8	one dated October 1, '81 as Defendant's Exhibit 5.	
9	(Whereupon, a copy of a multi- page document entitled "Non-	
10 11	Exclusive Cross-License for Video Games," dated June 8, 1976, was marked Defendant's	
12	Exhibit 4 for identification.)	
13	(Whereupon, a copy of a multi- page document entitled "Non-	
14	Exclusive Sublicense Agreement For Home Video Game Devices,"	
15	dated October 1, 1981, was marked Defendant's Exhibit 5 for identification.)	
16		
17	MR. LADRA: Q Okay. Skip, again just to clarify,	
18	I'm showing you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 4,	
19	which is the license agreement, appears to be between Magnavox	
20	and Atari, dated June of '76. I'll ask you the same question,	
21	if that's the license agreement referred to in paragraph 5 of	
22	youraffidavit.	
23	THE WITNESS: A Yes.	
24	Q Since I haven't had a chance to look through the	
25	one dated '81, let me ask you, if you know, does the '81 license	
26	agreement, Exhibit 5, cover the same patents licensed under	
27	the Exhibit 4 license agreement?	
28	A I believe some of the same patents.	
		1

.

Ċ

(

(

1 Okay. Now, again because we haven't had a chance to Q 2 look through it, and I assume the document will answer the 3 question, but do you know if there are any payments that remain 4 to be paid on this '81 license, Exhibit 5? 5 I believe there are some payments that remain to be A 6 paid. 7 Can you tell from Exhibit 4 when the last payment Q 8 was made on the '76 license? 9 A January 31, 1983. 10 Let's move on, then, to paragraph 6 of your affidavit. Q 11 The first sentence of that says, "Atari presently considers it 12 to be in its best interest to remain a licensee under the 13 Reissue 507 patent." And further that Atari "considers that 14 it will be against its best interest for the Reissue 507 patent 15 to be declared invalid or unenforceable by this Court." 16 I guess I'm more interested in the latter statement, 17 and I'd like to know why, if you know, it would be against 18 Atari's best interest for that patent to be declared invalid. 19 We've paid a lot of money as a licensee under some A 20 patents. 21 But those moneys have been paid; correct? Q 22 A That's correct. 23 Are you aware of any provision in the license that Q 24 would allow you to seek reimbursement if the patent were 25 declared invalid? 26 No, I am not. A 27 Is that the only reason that you are aware of why Q 28 Atari considers it against its best interest that the patent

be declared invalid? 1

28

2 Α Certainly the most important that comes to mind. 3 Q Well --4 I can sit and speculate on other reasons, but A that's the reason that first came to mind that I had in my mind 5 6 when I signed this. 7 Well, I don't want you to guess or speculate, but Q I would like to know every single reason that Atari considers 8 it to be against its best interest that the 507 patent be 9 10 declared invalid. If that's the only reason, fine, but I want to be sure that there are no others. 11 12 A That's all that presently comes to mind. 13 Referring back to the first part of paragraph 6 Q where you state that Atari presently considers it to be in its 14 best interest to remain a licensee under the 507 patent, can 15 16 you tell me, please, why Atari presently considers it to be 17 in its best interest to remain a licensee? 18 We've paid a substantial amount of money as a A licensee in support of the patents and we consider the -- that 19 role as a licensee with that financial backdrop to be of some 20 21 value. Well, assuming that the reissue 507 patent is Q 22 declared invalid, are you aware of any adverse effect that Atari 23 would suffer as a result of that action? 24 Other than what he's testified to? 25 MR. WALD: I've already testified --THE WITNESS: 26 MR. LADRA: Well, you told me why you consider Q 27 it against your best interest to have it declared invalid, and

I've asked a slightly different question. I've asked what 1 adverse effect, if any, would Atari suffer if the patent were 2 3 declared invalid. 4 THE WITNESS: I've paid a lot of money for those А 5 patents to support those patents and I'd like value for the 6 money. 7 Okay. So -- well, correct me if I'm wrong, but . 0 8 as I understand your testimony, what you are saying is that it 9 is Atari's position that they would lose the value of the 10 licenses they paid for if the patent were declared invalid. 11 A The value would be compromised. Is that --12 That's fine. That's a good enough answer. If you Q 13 would like to speak with your counsel --14 That's okay. Just go ahead. A 15 I'm more interested in finding all the reasons Q 16 rather than getting any particular one. 17 You got all the reasons that presently come to mind. A 18 Okay. Let's move on to paragraph 7. The latter Q 19 part of that paragraph states that "Atari further believes that 20 in their representation of Activision in this action, Mr. Herbert and his firm will make use of information concerning the reissue 21 507 patent obtained by Mr. Herbert and his firm during the 22 23 course of their representation of Atari, some of which information was obtained by Mr. Herbert and members of his firm from 24 discussions with Atari officers and employees held during the 25 time Mr. Herbert and his firm represented Atari." 26 27 Now, is that statement in paragraph 7 one of the reasons that Atari feels that Mr. Herbert's representation of 28

Activision in the present suit is adverse to Atari?

A Ask that question again.

1

2

3

28

Q Okay. I'll put it another way.

I'm asking whether the fact that Mr. Herbert
obtained information concerning the patent and the possible
defenses during the course of his former representation of
Atari, whether that fact constitutes one of the grounds why
Atari asserts that Mr. Herbert's representation of Activision
is adverse to Atari.

Atari's feeling of there being adversity in the 10 A situation arises out of the fact that in 1975 and 1976 Mr. 11 12 Herbert's firm represented Atari in carefully examining those patents and looking at our products and looking at our business 13 and in talking to our people and in litigation up until the eve 14 of trial, and for him to now represent somebody else against 15 those patents to us presents a very clear picture of adversity, 16 and we feel that without any hesitation that it is inconsistent 17 with what we felt were that firm's obligations to this company. 18

19 Q Okay. I guess technically I should move to strike
20 because the answer was nonresponsive, but be that as it may,
21 what I'm concerned with is --

You asked about adversity and I answered. 22 A I asked a specific fact concerning adversity. Q 23 I think in the witness's restatement MR. WALD: 24 he --25 MR. LADRA: Okay. 26 MR. WALD: Well, I won't put words into his mouth. 27

MR. LADRA: Let's drop that for the moment and let's

1 move to another subject. Okay. 2 Given the confidential nature of the document, I'm not going to have this marked as an exhibit to the deposition. 3 4 but I'm showing you a copy of the Settlement Agreement and 5 Mutual Release executed by and between Activision and Atari --6 MR. ANDERSON: I'd like to see a copy of it if you're 7 going to ask the witness about a document, either that or --8 Well, I'm going to ask the witness MR. LADRA: 9 one -- we're not going to discuss it if the witness is going to 10 lodge an objection. I tell you, why don't -- we can read 11 MR. WALD: 12 the one paragraph into the record. 13 MR. LADRA: Okay. No problem with reading that paragraph 14 THE WITNESS: 15 into the record. Let's just do that, then. 16 MR. LADRA: Would that be okay? 17 MR. WALD: 18 MR. LADRA: We're just going to discuss one paragraph. I have to hear it and hear what the MR. ANDERSON: 19 testimony is before I agree that that's okay. I'll have to 20 reserve whatever rights I have. 21 Well, we're not introducing the document, MR. WALD: 22 23 50 --Okay. MR. LADRA: 24 It's on page 7, Mike. MR. WALD: 25 Just for the record, the paragraph to which Mike 26 is referring is the Settlement and Mutual Release between Atari 27 and activision regarding the litigation in 1981 between those 28

r

1 two companies. The agreement itself is sealed under court order 2 pursuant to protective order and is not to be released to outside 3 parties.

16

MR. LADRA: And I take it, Peter, that we're agreeing
that paragraph -- what is that -- II(f)?

6 MR. WALD: Paragraph II(f), page 7 of that agreement,
7 may be read into the record for these purposes.

8 MR. ANDERSON: I'll just have to record my objection. 9 I understand your concern, but the settlement agreement you're 10 talking about doesn't appear to be at the present relevant to 11 anything we're talking about here in the interrogation by the 12 Activision counsel, and I just object to having a fragment of 13 a document read into the record where I don't get to know what 14 it's all about or what the purpose of the line of inquiry is.

MR. LADRA: Let's skip the reference to the agreement.
Q Mr. Paul, you are aware that there was a settlement
agreement, however, entered into between Atari and Activision;
correct?

19

THE WITNESS: A Correct.

20 And do you recall that as part of that settlement Q 21 agreement Atari agreed to turn over to Activision copies of all 22 files consistent with its confidentiality obligations to 23 Magnavox, copies of all its files concerning its prior patent 24 litigation with Magnavox to Aldo Test, save for those documents 25 subject to the attorney-client or attorney work product privilege? 26 That's not correct. A

27 28 Q What was your understanding of that provision?A During the litigation that we had with Activision I

had several conversations directly with Mr. Test and a number 1 with people, I believe with you, expressing how we felt about 2 Mr. Test's representation of Activision in the early investment 3 stages and during the development of their product, and we 4 thought that it was ethically difficult to understand how he 5 would represent a company that was doing what Activision was 6 doing at that point in light of the prior representation of 7 Atari and in developing what we were asserting in part as 8 trade secrets. 9

I had those conversations with Mr. Test, and my feelings were made clearly known to the parties in that litigation. And as part of concluding that litigation with Activision it therefore was natural that Mr. Test's work that he had done would be covered in part by being made available to him, in other words, Atari would make available to Mr. Test copies of those files.

17 Q Well, do you recall what files were made available18 to Mr. Test?

19

23

24

25

26

27

28

A No, no.

20 Q Well, could they have been the files concerning 21 the Magnavox patent litigation or were those specifically 22 excluded, as far as you understood?

A Those were clearly included.

MR. WALD: We were --

MR. LADRA: You want to go off the record, Peter? MR. WALD: Yes.

MR. LADRA: Okay.

(Discussion off the record.)

1 THE WITNESS: The position -- could you read back my 2 last answer to the last question? 3 (Whereupon, the record was read by the Reporter.) 4 THE WITNESS: They were his files. We returned his 5 files to him. 6 MR. LADRA: Q And, as far as you know, those 7 files were in fact turned over? 8 THE WITNESS: A I believe so. 9 Do you know whether there was any purging of the Q 10 files excluding confidential information or those covered by 11 attorney work product and other privileges or attorney client? 12 I have no knowledge of such. A 13 Do you know who was responsible for gathering up Q 14 the files and turning them over to Mr. Test? 15 A No, I do not. 16 Who in your organization would know? Q 17 A I don't know. 18 Does Mr. Test know? MR. WALD: 19 MR. LADRA: I don't know. Do you know, Peter? 20 MR. WALD: No, I don't. 21 Well, all right. If nobody knows, that's MR. LADRA: 22 as far as we can go with that. 23 Off the record. MR. WALD: 24 (Discussion off the record.) 25 Just to be clear about the files MR. LADRA: Q 26 that were turned over, if you know was there any distinction 27 made between files of Al Test individually or files of the Flehr, Hobach, Test, Albritton firm? 28

1 I don't know. THE WITNESS: A 2 MR. LADRA: Do you have any further thoughts, Peter? 3 Yes. We probably should check with Al MR. WALD: 4 on it, but as I understood, since you and I drafted this docu-5 ment, Michael, it was understood that Mr. Test had some files. 6 Yeah. No, I understand. MR. LADRA: 7 MR. WALD: And this was just to me his request 8 that we return those to him. 9 MR. LADRA: That's fine. And I'm sure Al will know 10 which files were returned pursuant to the ones he got from 11 Atari. 12 MR. WALD: Okay. 13 I probably asked this question, MR. LADRA: Q 14 but since it might prove to be an issue in the future, I take 15 it you don't know who was delegated the responsibility of 16 turning over the Flehr, Hobach, Test files? 17 THE WITNESS: A No. Those files, I take it, were in Atari's possession? 18 Q I don't know. 19 A You don't know where they were located or who had 20 Q 21 them? 22 No. I don't. A I might point out, too, that it just 23 MR. WALD: says copies of any files in its possession regarding patents, 24 so why don't we see what was turned over --25 26 MR. ANDERSON: What are you reading from now? Can we read the language in, Mike? MR. WALD: 27 Go ahead. 28 MR. LADRA:

1	MR. WALD: Let's just read the language in from
2	the settlement agreement on page 7, II(f). And I quote:
3	"To the extent consistent with confidentiality
4	obligations to Magnavox, Atari will make available
5	to Aldo Test, Esq., copies of any files in its
6	possession regarding patents for video or game
7	electronics products owned or licensed by Magnavox,
8	save for those documents subject to attorney client
9	or attorney work product privileges."
10	And that is the paragraph that's been the subject
11	of the last testimony.
12	MR. LADRA: Yes. And that's, I think, all in the
13	agreement that refers to this subject.
14	Q Now, I noted you appeared to be thinking hard about
15	who might have had the responsibility for gathering up these
16	documents and turning them over. Have you recalled?
17	THE WITNESS: A That was notwhat I was thinking
18	about. I was thinking hard, though, about a six o'clock meeting.
19	Q Oh, oh. Do you know whether maybe this will just
20	jog your memory. Was the Townsend & Townsend firm involved?
21	A The Townsend & Townsend firm could have been
22	involved.
23	Q And is it possible that an attorney by the name of
24	Warren Kajawa
25	MR. HERBERT: K-a-j-a-w-a, I believe.
26	MR. LADRA: Q Does that name ring a bell?
27	THE WITNESS: A I know Warren Kajawa.
28	Q Okay. Do you recall whether he was involved in this

.

Ċ

(

(

or not?

2	A No, I don't recall whether he was involved with this.
3	Q All right. Let's move on to the last paragraph of
4	your declaration, and I'll paraphrase to keep things moving
5	along here, but basically that last paragraph points out a
6	portion of the settlement agreement
7	MR. HERBERT: That's not the last paragraph.
8	MR. LADRA: I'm sorry. It's the second to the last
9	paragraph.
10	THE WITNESS: Are you talking about paragraph 8?
11	MR. LADRA: Q Yes. Paragraph 8 basically recites
12	that there's a settlement agreement between Magnavox and Atari,
13	and a portion of that settlement agreement states that Mr.
14	Herbert's firm will not actively participate in any litigation
15	involving the reissue 507 patent in which Atari is not a party
16	or in which no television game made by or for Atari is involved.
17	And you go on to state that Atari never released Mr. Herbert or
18	his firm from the obligation in paragraph 4 of the settlement
19	agreement.
20	And the question is whether there was ever any
21	conversation between anybody at Atari and anybody at the Flehr,

21 conversation between anybody at Atari and anybody at the Flehr, 22 Hobach, Test firm concerning this particular obligation, that 23 you're aware of.

THE WITNESS: A Not only do I not recall a conversation with anyone at the Flehr, Hobach firm about a waiver of this obligation, but I don't ever recall a conversation with anybody at the Flehr, Hobach firm about any potential conflicts that may have arisen between work that they did for Atari at one

1 point and work that they were doing for a number of competitors 2 of Atari at later times. 3 Other than your conversation with Al Test that Q 4 you mentioned earlier? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Okay. So with that exception? 7 A That was not a conversation about anything other 8 than what I thought was an apparent conflict that I was bringing 9 to his attention. 10 But is it fair to state that that was the only 0 11 occasion that you recall ever talking to anybody at the Flehr, 12 Hobach firm? 13 А Yes, about this issue. 14 Have you talked to them about other issues? Q 15 I don't recall. No, I don't recall. A 16 Okay. Finally let's go to the last paragraph in Q 17 which you state Mr. Herbert's law firm continues to represent Atari in certain matters. 18 19 Simple question: What are those certain matters? 20 I don't know. I pay bills, you know, with some A regularity, I approve bills that are submitted by Mr. Herbert's 21 firm, and the bills aren't for a large amount, and I don't take 22 a lot of time to delve into that. 23 But you have no idea, I take it, as to what the 24 Q work that's being billed for is? 25 No, I don't. 26 A Peter, just as a request could we have --27 MR. LADRA: Oh, what was the guestion? 28 THE WITNESS:

1	MR.	LADRA:	I'd asked what are the matters that you
2	stated Mr.	Herbert's f	irm continues to represent Atari on.
3	THE	WITNESS:	A Some of the matters involve
4	coordinati	on of some o	ffshore patents, I know, I mean because
5	at one poi	nt I saw v	when I first saw a bill from them I said
6	what is th	is? These a	re the guys that and I was told, oh,
7	this is fo	or some forei	gn patent annuities. And so I said
8	MR.	LADRA:	Okay.
9	MR.	WALD:	You were about to ask me, Mike?
10	MR.	LADRA:	Yes. I was going to ask you, Peter,
11	if at some	point in the	e near future we could get copies of
12	MR.	WALD:	Bills paid?
13	MR.	LADRA:	Yes, bills that have been paid.
14	MR.	WALD:	What period?
15	MR.	LADRA:	I guess since the termination of
16	why don't	we say Janua	ry l of '78 again.
17	MR.	WALD:	To the present?
18	MR.	LADRA:	Yes. There was that category of documents.
19	'79, why d	lon't you mak	e it '79?
20	MR.	WALD:	January '79?
21	MR.	LADRA:	Yes.
22	MR.	WALD:	We can get those.
23	MR.	ANDERSON:	Whatever you produce I'd like to get
24	those.		
25	MR.	WALD:	To be sure. January 1, '79, to the
26	present?		
27	MR.	LADRA:	To the present.
28	MR	. WALD:	Whatever bills we have.

r

1 MR. LADRA: Whatever bills or other evidence of work 2 there was done by the Flehr, Hobach firm for Atari. 3 MR. WALD: Fine. 4 THE WITNESS: Fine. 5 MR. LADRA: Thanks. 6 Do you know whether the Flehr, Hobach firm has Q 7 ever been asked since January of 1980 for any opinion on any 8 patent matter or copyright or trademark matter? 9 I don't know. THE WITNESS: A 10 I take it there is an attorney in-house who would Q 11 be responsible for patent, copyright and trademark matters? 12 There are attorneys responsible for each of those A 13 areas at Atari. 14 Why don't we just get their names for the record Q 15 and which areas they're responsible for. 16 Patent counsel at Atari is Michael Sherrard, A 17 S-h-e-r-r-a-r-d. The attorney in charge of copyright and 18 trademark is Ken Nussbacher, spelled N-u-s-s-b-a-c-h-e-r. 19 And trademark? 0 20 A Same quy. 21 Same guy, okay. Q 22 Now, when did you first become aware that there 23 was a lawsuit between Magnavox and Activision? 24 I don't recall. A Well, you have no recollection at all as to when? 25 Q I recall at some point learning of it. 26 A Well, let's try to get it this way. How do you 27 Q 28 recall learning about it?

r

1

5

A I believe I saw a press announcement.

Q So I take it it's safe to say that at no time
prior to the filing of the lawsuit did you talk to anyone at
Magnavox concerning the bringing of a lawsuit against Activision?

A No, that's not safe to say.

Q Well, I take it that you had such a conversation,7 then, at some point?

8 A I don't know what kind of conversation you're9 referring to.

10 Q Well, did you discuss with anybody at Magnavox at 11 any time that you can recall the bringing of a lawsuit by 12 Magnavox against Activision?

A The response is Atari is a licensee under these 13 patents and we were negotiating with Magnavox during 1980 and 14 '81 concerning the amending of our settlement agreement and our 15 license agreement to cover certain new territories. It was a 16 substantial cash outlay for this company and a substantial 17 commitment for this company, and as a licensee and as a party 18 to those negotiations we were interested in what efforts they 19 20 were undertaking to license our other people with whom we enjoyed the video game market. And as part of that inquiry 21 I was interested in what enforcement efforts would be -- were 22 under way, would be under way, what licensing efforts, you know, 23 what the program looked like that I was committing this company 24 to spend a fair amount of money on. 25

26 Q With whom at Magnavox did you speak?
27 A Tom Briody.
28 Q Do you know how to spell his last name?

1	A B-r-i-o-d-y.
2	Q Now, at that time were you informed that Magnavox
3	had any plans to bring a patent infringement lawsuit against
4	Activision?
5	A At what time?
6	Q At the time you talked to Mr. Briody.
7	A I don't know what you are talking about.
8	Q Well, you said you had a conversation with Mr.
9	Briody
10	A I recall a conversation at some point during a
11	negotiation and I was I don't recall being informed of the
12	specific plan to bring a specific action against any company.
13	Q Well, did you mkae any suggestion to Mr. Briody
14	concerning a lawsuit that might be brought against Activision?
15	A Not that I recall. I was very interested as a
16	licensee what was going to be done to enforce those patents and
17	to monitor that program we were spending millions of dollars
18	with.
19	Q Well, did you make any suggestions at all concerning
20	how Magnavox should proceed to, shall we say, protect the
21	patents you were licensing?
22	A What do you mean?
23	Q Did you make any suggestions to Mr. Briody concerning
24	how Magnavox should proceed in its efforts to protect the
25	patents?
26	A Not beyond the scope of expressing concern that the
27	patents be either licensed or enforced if I was going to pay
28	and others in similar situations to me were going to make their

.

Ċ

C

1 decisions like we made ours, and we made ours under the constant 2 threat of litigation. 3 Q I take it there was no correspondence concerning 4 the subject between you and anybody at Magnavox? 5 Not that I recall. A 6 MR. WALD: I checked the file that I saw today and 7 there was no correspondence regarding that. 8 MR. LADRA: Incidentally, what files were searched, 9 just Skip's? 10 MR. WALD; There were two files that were given 11 to me by a legal assistant and they were from the corporate 12 legal files, and they were entitled Magnavox and License 13 Agreement, I believe, and they contained both copies of the 14 license agreements and copies of royalty checks that were paid 15 over to Magnavox from Atari. 16 MR. LADRA: Skip, do you know who else in your Q 17 legal organization would have had contact with Mr. Briody 18 or anybody at Magnavox? 19 THE WITNESS: A I believe I was the only one. 20 When did you first see this affidavit, Exhibit 1? Q 21 Some days before I signed it. A 22 Q Who prepared it, do you know? 23 I believe it was prepared by -- I don't know whether A 24 it was prepared by Atari outside lawyers or Magnavox outside 25 lawyers. Okay. I take it you reviewed it before you signed 26 Q 27 it? Yes, indeed. 28 A

28 1 Did you make any changes in it? Q 2 A I believe there were some changes made. I can't 3 recall what they were. Do you recall who first contacted you concerning 4 Q 5 your giving of an affidavit in this matter? 6 A I think Mr. Briody. I'm not sure about that, 7 though. 8 Q Do you recall what he or whoever it was at Magnavox 9 said to you? 10 A No. Let's take a very brief 30-second break. 11 MR. LADRA: 12 (Short recess.) 13 MR. LADRA: Just a couple more questions and I'll 14 conclude this. Skip, do you recall at the time that Magnavox 15 Q 16 settled its lawsuit with Atari what products Atari had on the market? 17 18 THE WITNESS: A I was not working here. Well, do you know when the Atari 2600 video computer 19 Q 20 system was introduced? It was introduced -- I'm not sure. I believe it 21 A 22 existed prior -- in developed form prior to the time Warner 23 acquired Atari, which was in 1976. Do you know when the product actually was marketed, 24 Q 25 however? No. I don't recall. 26 A All right. Could you tell me who are Atari's 27 0 patent counsel at the present time? 28

1 A Atari gets patent advice from Townsend & Townsend. 2 from Arnold, White & Durkee, from Kirkland & Ellis, from 3 several firms in New York, from lawfirms in Hong Kong, London, 4 and Europe. 5 Q Just limit it to domestic patents. 6 I believe -- the primaries are Kirkland & Ellis A 7 and --8 Q Townsend? 9 -- and Townsend & Townsend. A 10 MR. LADRA: All right. I have no further questions. 11 Mr. Anderson? 12 MR. ANDERSON: I just have a couple of questions. 13 THE WITNESS: Those are the primaries. 14 MR. LADRA: I understand. 15 EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON: 16 Q MR. ANDERSON: During the period 1975, '76 in the 17 Magnavox-Atari litigation was the Herbert firm primarily 18 responsible for that litigation for Atari? 19 A I believe that the Flehr firm was THE WITNESS: 20 primarily responsible for the litigation and primarily 21 responsible for Atari's patent portfolio. 22 Was any other firm responsible for Atari's partici-Q 23 pation in Magnavox-Atari litigation other than the Herbert firm, 24 that you know of? 25 Not that I know of. A 26 With respect to the files that were turned over to Q 27 Mr. Test, what files were turned over to Mr. Test in the course 28 of recent time?

1

3

4

A I really don't know with specificity.

Q You've referred to them as Test files. Were they files that were turned over by Mr. Test to Atari first that were being returned, or what do you mean by Test files?

A If I have referred to them that way, I was using
shorthand. They were files which concerned certain patents
in our view belonged to Mr. Test. He requested them.

8 Q How did those happen to be in Atari's possession?
9 A I don't know whether they were technically in
10 Atari's possession or not. I testified I don't know where they
11 were and who was responsible for them.

MR. WALD: I just think that that may be information that is better gotten from Mr. Test. Pursuant to the settlement of the lawsuit Atari agreed to turn over files in its possession, if there were any, that related to Mr. Test's representation of Atari in the original Magnavox proceeding, and those are the files that Skip's been testifying about.

18 MR. ANDERSON: Q Those files, then, would be files 19 relating to the Magnavox-Atari litigation in some way?

Well --

20

21

THE WITNESS: A Could be.

MR. WALD:

22 MR. WALD: Yeah. I mean as specified by the 23 settlement agreement they were files regarding patents for 24 video game electronic products owned or licensed by Magnavox.

25 MR. ANDERSON: Q Well, is there any understanding when 26 those files -- if there were any that were turned over to Mr. 27 Test, that he had the right to use them for any purpose contrary 28 to Atari's best interest?

1	THE WITNESS: A Certainly not.
2	Q When they were turned over was there any under-
3	standing as to what Mr. Test could or could not do with those
4	files, if there were any?
5	A Not specifically. I assume they'd be guided by
6	the same canon of ethics that every other lawyer we work with.
7	MR. ANDERSON: That completes the cross-examination.
8	MR. WALD: Just one more thing on your last question,
9	Mike, on firms from which Atari gets patent advice presently,
10	as long as it's understood that the witness just to make
11	sure the testimony is consistent, Skip's already testified that
12	Flehr, Hobach does he does continue to pay checks, and we're
13	going to get that evidence for you.
14	THE WITNESS: Those are just the primaries. There are
15	probably five other firms in there.
16	MR. LADRA: Let's clarify that.
17	EXAMINATION BY MR. LADRA: (Further)
18	MR. LADRA: Q Does Atari continue to get advice
19	from the Flehr, Hobach firm?
20	THE WITNESS: A I continue to pay Flehr, Hobach.
21	For what I pay we get services.
22	MR. WALD: You know, we're getting copies of the
23	checks and I think that those services speak for themselves.
24	You can characterize them how you wish.
25	MR. LADRA: Q Well, okay. Does Atari consider
26	those services legal services?
27	THE WITNESS: A Yes.
28	Q Did you ever at any time ask anybody at the Flehr,

×.

. (

Ĉ

.

ê

1	Hobach firm for copies of files in their possession that
2	relate to matters Atari was involved in?
3	A Not that I recall.
4	Q Do you know whether somebody at Atari had asked
5	anybody at the Flehr, Hobach firm for files back concerning
6	matters that Atari was involved in?
7	A I recall generally a conversation like that, that's
8	why I was wondering, but I don't recall specifically what
9	matter I was thinking about.
10	MR. LADRA: All right. That's fine. I think we're
11	done. If Mr. Anderson has anything further
12	MR. ANDERSON: No.
13	MR. WALD: Usual stipulations, Counsel?
14	MR. LADRA: Usual stipulations.
15	MR. WALD: Meaning that Skip will get a chance to
16	review the testimony.
17	MR. LADRA: Yes, obviously he'll get a letter from
18	Martha telling him that the deposition's ready.
19	(Discussion off the record.)
20	(Whereupon, the deposition was adjourned at 6:06 p.m.)
21	
22	Charles S. Paul
23	Subscribed and sworn to before me
24	
<b>2</b> 5	
26	of1983.
27	
28	Notary Public in and for the County of Santa Clara, State of California
	or banca orara, brate or burrenning

(

infringing patents. Flaintiffs also object to this interrogatory to the extent it requests information concerning patents other than United States Patent Re. 28,507 as requesting information which is neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and as being premature. See also plaintiffs' response to interrogatory 38.

INTERROGATORY NO. 49

Β.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

28

If the answer to INTERROGATORY NO. 48 is other than an unqualified negative:

A. Identify the game cartridge(s) and the claim(s) infringed by each such cartridge; and

State specifically where each element of each claim identified in response to part A of this interrogatory is found in the game cartridge(s) infringed thereby.

No response required.

## INTERROGATORY NO. 50

Identify each television game console which Magnavox and Sanders contend constitutes an infringement of United States Letters Patent Re. 28,507 when one of Activision's game cartridges is used in combination therewith.

Plaintiffs object to this interrogatory as being vague and indefinite; only people, not things, are capable of infringing a patent. The combining of any television game console compatible with any one of the television game cartridges referred to in plaintiffs' response to interrogatory 38 with such a cartridge and the use of that

EXHIBIT B

-40-PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 1-125)